



---

**INCLUSION AND NEIGHBORHOOD PROPERTIES FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASSES  
OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH CONVOLUTION STRUCTURE**

M. K. AOUF

*Received 15 December, 2007; accepted 28 January, 2009; published 3 March, 2010.*

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY 35516, EGYPT.  
[mkaouf127@yahoo.com](mailto:mkaouf127@yahoo.com)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce and investigate two new subclasses of multivalently analytic functions of complex order defined by using the familiar convolution structure of analytic functions. In this paper we obtain the coefficient estimates and the consequent inclusion relationships involving the neighborhoods of the  $p$ -valently analytic functions.

*Key words and phrases:* Analytic functions;  $p$ -valent functions; Hadamard product (or convolution); neighborhood.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A_p(n)$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p < n; p, n \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Also let us put  $A_p(p+1) = A(p)$  and  $A = A(1)$ . If  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$  is given by (1.1) and  $g(z) \in A_p(n)$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $(f * g)(z)$  of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad (f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For a function  $f(z)$  in  $A_p(n)$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} D_p^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_p^1 f(z) &= D(D_p^0 f(z)) = \frac{z}{p} f'(z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_p^\sigma f(z) = D(D_p^{\sigma-1} f(z)) \quad (\sigma \in N).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(1.4) \quad D_p^\sigma f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k \quad (\sigma \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}).$$

When  $p = 1$  and  $n = 2$ , the differential operator  $D_1^\sigma = D^\sigma$  was introduced by Salagean [15].

For complex parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  ( $\beta_j \in C \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ ), we define the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_rF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$  by

$${}_rF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_r)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_s)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

$$(1.5) \quad (r \leq s + 1; r, s \in N_0; z \in U),$$

where  $(\theta)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function  $\Gamma$  by

$$(1.6) \quad (\theta)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + k)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0) \\ \theta(\theta + 1) \dots (\theta + k - 1) & (k \in N). \end{cases}$$

Corresponding to a function  $h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$  defined by

$$h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = z^p {}_rF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

we consider a linear operator  $H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ , defined by the convolution

$$(1.7) \quad H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z).$$

We observe that, for a function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1), we have

$$(1.8) \quad H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Gamma_k a_k z^k,$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad \Gamma_k = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-p} \dots (\alpha_r)_{k-p}}{(\beta_1)_{k-p} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-p} (k-p)!}.$$

For convenience, we write

$$(1.10) \quad H_{r,s}^p[\alpha_1] = H_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s).$$

The linear operator  $H_{r,s}^p[\alpha_1]$  was introduced and studied by Dziok and Srivastava [7].

We denote by  $T_p(n)$  the subclass of  $A_p(n)$  consisting of functions of the form :

$$(1.11) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p < n; a_k \geq 0 \ (k \geq n); p, n \in N).$$

For a given function  $g(z) \in A_p(n)$  defined by

$$(1.12) \quad g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (p < n; b_k \geq 0 \ (k \geq n); p, n \in N),$$

we introduce here a new subclass  $S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  of the  $p$ -valently analytic function class  $T_p(n)$  which consists of functions  $f(z) \in T_p(n)$  satisfying the inequality :

$$(1.13) \quad \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z) + \lambda z^2(f * g)^{(2+q)}(z)}{\lambda z(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z) + (1 - \lambda)(f * g)^{(q)}(z)} - (p - q) \right\} \right| < \beta$$

( $z \in U; p, n \in N; q \in N_0; p > q; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1$ ).

We note that :

(i)  $S_g(n, p, q, 1, b, \beta) = C_g(n, p, q, b, \beta)$

$$(1.14) \quad = \left\{ f : f \in T_p(n) \text{ and } \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(f * g)^{(2+q)}(z)}{(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z)} - (p - q) \right\} \right| < \beta \right.$$

( $z \in U; p, n \in N; q \in N_0; p > q; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1$ ) };

(ii)  $S_g(n, p, q, 0, b, 1) = S_g(n, p, q, b)$  (Prajapat et al. [12]);

(iii)  $S_g(n, p, 0, 0, p(1 - \alpha), 1) = TS_g^*(n, p, \alpha)$  ( $p \in N; 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) (Ali et al. [2]);

(iv) Replacing  $n$  by  $n + p$  in (1.11) and (1.12) and taking the coefficients  $b_k$  in (1.12) as follows :

$$(1.15) \quad b_k = \binom{\nu + k - 1}{k - p} \quad (\nu > -p),$$

then we have :

$S_g(n, p, q, 0, b, 1) = H_{n,q}^p(v, b)$  (this class involving the familiar Ruscheweyh derivative)(Raina and Srivastava [13]);

(vi) By taking the coefficients  $b_k$  in (1.12) as follows :  $b_k = \Gamma_k \geq 0 (k \geq n)$ , where  $\Gamma_k$  is given by (1.9), then we have :

$$S_g(n, p, q, 0, b, 1) = S^*(n, p, q, b) \text{ (Prajapat et al. [12]).}$$

Also we note that by choosing different values for the coefficients  $b_k$  defined in (1.12), we obtain the following new classes :

(i) By taking the coefficients  $b_k$  in (1.12) as follows :  $b_k = \Gamma_k \geq 0 (k \geq n)$ , where  $\Gamma_k$  is given by (1.9), then we have the new class :

$$S_{[\alpha_1]}^*(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in T_p(n) \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(H_{r,s}^p[\alpha_1]f)^{(1+q)}(z) + \lambda z^2(H_{r,s}^p[\alpha_1]f)^{(2+q)}(z)}{\lambda z(H_{r,s}^p[\alpha_1]f)^{(1+q)}(z) + (1-\lambda)(H_{r,s}^p[\alpha_1]f)^{(q)}(z)} - (p-q) \right\} \right| < \beta \right.$$

$$(1.16) \quad (z \in U; r \leq s+1; p, n \in N; p > q; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1);$$

(ii) By taking the coefficients  $b_k$  in (1.12) as follows :  $b_k = \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)^\sigma (k \geq n; p, n \in N; \sigma \in N_0)$ , then we have the new class :

$$TS_\sigma^*(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta) = \left\{ f : f \in T_p(n) \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(D_p^\sigma f(z))^{(1+q)} + \lambda z^2(D_p^\sigma f(z))^{(2+q)}}{\lambda z(D_p^\sigma f(z))^{(1+q)} + (1-\lambda)(D_p^\sigma f(z))^{(q)}} - (p-q) \right\} \right| < \beta \right.$$

$$(1.17) \quad (z \in U; p, n \in N; q, \sigma \in N_0; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1);$$

(iii) By taking the coefficients  $b_k$  in (1.12) as given by (1.15), then we have the new class :

$$H_{n,q}^p(\nu, \lambda, b, \beta) = \left\{ f : f \in T_p(n) \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(D^{\nu,p} f(z))^{(1+q)} + \lambda z^2(D^{\nu,p} f(z))^{(2+q)}}{\lambda z(D^{\nu,p} f(z))^{(1+q)} + (1-\lambda)(D^{\nu,p} f(z))^{(q)}} - (p-q) \right\} \right| < \beta \right.$$

$$(1.18)$$

$$(z \in U; p, n \in N; q \in N_0; \nu \in R; p > \max\{q, -\nu\}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1);$$

where the symbol  $D^{\nu,1} f(z) = D^\nu f(z)$  for  $\nu = n \in N_0$  was named the  $n$ -th order Ruscheweyh derivative of  $f(z) \in A$  by Al-Amiri [1].

Now, following the earlier investigation by Goodman [8], Ruscheweyh [14], and others including Altintas and Owa [3], Altintas et al. ([4] and [5]), Murgusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [9], Raina and Srivastava [13], Aouf [6], Prajapat et al. [12] and Srivastava and Orhan [16] (see also [10], [11] and [17]), we define the  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of a function  $f(z) \in T_p(n)$  by (see, for example, [5], p. 1668)

$$(1.19) \quad N_{n,\delta}(f) = \left\{ g : g \in T_p(n), g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}.$$

In particular, if

$$(1.20) \quad h(z) = z^p \quad (p \in N),$$

we immediately have

$$(1.21) \quad N_{n,\delta}(h) = \left\{ g : g \in T_p(n), g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k |b_k| \leq \delta \right\}.$$

Also, let  $P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  denote the subclass of  $T_p(n)$  consisting of functions  $f(z)$  of the form (1.11) which satisfy the inequality :

$$(1.22) \quad \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{(f * g)^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} + \lambda \frac{(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z)}{(p - q)z^{p-q-1}} \right] - \theta(p, q) \right\} \right| < \beta$$

$(z \in U; p, n \in N; q \in N_0; p > q; \lambda \geq 0; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1),$

where

$$(1.23) \quad \theta(p, q) = \frac{p!}{(p - q)!} = \begin{cases} 1 & (q = 0), \\ p(p - 1) \dots (p - q + 1) & (q \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

We note that :

(i)  $P_g(n, p, q, 0, b, \beta) = P_g(n, p, q, b, \beta) = \{ f : f \in T_p(n) \text{ and}$

$$(1.24) \quad \left| \frac{1}{b} \left[ \frac{(f * g)^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} - \theta(p, q) \right] \right| < \beta$$

$(z \in U; p, n \in N; q \in N_0; p > q; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1) \};$

(ii)  $P_g(n, p, q, 1, b, \beta) = L_g(n, p, q, b, \beta)$

$$(1.25) \quad = \left\{ f : f \in T_p(n) \text{ and } \left| \frac{1}{b} \left[ \frac{(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z)}{(p - q)z^{p-q-1}} - \theta(p, q) \right] \right| < \beta$$

$(z \in U; p, n \in N; q \in N_0; p > q; b \in C \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1) \}.$

**Remark 1.1.** Throughout our present paper, we assume that  $\theta(p, q)$  is defined by (1.23).

## 2. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR THE CLASSES $S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ AND $P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving  $N_{n,\delta}(h)$ , we shall require Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 below.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let the function  $f(z) \in T_p(n)$  be defined by (1.11). Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k + \beta |b| - p) [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)] \theta(k, q) b_k a_k \leq \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)] \theta(p, q),$$

*Proof.* Let a function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.11) belong to the class  $S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ .

Then, in view of (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13), we obtain the following inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z) + \lambda z^2 ((f * g)^{(2+q)}(z))}{\lambda z (f * g)^{(1+q)}(z) + (1 - \lambda) (f * g)^{(q)}(z)} - (p - q) \right\} > -\beta |b| \quad (z \in U),$$

or, equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{- \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k - p) [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)] \theta(k, q) a_k b_k z^{k-p}}{[1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)] \theta(p, q) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)] \theta(k, q) a_k b_k z^{k-p}} \right\} > -\beta |b|$$

$$(2.3) \quad (z \in U).$$

Setting  $z = r$  ( $0 \leq r < 1$ ) in (2.3), we observe that the expression in the denominator of the left-hand side of (2.3) is positive for  $r = 0$  and also for all ( $0 < r < 1$ ). Thus, by letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$  through real values, (2.3) leads us to the desired assertion of Lemma 2.1.

Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting  $|z| = 1$ , we find from (1.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z) + \lambda z^2((f * g)^{(2+q)}(z))}{\lambda z(f * g)^{(1+q)}(z) + (1 - \lambda)(f * g)^{(q)}(z)} - (p - q) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k - p)[1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)a_k b_k z^{k-p}}{[1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)a_k b_k z^{k-p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k - p)[1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)a_k b_k}{[1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)a_k b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta |b| \left\{ [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)a_k b_k \right\}}{[1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)a_k b_k} = \beta |b|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have  $f(z) \in S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ , which evidently completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Similarly, we can prove the following lemma. ■

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let the function  $f(z) \in T_p(n)$  be given by (1.11). Then  $f(z) \in P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  if and only if*

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [p - q + \lambda(k - p)]\theta(k, q)b_k a_k \leq \beta |b| (p - q).$$

Our first inclusion relation  $N_{n,\delta}(h)$  is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If*

$$(2.5) \quad b_k \geq b_n \quad (k \geq n) \text{ and } \delta = \frac{n\beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)}{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n} \quad (p > |b|),$$

then

$$(2.6) \quad S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(h).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ . Then, in view of the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 2.1, and the given condition that

$$b_k \geq b_n \quad (k \geq n),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(k - q - 1)]\theta(k, q)b_k a_k \\ (2.7) \quad &\leq \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

which readily yields

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)}{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n}.$$

Making use of (2.1) again, in conjunction with (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} ka_k \\ & \leq \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) + (p - \beta |b|)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \\ & \leq \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) + (p - \beta |b|) \frac{\beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)}{(n + \beta |b| - p)} \\ & = \frac{n\beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)}{(n + \beta |b| - p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{n\beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)}{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n} = \delta \quad (p > |b|),$$

which, by means of the definition (1.21), establishes the inclusion relation (2.6) asserted by Theorem 2.3. ■

**Remark 2.1.** (i) Putting  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the result obtained by Prajapat et al. [ [12], Theorem 3];

(ii) Putting  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ , replacing  $n$  by  $n + p$  and choosing  $b_n = \binom{\nu + n + p - 1}{n} (\nu > -p)$ , in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the result obtained by Raina and Srivastava [ [13], Theorem 3].

In a similar manner, by applying the assertion (2.5) of Lemma 2.2 instead of the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 2.1 to functions in the class  $P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ , we can prove the following inclusion relationship.

**Theorem 2.4.** *If*

$$(2.10) \quad b_k \geq b_n \quad (k \geq n) \text{ and } \delta = \frac{n(p - q)\beta |b|}{[(p - q) + \lambda(n - p)]\theta(n, q)b_n} \quad (\lambda > 1),$$

then

$$(2.11) \quad P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(h).$$

**Remark 2.2.** (i) We note that the result obtained by Prajapat et al. [ [12], Theorem 4 ] is not correct. The correct result is given by (2.10) with  $\beta = 1$ ;

(ii) We note that the result obtained by Raina and Srivastava [ [13], Theorem 4 ] is not correct. The correct result is given by (2.10) by taking  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , replacing  $n$  by  $n + p$  and choosing  $b_n = \binom{\nu + n + p - 1}{n} (\nu > -p)$ .

### 3. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR THE CLASSES $S_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ AND $P_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for each of the classes

$$S_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta) \text{ and } P_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta),$$

which we define as follows. A function  $f(z) \in T_p(n)$  is said to be in the class  $S_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  if there exists a function  $k(z) \in S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \frac{f(z)}{k(z)} - 1 \right| < p - \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p - q).$$

Analogously, a function  $f(z) \in T_p(n)$  is said to be in the class  $P_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  if there exists a function  $k(z) \in P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  such that the inequality (3.1) holds true.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $k(z) \in S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  and*

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha = p - \frac{\delta(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n}{n \{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n - \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)\}},$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad N_{n, \delta}(k) \subset S_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \delta \leq pn \left[ 1 - \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q) \cdot \{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n\}^{-1} \right].$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f(z) \in N_{n, \delta}(k)$ . We find from (1.19) that

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta,$$

which readily implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{n} \quad (n \in N).$$

Next, since  $k(z) \in S_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$ , we have [cf. equation (2.8)] that

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{\beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)}{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n},$$

so that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{k(z)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n}{n \{(n + \beta |b| - p)[1 + \lambda(n - q - 1)]\theta(n, q)b_n - \beta |b| [1 + \lambda(p - q - 1)]\theta(p, q)\}} \\ &= p - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\alpha$  is given by (3.2). Thus, by the above definition,  $f(z) \in S_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  for  $\alpha$  given by (3.2). This evidently proves Theorem 3.1. ■



**Remark 3.1.** (i) Putting  $\lambda = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the result obtained by Prajapat et al. [ [12], Theorem 5 with  $q = 0$  ];

(ii) Putting  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , replacing  $n$  by  $n + p$  and choosing  $b_n = \binom{\nu + n + p - 1}{n}$  ( $\nu > -p$ ), in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the result obtained by Raina and Srivastava [ [13], Theorem 5].

The proof of Theorem 3.2 below is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 above, therefore, we omit the details involved.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $k(z) \in P_g(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta)$  and

$$(3.9) \quad \alpha = p - \frac{\delta[(p - q) + \lambda(n - p)]\theta(n, q)b_n}{n \{[(p - q) + \lambda(n - p)]\theta(n, q)b_n - (p - q)\beta |b|\}} ,$$

then

$$(3.10) \quad N_{n,\delta}(k) \subset P_g^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, \lambda, b, \beta),$$

where

$$(3.11) \quad \delta \leq pn \left[ 1 - (p - q)\beta |b| \cdot \{[(p - q) + \lambda(n - p)]\theta(n, q)b_n\}^{-1} \right].$$

**Remark 3.2.** (i) We note that the result obtained by Prajapat et al. [ [12], Theorem 6 ] is not correct. The correct result is given by (3.9) with  $\beta = 1$ ;

(ii) We note that the result obtained by Raina and Srivastava [ [13], Theorem 6 ] is not correct. The correct result is given by (3.9) by taking  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ , replacing  $n$  by  $n + p$  and choosing  $b_n = \binom{\nu + n + p - 1}{n}$  ( $\nu > -p$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. S. AL-AMIRI, On Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **38** (1980), pp. 87-94.
- [2] R. M. ALI, M. H. KHAN, V. RAVICHANARAN and K. G. SUBRAMANIAN, A class of multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by convolution, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **43** (2006), No. 1, pp. 179-188.
- [3] O. ALTINTAS and S. OWA, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **19** (1996), pp. 797-800.
- [4] O. ALTINTAS, O. OZKAN and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Letters*, **13** (2000), No. 3, pp. 63-67.
- [5] O. ALTINTAS, O. OZKAN and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **47** (2004), pp. 1667-1672.
- [6] M. K. AOUF, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 2006, Article ID 38258, pp. 1-6.
- [7] J. DZIOK and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Applied Math. Comput.*, **103** (1999), pp. 1-13.
- [8] A. W. GOODMAN, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1957), pp. 598-601.
- [9] G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions of complex order, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **5** (2004), Art. 24, pp. 1-8 (electronic).
- [10] H. ORHAN and E. KADIOGLU, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Tamsui Oxford J. Math. Sci.*, **20** (2004), pp. 135-142.

- [11] H. ORHAN and M. KAMALI, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi. (N.S.)*, **21** (2005), No. 1, pp. 55-61 (electronic).
- [12] J. K. PRAJAPAT, R. K. RAINA and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Inclusion and neighborhood properties for certain classes of multivalently analytic functions associated with the convolution structure, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **8** (2007), Art. 7, pp. 1-8 (electronic).
- [13] R. K. RAINA and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of some analytic and multivalent functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **7** (2006), Art. 5, pp. 1-6 (electronic).
- [14] ST. RUSCHEWEYH, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1981), pp. 521-527.
- [15] G. S. SALAGEAN, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.* (Springer - Verlag) **1013** (1983), pp. 362-372.
- [16] H. M. SRIVASTAVA and H. ORHAN, Coefficient inequalities and inclusion some families of analytic and multivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Letters*, **20** (2007), pp. 686 - 691.
- [17] H. M. SRIVASTAVA and S. OWA (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.