



**GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED ON THE CLASS
OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS**

N. MARIKKANNAN, A. GANGADHARAN, AND C. GANESAMOORTHY

Received 13 February, 2006; accepted 1 August, 2006; published 29 January, 2007.

Communicated by: M. Darus

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING,
SRIPERUMBUDUR 602105, INDIA.
mari@svce.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING,
SRIPERUMBUDUR 602105, INDIA.
ganga@svce.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAUKUDI, INDIA.
ganesamoorthyc@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} denotes the class of all analytic functions $f(z)$, normalized by the condition $f'(0) - 1 = f(0) = 0$ defined on the open unit disk Δ and S be the subclass of \mathcal{A} containing univalent functions of \mathcal{A} . In this paper, we find the sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions defined on S to be in certain subclasses of \mathcal{A} , like $k - UCV, k - ST$.

Key words and phrases: Hypergeometric series, Uniformly convex functions, Uniformly starlike function, Convolution, Positive coefficients, Coefficient inequality.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C55.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all analytic functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let S be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent functions. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

This class is denoted by $S^*(\alpha)$, where $S^*(0) \equiv S^*$, the class of starlike functions. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $K(\alpha)$, the class of convex univalent function of order α , if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Clearly $K(0) \equiv K$, the class of convex univalent functions. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be uniformly convex in Δ if $f(z)$ has the property

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

This class was introduced by Goodman [2]. Further Kanas and Wiśniowska [4] defined the class $k-UCV$ as

$$k-UCV := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \Delta \text{ and } 0 \leq k < \infty) \right\}.$$

Rønning [5] defined a new class S_p consisting of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \geq \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Kanas and Wiśniowska [6] defined the class $k-ST$ by

$$k-ST := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (0 \leq k < \infty) \right\}.$$

Let $\tau \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in $R^\tau(A, B)$, if

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{(A - B)\tau - B[f'(z) - 1]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

This class was introduced and studied by Dixit and Pal [7].

Ponnusamy and Rønning [8] investigated the class S_λ^* , which is defined as

$$S_\lambda^* := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < \lambda, \quad (z \in \Delta; \lambda > 0) \right\}.$$

Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. The Hadamard product or convolution of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, denoted by $(f * g)(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Finally we recall a sufficiently adequate special case of a convolution operator which was introduced earlier by Srivatsava [9], by using the Pochhammer symbol, defined by

$$(\lambda)_n := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)\dots(\lambda + n - 1) & (n = 1, 2, 3\dots) \end{cases}$$

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q$ be complex numbers with $\beta_j \neq 0, -1, -2\dots$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. The generalized hypergeometric series is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pF_q(z) &:= {}_pF_q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q; z) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n(\alpha_2)_n \dots (\alpha_p)_n z^n}{(\beta_1)_n(\beta_2)_n \dots (\beta_q)_n n!} \quad (p \leq q + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This series converges absolutely in the entire complex plane for $p < q + 1$ and in the unit disc for $p = q + 1$. The condition $p \leq q + 1$ is assumed throughout this paper. Note that the series ${}_pF_q(1)$ converges for $\Re(\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i) > 0$.

The operator I for functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(f) &:= z {}_pF_q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; z) * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1}(\alpha_2)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1}(\beta_2)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Merkes and Scott [10] and Ruscheweyh and Singh [11] used continued fractions to find sufficient conditions for the function $z {}_2F_1 = z {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ to be in the class $S^*(\alpha)$, $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ for various choices of the parameters a, b, c . Carlson and Shaffer [12] proved, convolution results in the class $S^*(\alpha)$ can be expressed in terms of a linear operator acting on a hypergeometric functions. Owa and Srivatsava [13] dealt extensively with univalent functions and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q(z)$ with $p \leq q + 1$. Gangadharan *et al.* [14] investigated various mappings and inclusion properties involving such subclasses of analytic and univalent functions.

In this paper we obtain the sufficient conditions for $I_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(f)$ to be in the classes $k - UCV, k - ST, S_{\lambda}^*, PM_q(\alpha)$ for $f \in S$ with appropriate restrictions on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q$.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

Lemma 2.1. [1] *If the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in S , then $|a_n| \leq n$.*

Lemma 2.2. [4] *A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $k - UCV$, if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - 1)|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{k + 2}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [6] *A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $k - ST$, if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + (n - 1)k)|a_n| \leq 1.$$

Lemma 2.4. [8] *A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in S_{λ}^* , if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\lambda + n - 1)|a_n| \leq \lambda.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f \in S, \alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q |\beta_j| > \sum_{i=1}^p |\alpha_i| + 3$. Then the sufficient condition for $I_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(f(z)) \in k - UCV$, is*

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} \right| [{}_{p+2}F_{q+2}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3, 2; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2, 1; 1) \\ + {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2, 1; 1)] \leq \frac{1}{k+2}.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2 it is enough if we prove

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} (\alpha_2)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} (\beta_2)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n \right| \leq \frac{1}{k+2}.$$

In view of Lemma 2.1 consider,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} (\alpha_2)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} (\beta_2)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} (\alpha_2)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} (\beta_2)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} (|\alpha_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} (|\beta_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} (|\alpha_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} (|\beta_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} (|\alpha_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} (|\beta_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} (|\alpha_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} (|\beta_2|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & = \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_q} \right| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1| + 1)_{n-2} (|\alpha_2| + 1)_{n-2} \dots (|\alpha_p| + 1)_{n-2} (3)_{n-2} (2)_{n-2}}{(|\beta_1| + 1)_{n-2} (|\beta_2| + 1)_{n-2} \dots (|\beta_q| + 1)_{n-2} (2)_{n-2} (1)_{n-2} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_n (|\alpha_2|)_n \dots (|\alpha_p|)_n (2)_n}{(|\beta_1|)_n (|\beta_2|)_n \dots (|\beta_q|)_n (1)_n (1)_{n-1}} \\ & = \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_q} \right| [{}_{p+2}F_{q+2}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3, 2; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2, 1; 1) \\ & \quad + {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2, 1; 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

As the above expression is bounded above by $\frac{1}{k+2}$, the result follows. ■

Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in S$. Also $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q |\beta_j| > \sum_{i=1}^p |\alpha_i| + 2$. Then the sufficient condition for $I_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(f(z)) \in k - ST$ is

$$(k+1) \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} \right| [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2, 1; 1) \\ + {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_p|, 2; |\beta_1|, \dots, |\beta_q|, 1; 1)] \leq 2.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.3 it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n-1)k] \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n \right| \leq 1.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n - 1)k] \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n \right| \\
 \leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n - 1)k] \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} |a_n| \\
 = & (k + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} |a_n| \\
 & + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} |a_n| \\
 \leq & (k + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\
 & + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\
 = & (k + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\
 & + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \dots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\
 = & (k + 1) \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} \right| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1| + 1)_{n-2} \dots (|\alpha_p| + 1)_{n-2} (3)_{n-2}}{(|\beta_1| + 1)_{n-2} \dots (|\beta_q| + 1)_{n-2} (2)_{n-2} (1)_{n-2}} \\
 & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_n \dots (|\alpha_p|)_n (2)_n}{(|\beta_1|)_n \dots (|\beta_q|)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \\
 = & (k + 1) \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} \right|_{p+1} F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2; 1) \\
 & + {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_p|, 2; |\beta_1|, \dots, |\beta_q|, 1; 1) - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the above quantity is bounded above by 1, result is proved. ■

Theorem 2.7. Let $f \in S$. Also $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q |\beta_j| > \sum_{i=1}^p |\alpha_i| + 2$. Then the sufficient condition for $I_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p}(f(z)) \in S_{\lambda}^*$, is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_p|, 2; |\beta_1|, \dots, |\beta_q|, 1; 1) \\
 + & \frac{1}{\lambda} \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} \right|_{p+1} F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2; 1) \leq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.4, it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\lambda + n - 1) \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n \right| \leq \lambda$$

By using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\lambda + n - 1) \left| \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n \right| \\
& \leq \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \cdots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \cdots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_{n-1} \cdots (|\alpha_p|)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(|\beta_1|)_{n-1} \cdots (|\beta_q|)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\
& = \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1|)_n \cdots (|\alpha_p|)_n (2)_n}{(|\beta_1|)_n \cdots (|\beta_q|)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \\
& \quad + \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} \right| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha_1| + 1)_{n-2} \cdots (|\alpha_p| + 1)_{n-2} (3)_{n-2}}{(|\beta_1| + 1)_{n-2} \cdots (|\beta_q| + 1)_{n-2} (2)_{n-2} (1)_{n-2}} \\
& = \lambda [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_p|, 2; |\beta_1|, \dots, |\beta_q|, 1; 1) - 1] \\
& \quad + \left| \frac{2\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} \right| {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, |\alpha_p| + 1, 3; |\beta_1| + 1, \dots, |\beta_q| + 1, 2; 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows, as the above expression is bounded above by λ . ■

3. THE CLASS $PM_g(\alpha)$

Definition 3.1. [15] Let P be the class of all analytic functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with $a_n \geq 0$. Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be a fixed analytic function in Δ with $b_n > 0$, for all $n \geq 2$. Define the class $PM_g(\alpha)$ by,

$$PM_g(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in P : \Re \left(\frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{(f * g)(z)} \right) < \alpha \quad (1 < \alpha < 3/2; z \in \Delta) \right\}$$

This class was introduced by Ravichandran *et al.*.

Lemma 3.1. [15] Let the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be in P . Then $f(z) \in PM_g(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) a_n b_n \leq \alpha - 1 \quad (1 < \alpha < 3/2).$$

Lemma 3.2. [4, 6] Let the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is in the class $k - UCV$ then the following coefficient inequality holds true.

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(P_1)_{n-1}}{n!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\})$$

where $P_1 = P_1(k)$ is the coefficient of z in the function

$$p_k(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(k) z^n,$$

which is the extremal function for the class $\mathcal{P}(p_k)$ related to the class $k - UCV$ by the range of expression

$$1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Similarly for a function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ belonging to the class $k - ST$, the following coefficient inequality holds true

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(P_1)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\})$$

where $P_1 = P_1(k)$.

Lemma 3.3. [7] If $f \in R^\tau[A, B]$, then the following coefficient inequality holds true.

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{A - B}{n} |\tau|.$$

Note that if $g(z)$ is also univalent then we have the following interesting sufficient conditions.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j > \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i + 2$. Then the sufficient condition for $z_p F_q(z) \in PM_g(\alpha)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{(\alpha - 1)\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_p + 1, 3; \beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_q + 1, 2; 1)] \\ & + [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, 2; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1; 1) - 1] \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1 it is enough if we prove

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} b_n \leq \alpha - 1.$$

By using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} b_n \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 (\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\ & \quad - \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n (\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1) (\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\ & \quad + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n (\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n (\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & \quad + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_p)_n (2)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-2}} \\ & \quad + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_p)_n (2)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_p + 1, 3; \beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_q + 1, 2; 1)] \\ + (\alpha - 1) [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, 2; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1; 1) - 1].$$

As the above expression is bounded above by $\alpha - 1$, the result follows. ■

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in R^\tau[A, B]$ and $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ with $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j > \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i + 1$. Then the sufficient condition for $I_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p}(f(z)) \in PM_g(\alpha)$ is,

$$\frac{(A - B)|\tau|}{\alpha - 1} [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, 2; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1; 1) \\ - \alpha_p F_q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; 1) + 1] \leq 1.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1 it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n b_n \leq \alpha - 1.$$

By using the coefficient estimates of the classes S and $R^\tau[A, B]$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n b_n \\ \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} (A - B)|\tau| \\ = (A - B)|\tau| \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \right. \\ \left. - \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \right] \\ = (A - B)|\tau| \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_p)_n (2)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \right. \\ \left. - \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_p)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n} \right] \\ = (A - B)|\tau| [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, 2; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1; 1) - 1 \\ - \alpha_p F_q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; 1) + \alpha].$$

The above expression is bounded above by $\alpha - 1$. Hence the result follows. ■

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in k - UCV$ and $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ with $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j > \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i + P_1$. Then the sufficient condition for $I_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p}(f(z)) \in PM_g(\alpha)$ is,

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p P_1}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_q} \right) {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_p + 1, P_1 + 1; \beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_q + 1, 2; 1) \\ + (1 - \alpha) [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, P_1; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1; 1) - 1] \leq \alpha - 1.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1 it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n b_n \leq \alpha - 1.$$

In view of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n b_n \\
 \leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_p)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \frac{n(P_1)_{n-1}}{n!} \\
 \leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_p)_{n-1} (P_1)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\
 = & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_p)_{n-1} (P_1)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_q)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\
 = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + 1 - \alpha)(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_p)_n (P_1)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \\
 = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_p)_n (P_1)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_{n-1}} \\
 & + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_p)_n (P_1)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \\
 = & \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p P_1}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_p + 1)_{n-1} (P_1 + 1)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1 + 1)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_q + 1)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \\
 & + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_p)_n (P_1)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_q)_n (1)_n (1)_n} \\
 = & \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p P_1}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} \right) {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_p + 1, P_1 + 1; \beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_q + 1, 2; 1) \\
 & + (1 - \alpha) [{}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, P_1; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1; 1) - 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the above expression is bounded above by $\alpha - 1$, the result follows. ■

On similar lines we have the following theorem, the proof of which is omitted.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f \in k - ST$ and $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ with $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j > \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i + P_1 + 1$ then $I_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_q}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_p} (f(z)) \in PM_g(\alpha)$, if

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{2\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p P_1}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} {}_{p+2}F_{q+2}(\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_p + 1, P_1 + 1, 3; \beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_q + 1, 2, 2; 1) \\
 & + (1 - \alpha) [{}_{p+2}F_{q+2}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, P_1, 2; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, 1, 1; 1) - 1] \leq \alpha - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. DE. BRANGES, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Math.*, **154** (1985), pp. 137-152.
- [2] A. W. GOODMAN, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **56** (1991), no. 1, pp. 87-92.
- [3] A. W. GOODMAN, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **155** (1991), no. 2, pp. 364-370.
- [4] S. KANAS and A. WIŚNIEWSKA, Conic regions and k- uniform convexity, *J. Compt. Appl. Math.*, **105** (1999), no. 1-2, pp.327-336.

- [5] F. RØNNING, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, **118** (1993), no. 1, pp. 189-196.
- [6] S. KANAS and A. WIŚNIEWSKA, Conic domains and starlike functions, *Rev. Roumaine. Math. Pures. Appl.*, **45** (2000), no. 4, pp. 647-657.
- [7] K. K. DIXIT and S. K. PAL, On a class of univalent functions related to complex order, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26** (1995), pp. 889-896.
- [8] S. PONNUSAMY and F. RØNNING, Starlikeness properties for convolutions involving hypergeometric series, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie - Sklodowska sec A.*, **52** (1998), pp. 1-16.
- [9] S. KANAS and H. M. SRIVATSAVA, Linear operators associated with k -uniformly convex functions, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, **9** (2000), pp. 121-132.
- [10] E. MERKES and W. T. SCOTT, Starlike hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, **12**, pp. 885-888.
- [11] S. RUSCHEWEYH and V. SINGH, On the radii of starlikeness of hypergeometric functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **113** (1986), pp. 1-11.
- [12] B. C. CARLSON and D. B. SHAFFER, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **15** (1984), pp. 737-745.
- [13] S. OWA and H. M. SRIVATSAVA, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, **39** (1987), pp. 1057-1077.
- [14] A. GANGADHARAN, T. N. SHANMUGAM and H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Generalized hypergeometric functions associated with k -uniformly convex functions, *Internat. J. Comp. Math. Appl.*, **44** (2002), pp. 1515-1526.
- [15] M. DARUS, N. MARIKKANNAN and V. RAVICHANDRAN, On a class of analytic functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **47** (70) (2005), no.1, pp. 53-60.