



RECURSIVE BOUNDS FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF SYMMETRIC POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

PRAVIN SINGH, SHIVANI SINGH, AND VIRATH SINGH

Received 3 August, 2023; accepted 24 April, 2024; published 5 July, 2024.

UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL, PRIVATE BAG X54001, DURBAN, SOUTH AFRICA, 4001
singhp@ukzn.ac.za

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA, DEPARTMENT OF DECISION SCIENCES, PO BOX 392, PRETORIA, 0003,
SOUTH AFRICA,
singhs2@unisa.ac.za

UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL, PRIVATE BAG X54001, DURBAN, SOUTH AFRICA, 4001
singhv@ukzn.ac.za

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we bound the extremal eigenvalues of a positive definite real symmetric matrix by considering a part of the characteristic equation in the region of the smallest and largest eigenvalues. An expansion around these values leads to a sequence of monotonic functions, whose zeros coincide with the extremal zeros of associated polynomials. The latter is shown to yield bounds that are fairly accurate.

Key words and phrases: Positive definite matrix; Eigenvalues; Bounds.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A18, 15A45, Secondary 65F35.

1. INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of the distribution of the spectrum $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ of matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is vital to many applied mathematics and engineering problems. Their distribution in the complex plane determines the stability of the solution of a system of differential equations. For symmetric matrices these values are real and their extremal values serve an important aspect in determining the conditioning of an associated linear algebraic system. They are vital for the approximation of normal operators [5]. Some crude bounds are obtained by application of Gerschgorin's theorem [3] and the ovals of Cassini [1]. Trace bounds [13, 4] give reasonably good results, however the lower bound is not guaranteed to be positive as expected, for the class of positive definite real symmetric matrices. Also an improvement using trace bounds [8] requires much more effort as traces of powers of matrix \mathbf{A} are required. The application of Rayleigh's theorem [3] provides good inner bounds, however the outer bounds are not so easily approximated. The solution of the characteristic equation of a matrix \mathbf{A} is a difficult task for large dimensions, therefore many methods have been proposed for approximating the extremal eigenvalues. For positive definite symmetric matrices Dembo bounds [2] arise by examining the characteristic equation of \mathbf{A} and relies on bounds of a principal submatrix. Ma and Zarowski [6] improved on Dembo's lower bound by ensuring that it was always positive. This idea was also used to further improve the lower bounds of the minimal eigenvalue [12] and to Toeplitz matrices by Melman [7] for both upper and lower bounds. All techniques are to be considered in their proper context to isolate the extremal eigenvalues. Recently there has been a resurgence in research into the bounding of the spectrum of real positive definite symmetric matrices [9, 10, 11].

2. THEORY

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive definite matrix, with spectrum $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ arranged in ascending order

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \cdots \leq \lambda_n.$$

Partition \mathbf{A} as follows

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} c & \mathbf{b}^t \\ \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ and $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, with $c > 0$ (follows from positive definiteness).

Let $\sigma(\mathbf{B}) = \{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be arranged in ascending order

$$(2.1) \quad \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \cdots \leq \beta_{n-1}$$

and note that by the interlacing theorem [3]

$$\lambda_1 < \beta_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \beta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{n-1} < \lambda_n$$

where we have assumed strict separation of the extremal eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} . We examine the characteristic polynomial $\det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$ in order to ascertain the eigenvalues.

$$(2.2) \quad \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})[\lambda - c - \mathbf{b}^t(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{b}]$$

Note that the resolvent $(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}$ exists for $\lambda \notin \sigma(\mathbf{B})$, hence (2.2) is valid for $\lambda \in (0, \beta_1) \cup (\beta_{n-1}, \infty)$. It follows that λ_n must be a zero of the function.

$$(2.3) \quad f(\lambda) = \lambda - c - \mathbf{b}^t(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

3. MAXIMUM EIGENVALUE BOUNDS

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ be a positive definite symmetric matrix with $\sigma(\mathbf{B}) = \{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ arranged in ascending order (2.1) with $\beta_l \leq \beta_1$ and $\beta_{n-1} \leq \beta_u$ known lower and upper bounds for the extremal eigenvalues of \mathbf{B} . Then for $\lambda > \sigma(\mathbf{B})$ and non zero $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we have*

$$\frac{\beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^p (\lambda - \beta_l)} \leq \sum \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^k} \leq \frac{\beta_u \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^p (\lambda - \beta_u)}$$

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}\}$ be an orthogonal set of eigenvectors of \mathbf{B} , then \mathbf{B} has the spectral decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^t \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i \mathbf{G}_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{G}_i = \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^t$ are orthogonal projectors onto the nullspace $N(\beta_i \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^k} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^{p+k} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{p+k}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^{p+k} \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{p+k}} \\ &= \frac{\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\lambda}\right)^k \\ (3.1) \qquad &= \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\lambda}\right)^k \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\lambda}\right)^k &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_u}{\lambda}\right)^k \\ (3.2) \qquad &= \frac{\beta_u}{\lambda - \beta_u} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\lambda}\right)^k &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_l}{\lambda}\right)^k \\ (3.3) \qquad &= \frac{\beta_l}{\lambda - \beta_l} \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) ■

Since the spectral radius $\rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{B})}{\lambda} = \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\lambda} < 1$ we may write $f(\lambda)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^n(\lambda) &= \lambda - c - \frac{\mathbf{b}^t}{\lambda} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\lambda} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\
 &= \lambda - c - \frac{\mathbf{b}^t}{\lambda} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{B}^k}{\lambda^k} \right) \mathbf{b} \\
 (3.4) \quad &= \lambda - c - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^k} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^k}
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply 3.1 to (3.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^n(\lambda) &\leq l_p^n(\lambda) = \lambda - c - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^k} - \frac{\beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{p+1}(\lambda - \beta_l)} \\
 &= \lambda - c - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{k+1}} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^p(\lambda - \beta_l)} \\
 f^n(\lambda) &\geq u_p^n(\lambda) = \lambda - c - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^k} - \frac{\beta_u \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{p+1}(\lambda - \beta_u)} \\
 &= \lambda - c - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{k+1}} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^p(\lambda - \beta_u)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(3.5) \quad l_{p+1}^n(\lambda) - l_p^n(\lambda) = \frac{\beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{p+1}(\lambda - \beta_l)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_l \beta_i^p \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \right\rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &\leq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^{p+1} \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \right\rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $l_{p+1}^n \leq l_p^n(\lambda)$.

Similarly we may show that

$$u_{p+1}^n(\lambda) - u_p^n(\lambda) = \frac{\beta_u \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\lambda^{p+1}(\lambda - \beta_u)} \geq 0$$

so that $u_{p+1}^n(\lambda) \geq u_p^n(\lambda)$.

Hence we have the sequence of functions bounding $f^n(\lambda)$ given by

$$u_0^n(\lambda) \leq u_1^n(\lambda) \leq \dots \leq u_p^n(\lambda) \leq u_{p+1}^n(\lambda) \leq \dots \leq f^n(\lambda) \leq \dots \leq l_{p+1}^n(\lambda) \leq l_p^n(\lambda) \leq l_1^n(\lambda) \leq l_0^n(\lambda)$$

Note that $\frac{df^n}{d\lambda} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2f^n}{d\lambda^2} < 0$ implies that $f^n(\lambda)$ is increasing and concave down. Also $f^n(\lambda)$ is asymptotic to $\lambda - c$.

We have $l_p^n(\lambda_n) \geq f^n(\lambda_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} l_p^n(\lambda) = -\infty$, hence $l_p^n(\lambda)$ has a zero in $(-\infty, \lambda_n]$. Since $u_p^n(\lambda_n) \leq f^n(\lambda_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} u_p^n(\lambda) = \infty$ it follows that $u_p^n(\lambda)$ has a zero in $[\lambda_n, \infty)$. Hence the maximal zero of $l_p^n(\lambda)$ is a lower bound for λ_n and the maximal zero of $u_p^n(\lambda)$ is an upper bound for λ_n .

4. MINIMUM EIGENVALUE BOUNDS

It follows that λ_1 is also a zero of (2.3).

Now consider $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, since $\rho(\lambda \mathbf{B}^{-1}) = \lambda \rho(\mathbf{B}^{-1}) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} < 1$ we may write (2.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} f^1(\lambda) &= \lambda - c + \mathbf{b}^t \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \lambda \mathbf{B}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\ &= \lambda - c + \mathbf{b}^t \mathbf{B}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \mathbf{B}^{-k} \mathbf{b} \\ &= \lambda - c + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\ (4.1) \quad &= \lambda - c + \sum_{k=0}^p \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. For $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1 \leq \beta_l$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{\beta_u - \lambda} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{\beta_l - \lambda} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

Proof. Note that $\sigma(\mathbf{B}^{-1}) = (\sigma(\mathbf{B}))^{-1}$ and that \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B}^{-1} have the same eigenbasis. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{p+k} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{p+k} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^{-p-k-1} \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \right\rangle \\
 (4.2) \quad &= \lambda^p \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\beta_i} \right)^k
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\beta_i} \right)^k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\beta_l} \right)^k \\
 (4.3) \quad &= \frac{\lambda}{\beta_l - \lambda}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\beta_i} \right)^k \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\beta_u} \right)^k \\
 (4.4) \quad &= \frac{\lambda}{\beta_u - \lambda}
 \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by substituting (4.3) and (4.4) into (4.1). ■

Applying Lemma 4.1 to (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^1(\lambda) &\leq l_p^1(\lambda) = \lambda - c + \sum_{k=0}^p \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{\beta_l - \lambda} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= \lambda - c + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{\beta_l \lambda^p}{\beta_l - \lambda} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^1(\lambda) &\geq u_p^1(\lambda) = \lambda - c + \sum_{k=0}^p \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{(\beta_u - \lambda)} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\
 &= \lambda - c + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \lambda^k \langle \mathbf{B}^{-k-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{\beta_u \lambda^p}{(\beta_u - \lambda)} \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the case for bounding λ_n , we may show that the sequence of functions bounding $f^1(\lambda)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_0^1(\lambda) &\leq u_1^1(\lambda) \leq \dots \leq u_p^1(\lambda) \leq u_{p+1}^1(\lambda) \leq \dots \leq f^1(\lambda) \\ &\leq \dots \leq l_{p+1}^1(\lambda) \leq l_p^1(\lambda) \leq l_1^1(\lambda) \leq l_0^1(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

The zeros of the functions $l_p^n(\lambda)$, $u_p^n(\lambda)$, $l_p^1(\lambda)$ and $u_p^1(\lambda)$ are equivalent to the zeros of the corresponding polynomials $L_p^n(\lambda)$, $U_p^n(\lambda)$, $L_p^1(\lambda)$ and $U_p^1(\lambda)$. It can be readily shown that the following recurrence relations are satisfied.

$$(4.5) \quad L_{p+1}^n(\lambda) = \lambda L_p^n(\lambda) + \beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$(4.6) \quad L_0^n(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda(\beta_l + c) + \beta_l c - \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$(4.7) \quad U_{p+1}^n(\lambda) = \lambda U_p^n(\lambda) + \beta_u \langle \mathbf{B}^p \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{p+1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$(4.8) \quad U_0^n(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda(\beta_u + c) + \beta_u c - \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$(4.9) \quad L_{p+1}^1(\lambda) = \lambda L_p^1(\lambda) + \lambda^{p+1}(\beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-2} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle)$$

$$(4.10) \quad L_0^1(\lambda) = (\lambda - c)(\beta_l - \lambda) + \beta_l \langle \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$(4.11) \quad U_{p+1}^1(\lambda) = \lambda U_p^1(\lambda) + \lambda^{p+1}(\beta_u \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-2} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{-p-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle)$$

$$(4.12) \quad U_0^1(\lambda) = (\lambda - c)(\beta_u - \lambda) + \beta_u \langle \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

We shall label the maximal zeros of (4.5)–(4.8) by $\lambda_n^{l,p}$ and $\lambda_n^{u,p}$ and the minimal zeros of (4.9)–(4.12) by $\lambda_1^{l,p}$ and $\lambda_1^{u,p}$.

The maximal zero of $L_0^n(\lambda)$ yields the Dembo lower bound

$$\lambda_n^{l,0} = \frac{\beta_l + c}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta_l - c}{2}\right)^2 + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}$$

whilst the maximal zero of $U_0^n(\lambda)$ yields the Dembo upper bound

$$(4.13) \quad \lambda_n^{u,0} = \frac{\beta_u + c}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta_u - c}{2}\right)^2 + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}$$

As

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\beta_u} \leq \langle \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \frac{\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\beta_l}$$

it follows from (4.10) and (4.12) that

$$(4.14) \quad L_0^1(\lambda) \leq L_d^1(\lambda) = (\lambda - c)(\beta_l - \lambda) + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

$$(4.15) \quad U_0^1(\lambda) \geq U_d^1(\lambda) = (\lambda - c)(\beta_u - \lambda) + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

where we have used the subscript d to denote Dembo. It thus follows from (4.14) and (4.15) that $\lambda_1^{l,0}$ is larger than the Dembo lower bound which is the minimal zero of (4.14) given by

$$\lambda_1^{l,d} = \frac{\beta_l + c}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta_l - c}{2}\right) + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}$$

and that $\lambda_1^{u,0}$ is smaller than the Dembo lower bound which is the minimal zero of (4.15) given by

$$\lambda_1^{u,d} = \frac{\beta_u + c}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta_u - c}{2}\right) + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}$$

5. RESULTS

Consider the test matrix [13], which is symmetric positive definite.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

with minimum eigenvalue **1.425687** and maximum eigenvalue **9.375939** accurate to six decimal places. We use $\beta_l = 4.585786$ and $\beta_u = 7.414214$, which are obtained from $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ accurate to six digits in order to illustrate the efficacy of our method. While exact formula may be derived for these zeros of order up to four, it is easier to use the Newton method or a function root finder to locate these bounds. It is not necessary to evaluate powers of \mathbf{B} or \mathbf{B}^{-1} or even to determine \mathbf{B}^{-1} explicitly. For example the computation of $L_4^n(\lambda)$ requires $\langle \mathbf{B}^k \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 4$. Let $\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}_1$ then $\langle \mathbf{B}\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{b} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{B}^2 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_1 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{B}^3 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{B}^4 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_2 \rangle$. The computation of $U_4^1(\lambda)$ for example requires $\langle \mathbf{B}^{-k} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Let $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{y}_1$ and $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}_3 = \mathbf{y}_2$, where $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_3$ are determined by a linear solver (or LU decomposition for higher order polynomials). Then $\langle \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{b} \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{B}^{-2} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{B}^{-4} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{B}^{-5} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}_3, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle$. We present result for orders up to six in table 5.1. It is noted that very good bounds are achieved for relatively low orders. From (3.5) it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} l_p^n(\lambda) - l_{p+1}^n(\lambda) &\leq \frac{\beta_u^{p+1} - \beta_l^{p+1}}{\beta_u^{p+1}(\beta_u - \beta_l)} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\beta_l}{\beta_u}\right)^{p+1}}{\beta_u - \beta_l} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So for $\beta_l \ll \beta_u$ and for relatively small values of p , the zeros of $l_p^n(\lambda)$ and $l_{p+1}^n(\lambda)$ are close together and not much is gained by using very high orders of $l_p^n(\lambda)$ or $L_p^n(\lambda)$. A similar pattern is true in this case for the polynomials $U_p^n(\lambda)$, $L_p^1(\lambda)$ and $U_p^1(\lambda)$.

6. CONCLUSION

We have derived convenient recurrence relationships for the polynomials whose minimal zeros bound the smallest eigenvalue of positive definite matrices. Also the lower bound on this eigenvalue is guaranteed to be positive for relatively low orders of the polynomials as opposed to trace methods. Similarly we present polynomials whose maximal zeros bound the largest eigenvalue. These zeros are both easy and simple to compute using little computational effort.

\mathbf{p}	$\lambda_1^{l,p}$	$\lambda_1^{u,p}$	$\lambda_n^{l,p}$	$\lambda_n^{u,p}$
0	2.852066	3.492517	7.910321	9.696369
1	1.350105	1.463952	8.338852	9.571525
2	1.363644	1.456318	8.636966	9.496576
3	1.365617	1.454813	8.842526	9.450860
4	1.365907	1.454512	8.985627	9.422709
5	1.365950	1.454450	9.086765	9.405258
6	1.365957	1.454438	9.159378	9.394385

Table 5.1: Extremal bounds for λ_1 and λ_n

REFERENCES

[1] A. BRAUER, Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix VII, *Duke Math. J.*,**25** (1958), pp. 583–590.

[2] A. DEMBO, Bounds on the extreme eigenvalues of positive definite Toeplitz matrices, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, MR 89b:15028 **34** (1988), pp. 352–355.

[3] R. HORN and C. A. JOHNSON, Matrix Analysis, *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*, (2012).

[4] T. Z. HUANG and C. X. XU, Bounds for the extreme eigenvalues of symmetric matrices, *ZAMM*, **83** 3 (2003), pp. 214–216.

[5] W. LAMB, J. MIKA and G.F. ROACH, Approximate Solutions of Problems Involving Normal Operators, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **126** (1987), pp. 209–222.

[6] E.M. MA and C.J. ZAROWSKI, On lower bounds for the smallest eigenvalue of a Hermitian Matrix, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **41** (1995), pp. 539–540.

[7] A. MELMAN, Extreme Eigenvalues of Real Symmetric Toeplitz Matrices, *Mathematics of Computation*, **70** 234 (2000), pp. 649–669.

[8] R. SHARMA, R. KUMAR and R. SAINI, Note on Bounds for Eigenvalues using Traces, *Functional Analysis*, arXiv:1409.0096v1, (2014).

[9] P. SINGH, V. SINGH, and S. SINGH, New bounds for the maximal eigenvalues of positive definite matrices, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **35, no.5**, (2022) pp. 685–691.

[10] P. SINGH, V. SINGH, and S. SINGH, Outer bounds for the extremal eigenvalues of positive definite matrices, *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **53, no.2**, (2003), pp. 690–694.

[11] P. SINGH, V. SINGH, and S. SINGH, Results on the bounds of the spectrum of positive definite matrices by projections, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **20, no.2, Art. 3**, (2023), pp. 1–10.

[12] W. SUN, Lower Bounds of the Minimal Eigenvalue of a Hermitian Positive-Definite Matrix, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **46** 7 (2000).

[13] H. WOLKOWICZ and G. P. H. STYAN, Bounds for eigenvalues using traces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **29** (1980), pp. 471–506.