



## USING DIRECT AND FIXED POINT TECHNIQUE OF CUBIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION AND ITS HYERS-ULAM STABILITY

RAMANUJA RAO KOTTI, RAJNESH KRISHNAN MUDALIAR, KAUSHAL NEELAM DEVI,  
SHAILENDRA VIKASH NARAYAN

*Received 19 April, 2023; accepted 31 August, 2023; published 24 November, 2023.*

FIJI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, P.O. BOX 5529, LAUTOKA,  
FIJI.

ramanuja.kotti@fnu.ac.fj  
URL: <https://www.fnu.ac.fj>

**ABSTRACT.** In this present work, we introduce a new type of finite dimensional cubic functional equation of the form

$$\Lambda \left( \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{\phi} \epsilon f_{\epsilon} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq \epsilon < f < g \leq \phi} \Lambda (\epsilon f_{\epsilon} + f f_f + g f_g) + (3 - \phi) \sum_{1 \leq \epsilon < f \leq \phi} \Lambda (\epsilon f_{\epsilon} + f f_f) + \left( \frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2} \right) \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{\phi-1} (\epsilon + 1)^3 \left( \frac{\Lambda(f_{\epsilon+1}) - \Lambda(-f_{\epsilon+1})}{2} \right),$$

where  $\phi \geq 4$  is an integer, and derive its general solution. The main purpose of this work is to investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability results for the above mentioned functional equation in Fuzzy Banach spaces by means of direct and fixed point methods.

*Key words and phrases:* Cubic-functional equation ( $\mathcal{FE}$ ); Hyers-Ulam stability ( $\mathcal{HUS}$ ); Fuzzy normed space ( $\mathcal{FNS}$ ).

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B52, 47H10, 47S40, 46S40.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A function  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  between real vector spaces is called a cubic function if

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(2\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) + \mathcal{F}(2\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) = 2\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) + 2\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) + 12\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{p}), \quad \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in X.$$

The  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.1) is known as a cubic  $\mathcal{FE}$ . As of late, impressive consideration has been expanding to the issue of fuzzy stability of  $\mathcal{FE}$ s. A few different fuzzy stability results concerning Cauchy, basic quadratic, and cubic  $\mathcal{FE}$ s have been examined [4, 6, 9], [12]-[15].

In demonstrating applied issues just halfway data might be known (or) there might be a level of vulnerability in the boundaries utilized in the model or a few estimations might be uncertain. Because of such highlights, we are enticed to think about the investigation of  $\mathcal{FE}$ s in the fuzzy setting. Throughout the previous 40 years, the fuzzy hypothesis has become a particularly unique space of assessment and a lot of progress has been made in the theory of fuzzy sets. This branch finds a wide extent of employments in the field of science and planning.

A. K. Katsaras [10] introduced a thought about the  $\mathcal{FN}$  on a linear space, around a similar time Cpmgxin Wu [21] introduced a thought of  $\mathcal{FNS}$  to give a theory of the Kolmogoroff normalized speculation for fuzzy topological linear spaces. In 1991, R. Biswas [3] portrayed and considered fuzzy inner product spaces in linear space. In 1992, C. Felbin [7] introduced an elective importance of a  $\mathcal{FN}$  on linear topological plans of a  $\mathcal{FNS}$ . In 1994, S. C. Cheng [5] introduced an importance of the  $\mathcal{FNS}$  so that the relating started fuzzy metric is of I. Kramosil [11]. In 2003, T. Pack [1, 2] changed the importance of S. C. Cheng [5] by dispensing with a normal condition. Actually various results have been analyzed by different makers one can insinuate [16]-[20].

In this present work, we introduce a new type of finite dimensional cubic  $\mathcal{FE}$  of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda \left( \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{\phi} \epsilon f_{\epsilon} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq \epsilon < \mathfrak{f} < \mathfrak{g} \leq \phi} \Lambda(\epsilon f_{\epsilon} + \mathfrak{f} f_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathfrak{g} f_{\mathfrak{g}}) + (3 - \phi) \sum_{1 \leq \epsilon < \mathfrak{f} \leq \phi} \Lambda(\epsilon f_{\epsilon} + \mathfrak{f} f_{\mathfrak{f}}) + \left( \frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2} \right) \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{\phi-1} (\epsilon + 1)^3 \left( \frac{\Lambda(f_{\epsilon+1}) - \Lambda(-f_{\epsilon+1})}{2} \right),$$

where  $\phi \geq 4$  is an integer, and derive its general solution. The main purpose of this work is to investigate the  $\mathcal{HUS}$  results for the above mentioned  $\mathcal{FE}$  in Fuzzy Banach spaces by means of direct and fixed point approaches.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some basic facts concerning  $\mathcal{FNS}$ s and some preliminary results.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  if

- (FNS01)  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}, t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ .
- (FNS02)  $x = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$ .
- (FNS03)  $\mathcal{N}(c\mathbf{p}, t) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{p}, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ .
- (FNS04)  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p}, s + t) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{q}, s), \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}, t)\}$ .
- (FNS05)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \inf} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}, t) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing on  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (FNS06) For  $x \neq 0, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{p}, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{R}, \forall \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{X}, s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{N})$  is called a  $\mathcal{FNS}$  or fuzzy normed linear space.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{p}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$N(\mathfrak{p}, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t + \beta \|x\|}, & \text{if } t > 0, \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{X} \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0, \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{X}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{N}$  is fuzzy norm on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\Lambda : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is strictly contractive and  $(\mathfrak{p}, d)$  be a complete metric space. Suppose that  $d(\Lambda^{k+1}a, \Lambda^{ka}) < \infty, k \geq 0$ , then

- (1)  $\{\Lambda^n \mathfrak{e}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to a fixed point  $b \in \mathcal{X}$  of  $\Lambda$ .
- (2)  $b$  is the unique fixed point of  $\Lambda$  in the set  $Y = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{X} | d(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{q}) < \infty\}$ .
- (3)  $d(y, b) \leq \frac{1}{1-\phi} d(\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda \mathfrak{q}), \forall \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

### 3. GENERAL SOLUTION FOR THE EQUATION (1.2)

In this part, we achieve the general solution of the cubic  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.2).

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.2), then the function  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.1).

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.2), for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{X}$ . Substituting  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  by  $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (1.2), we receive

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(0) &= \left(\frac{\phi^3 - 3\phi^2 + 2\phi}{6}\right) \Lambda(0) + (3 - \phi) \left(\frac{\phi^2 - \phi}{2}\right) \Lambda(0) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\phi^4 - 2\phi^3 + \phi^2 + 1036\phi - 1680}{4}\right) \Lambda(0) \\ &= \left(\frac{4\phi^3 - 12\phi^2 + 8\phi}{24}\right) \Lambda(0) + \left(\frac{36\phi^2 - 36\phi - 12\phi^3 + 12\phi^2}{24}\right) \Lambda(0) \\ &+ 3 \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{6}\right) \left(\frac{\phi^4 - 2\phi^3 + \phi^2 + 1036\phi - 1680}{4}\right) \Lambda(0) \\ \Lambda(0) &= \left(\frac{\phi^6 - 2\phi^5 + 51\phi^4 + 3064\phi^3 - 10446\phi^2 + 43848\phi - 10108}{24}\right) \Lambda(0) \\ 0 &= \left(\frac{\phi^6 - 2\phi^5 + 51\phi^4 + 3064\phi^3 - 10446\phi^2 + 43848\phi - 10108}{24}\right) \Lambda(0) \\ \Lambda(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  by  $(f, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda(f) &= \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 3\phi + 2}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) + (3 - \phi)(\phi - 1)\Lambda(f) + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \\
 &\quad [\Lambda(f) - \Lambda(-f)] \\
 &= \left(\frac{-\phi^2 + 5\phi - 4}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(f) - \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(-f) \\
 &= \left(\frac{-2\phi^2 + 10\phi - 8 + \phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(f) - \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(-f) \\
 &= \left(\frac{-\phi^2 + 5\phi - 2}{4}\right) \Lambda(f) - \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(-f) \\
 &= -\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(f) - \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{4}\right) \Lambda(-f) \\
 \Lambda(-f) &= -\Lambda(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Lambda$  is odd function. Again replacing  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  by  $(0, f, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda(2f) &= \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 3l + 2}{2}\right) \Lambda(2f) + (3 - \phi)(\phi - 1)\Lambda(2f) \\
 &\quad + 8\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) \\
 &= \left(\frac{-\phi^2 + 5\phi - 4}{2}\right) \Lambda(2f) + 8\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) \\
 0 &= \left(\frac{-\phi^2 + 5\phi - 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(2f) + 8\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) \\
 0 &= -\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(2f) + 8\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) \\
 \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(2f) &= 8\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(f) \\
 (3.1) \quad \Lambda(2f) &= 8\Lambda(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, letting  $f$  by  $2f$  in (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \Lambda(2^2 f) = 2^3 \Lambda(2f) = 2^6 \Lambda(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Now, letting  $f$  by  $2f$  in (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \Lambda(2^3 f) = 2^6 \Lambda(2f) = 2^9 \Lambda(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

In general,

$$(3.4) \quad \Lambda(2^e f) = 2^e \Lambda(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Setting  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  by  $(\mathfrak{p}, \frac{-\mathfrak{p}}{2}, \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{4}, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (1.2), and using (3.1), we receive

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) &= \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) + (2\phi - 8)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) - (\phi - 4)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) + (\phi - 4)\Lambda(2\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 9\phi + 22}{2}\right)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 9\phi + 24}{2}\right)\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) + 2(3 - \phi)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) \\ &\quad - (3 - \phi)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) + (3 - \phi)\Lambda(2\mathfrak{p}) + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right)\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \\ &= \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) + (2\phi - 8 + 6 - 2\phi)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) - (\phi - 4 + 3 - \phi)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) \\ &\quad + (\phi - 4 + 3 - \phi)\Lambda(2\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 9\phi + 22 + 6\phi - 24 - 2\phi^2 + 8\phi + \phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right)\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 9\phi + 22 + 6\phi - 24 - 2\phi^2 + 8\phi + \phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right)\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \\ &= \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) - 2\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) + \Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) - \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p}) + 2\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) + 3\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}) \end{aligned}$$

(3.5)  $3\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) = \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) + \Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) - 6\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) + 3\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}), \forall \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{X}.$

Replacing  $\mathfrak{q}$  by  $-\mathfrak{q}$  in (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) &= \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) + \Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) - 6\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) + 3\Lambda(-\mathfrak{q}) \\ (3.6) \quad 3\Lambda(\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) &= \Lambda(2\mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}) + \Lambda(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) - 6\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) - 3\Lambda(\mathfrak{q}), \forall \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.5) and (3.6), We obtain our result (1.1). ■

#### 4. STABILITY OF THE $\mathcal{FE}$ (1.2): DIRECT METHOD

In the rest of this section, we take  $A, (B, P)$  and  $(Z, Q)$  are linear space, fuzzy Banach space and  $\mathcal{FNS}$ , respectively. For notational convenience, we use  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} D\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi) &= \Lambda\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{e}=1}^{\phi} \mathfrak{e}f_{\mathfrak{e}}\right) - \sum_{1 \leq \mathfrak{e} < \mathfrak{f} < \mathfrak{c} \leq \phi} \Lambda(\mathfrak{e}f_{\mathfrak{e}} + \mathfrak{f}f_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathfrak{g}f_{\mathfrak{g}}) - (3 - \phi) \\ &\quad \sum_{1 \leq \mathfrak{e} < \mathfrak{f} \leq \phi} \Lambda(\mathfrak{e}f_{\mathfrak{e}} + \mathfrak{f}f_{\mathfrak{f}}) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \sum_{\mathfrak{e}=0}^{\phi-1} (\mathfrak{e} + 1)^3 \left(\frac{\Lambda(f_{\mathfrak{e}+1}) - \Lambda(-f_{\mathfrak{e}+1})}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ . In this section, we examine a fuzzy version of the  $\mathcal{HUS}$  for the  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.2) in  $\mathcal{FNS}$ s by means of direct method.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $u \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $\chi : A^\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is defined by

$$(4.1) \quad Q(\chi(0, 2^u f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\varsigma^u \chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon), \varsigma > 0, \left(\frac{\varsigma}{2^3}\right)^u < 1,$$

including

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q(\chi(2^{um} f_1, 2^{um} f_2, 2^{um} f_3, \dots, 2^{um} f_\phi), 2^{3um} \varepsilon) = 1,$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then an odd mapping  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  with  $\Lambda(0) = 0$  fulfils

$$(4.2) \quad P(D_\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\chi(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \varepsilon),$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the limit

$$C(f) = P - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda(2^{um} f)}{2^{3um}} \text{ exists, } \forall f \in \mathcal{P}$$

and  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is a unique cubic mapping such that

$$(4.3) \quad P(\Lambda(f) - C(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\chi(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) |2^3 - \varsigma| \varepsilon),$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Initially, we consider  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Substituting  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  through  $(0, f, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (4.2), we reach

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(2f) - 8\left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \Lambda(f), \varepsilon\right) &\geq Q(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon) \\ P\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda(2f)}{2^3}\right) - \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{8(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}\right), \varepsilon\right) &\geq Q(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon), \\ &f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging  $f$  through  $2^m f$  in (4.4), we acquire

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda(2^{(m+1)} f)}{2^3}\right) - \Lambda(2^m f), \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}\right)\right) &\geq Q(\chi(2^m f, 2^m f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon) \\ P\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda(2^{(m+1)} f)}{2^{3(m+1)}}\right) - \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{2^{3(m+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}\right)\right) &\geq Q(\chi(0, 2^m f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon), \\ &f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing (4.1) and (3) in the above inequality, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda(2^{(m+1)} f)}{2^{3(m+1)}}\right) - \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{2^{3(m+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}\right)\right) &\geq Q(\chi(0, 2^m f, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\varepsilon}{\varsigma^m}), \\ &f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Switching  $\varepsilon$  through  $\varsigma^m \varepsilon$  in the last inequality, we acquire

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda(2^{(m+1)} f)}{2^{3(m+1)}}\right) - \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, \left(\frac{2\varsigma^m \varepsilon}{2^{3(m+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}\right)\right) &\geq Q(\chi(0, 2^m f, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon), \\ &f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^{(m)} f)}{2^{3(m)}} \right) - \Lambda(f), \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right) \right) \\
 &= P \left( \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^{\epsilon+1} f)}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^a f)}{2^{3(a)}} \right), \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \min_{0 \leq a \leq m-1} P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^{\epsilon+1} f)}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^a f)}{2^{3(a)}} \right), \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right) \right) \\
 (4.6) \quad &\geq Q(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}, \epsilon > 0, m \in \mathcal{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $f$  by  $2^s f$  in (4.6) and utilizing (4.1) with (3), we acquire

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^{m+s} f)}{2^{3(m+s)}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^s f)}{2^{3(s)}} \right), \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+s+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right) \right) &\geq Q(\chi(0, 2^s f, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon) \\
 &\geq Q(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\zeta^s}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^{m+s} f)}{2^{3(m+s)}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^s f)}{2^{3(s)}} \right), \sum_{\epsilon=s}^{m+s-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+s+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right) \right) \geq Q(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon),$$

$\forall f \in \mathcal{P}, \epsilon > 0, s, m \geq 0$ . Exchanging  $\epsilon$  through  $\frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{\epsilon=s}^{m+s-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right)}$  in the last inequality,

we obtain

(4.7)

$$P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^{m+s} f)}{2^{3(m+s)}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^s f)}{2^{3(s)}} \right), \epsilon \right) \geq Q \left( \chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{\epsilon=s}^{m+s-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right)} \right),$$

$\forall f \in \mathcal{P}, \epsilon > 0, s, m \geq 0$ . Since

$$\sum_{\epsilon=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2\zeta}{8(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right)^\epsilon < \infty,$$

it follows from (4.7) and (5) that  $\left\{ \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}} \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy in  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P})$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P})$  is a fuzzy Banach space, this sequence converges to some point  $C(f) \in \mathcal{Q}$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Define  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  by

$$C(f) = \mathcal{P} - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is odd,  $C$  is odd. Letting  $s = 0$  in (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - \Lambda(f) \right), \epsilon \right) \geq Q \left( \chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{\epsilon=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\epsilon \epsilon}{2^{3(\epsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right)} \right),$$

$\forall f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0, m \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\Lambda(f) - C(f), \varepsilon + \alpha) &\geq \min \left\{ P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - \Lambda(f) \right), \varepsilon \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - C(f) \right), \alpha \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \left\{ Q \left( \chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{\varepsilon=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2\zeta^\varepsilon}{2^{3(\varepsilon+1)}(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \right)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. P \left( \left( \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - C(f) \right), \alpha \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0, m \geq 1$ . Taking  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality and using (3.4), we have

$$P(\Lambda(f) - C(f), \varepsilon + \alpha) \geq Q \left( \chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \left( \frac{(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}{2} \right) (2^s - \zeta) \varepsilon \right),$$

$f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon, \alpha > 0$ . Taking the limit as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we get (4.3). Now, we assert that  $C$  is cubic. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} P(DC(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), 2\varepsilon) &\geq \min \left\{ P(DC(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^{3m}} D_\Lambda(2^m f_1, 2^m f_2, 2^m f_3, \dots, 2^m f_\phi), \varepsilon \right), \\ &\quad \left. P \left( \frac{1}{2^{3m}} D_\Lambda(2^m f_1, 2^m f_2, 2^m f_3, \dots, 2^m f_\phi), \varepsilon \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ P(DC(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^{3m}} D_\Lambda(2^m f_1, 2^m f_2, 2^m f_3, \dots, 2^m f_\phi), \varepsilon \right), \\ &\quad \left. Q(\chi(2^m f_1, 2^m f_2, 2^m f_3, \dots, 2^m f_\phi), 2^{3m} \varepsilon) \right\}, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P \left( DC(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi) - \frac{1}{2^{3m}} D_\Lambda(2^m f_1, 2^m f_2, 2^m f_3, \dots, 2^m f_\phi), \varepsilon \right) &= 1 \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C(\chi(2^m f_1, 2^m f_2, 2^m f_3, \dots, 2^m f_\phi), 2^{3m} \varepsilon) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

We infer  $P(DC(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), 2\varepsilon) = 1$  for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then (2) implies  $DC(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi) = 0$  for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is cubic by Theorem 3.1. To show the uniqueness of  $C$ , let  $D : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be another cubic mapping fulfilling (4.3). Since  $C(2^m f) = 2^{3m} C(f)$  and  $D(2^m f) = 2^{3m} D(f), \forall f \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathcal{N}$ . From



(4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} P(C(f) - D(f), \varepsilon) &= P\left(\left(\frac{C(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - \frac{D(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}}\right), \varepsilon\right) \\ &\geq \min\left\{P\left(\left(\frac{C(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}}\right), \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left.P\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}} - \frac{D(2^m f)}{2^{3(m)}}\right), \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min Q\left(\chi(0, 2^m f, 0, \dots, 0), \left(\frac{(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)(2^3 - \varsigma)}{4}\right) \varepsilon\right) \\ &\geq \min Q\left(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \left(\frac{(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)(2^3 - \varsigma)}{4\varsigma^m}\right) \varepsilon\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0$  and all  $m \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)(2^3 - \varsigma)}{4\varsigma^m} = \infty,$$

we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q\left(\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0), \left(\frac{(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)(2^3 - \varsigma)}{4\varsigma^m}\right) \varepsilon\right) = 1.$$

Consequently,  $P(C(f) - D(f), \varepsilon) = 1$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So  $C(f) = D(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . For  $u = -1$ , we can demonstrate the consequence through homogeneous procedure. The proof of the theorem is now complete. ■

### 5. STABILITY RESULTS FOR THE $\mathcal{FE}$ (1.2): FIXED POINT METHOD

In this segment, we scrutinize the generalized  $\mathcal{HUS}$  of the  $\mathcal{FE}$  (1.2) in  $\mathcal{FNS}$ s through the fixed point method. First, we define  $\psi_\epsilon$  as a constant such that

$$\psi_\epsilon = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \epsilon = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \epsilon = 1 \end{cases}$$

and we consider  $\Upsilon = \{v : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} | v(0) = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be a mapping with  $\Lambda(0) = 0$  and  $\chi : \mathcal{P}^\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  with condition

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q\left(\chi(\psi_1^m f_1, \psi_1^m f_2, \psi_1^m f_3, \dots, \psi_1^m f_\phi), \psi_1^{3m} \varepsilon\right) = 1,$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0$  and satisfying the inequality

$$(5.2) \quad P(D_\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\chi(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \varepsilon),$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\sigma(f) = \frac{2}{(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)} \chi\left(\frac{f}{2}, \frac{f}{2}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right)$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . If there exist  $L = L_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(5.3) \quad Q\left(\frac{1}{\psi_\epsilon^3} \sigma(\psi_\epsilon n), \varepsilon\right) \geq Q(L\sigma(f), \varepsilon), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then there exist  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  fulfilling

$$(5.4) \quad P(\Lambda(f) - C(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q\left(\frac{\phi^{1-a}}{1-\phi} \sigma(f), \varepsilon\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varsigma$  be the generalized metric on  $\Upsilon$

$$\varsigma(v, w) = \inf\{r \in (0, \infty) | P(v(f) - w(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q(r\sigma(f), \varepsilon), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0\}$$

and we take, as usual,  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . Define  $\Lambda_\varepsilon : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$  by  $\Lambda_\varepsilon v(f) = \frac{1}{\psi_\varepsilon^3} v(\psi_\varepsilon f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $v, w \in \Upsilon$  be given such that  $\varsigma(v, w) \leq \alpha$ . Then

$$P(v(f) - w(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\alpha\sigma(f), \varepsilon), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0,$$

whence

$$P(\Lambda_\varepsilon v(f) - \Lambda_\varepsilon w(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q\left(\frac{\alpha}{\psi_\varepsilon^3} \sigma(\psi_\varepsilon f), \varepsilon\right), \varepsilon > 0, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

From (5.3) that

$$P(\Lambda_\varepsilon v(f) - \Lambda_\varepsilon w(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\alpha L\sigma(f), \varepsilon), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence, we have  $\varsigma(\Lambda_\varepsilon, \Lambda_\varepsilon w) \leq \alpha L$ . This shows  $\varsigma(\Lambda_\varepsilon, \Lambda_\varepsilon w) \leq \phi \varsigma(v, w)$ , i.e.,  $\Lambda_\varepsilon$  is strictly contractive on  $\Upsilon$ . Substituting  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  by  $(0, f, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (5.2) and utilizing  $(f_3)$ , we get

$$(5.5) \quad P\left(\frac{\Lambda(2f)}{2^3} - \Lambda(f), \varepsilon\right) \geq Q\left(\frac{2\chi(0, f, 0, \dots, 0)}{2^3(\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6)}, \varepsilon\right), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Using (5.3) when  $a = 0$ , it follows from (5.5) that

$$P\left(\frac{\Lambda(2f)}{2^3} - \Lambda(f), \varepsilon\right) \geq Q(L\sigma(f), \varepsilon), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore

$$(5.6) \quad \varsigma(\Lambda_0 \Lambda, \Lambda) \leq \phi = \phi^{1-a}.$$

Exchanging  $n$  through  $\frac{f}{2}$  in (5.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\Lambda(f) - 2^3 \Lambda\left(\frac{f}{2}\right), 2^3 \varepsilon\right) &\geq Q\left(\chi\left(\frac{f}{2}, \frac{f}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right), 2^3 \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \varepsilon\right) \\ &= Q\left(\sigma(f), 2^3 \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) \varepsilon\right), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(5.7) \quad \varsigma(\Lambda_1 \Lambda, \Lambda) \leq \phi = \phi^{1-a}.$$

Then from (5.6) and (5.7), we conclude  $\varsigma(\Lambda_\varepsilon \Lambda, \Lambda) \leq \phi^{1-a} < \infty$ . Now from the fixed point alternative Theorem 2.1, it follows that there exists a fixed point  $C$  of  $\Lambda_\varepsilon$  in  $\Upsilon$  such that

- (1)  $\Lambda_\varepsilon C = C$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma(\Lambda_\varepsilon^m \Lambda, C)$
- (2)  $E = \{v \in \Upsilon | d(\Lambda, v) < \infty\}$
- (3)  $\varsigma(\Lambda, C) \leq \frac{1}{1-\phi} \varsigma(\Lambda, \Lambda_\varepsilon \Lambda)$ .

Letting  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma(\Lambda_\varepsilon^m \Lambda, C) = \alpha_m$ , we get

$$P(\Lambda_\varepsilon^m v(f) - C(f), \varepsilon) \geq Q(\alpha_m \sigma(f), \varepsilon), f \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m = 0$ , we infer

$$C(f) = P - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda(\psi_\varepsilon^m f)}{\psi_\varepsilon^{2m}}, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Switching  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi)$  by  $(\psi_\epsilon^m f_1, \psi_\epsilon^m f_2, \psi_\epsilon^m f_3, \dots, \psi_\epsilon^m f_\phi)$  in (5.2), we obtain

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\psi_\epsilon^{3m}} D\Lambda(\psi_\epsilon^m f_1, \psi_\epsilon^m f_2, \psi_\epsilon^m f_3, \dots, \psi_\epsilon^m f_\phi), \epsilon\right) \geq Q(\chi(\psi_\epsilon^m f_1, \psi_\epsilon^m f_2, \psi_\epsilon^m f_3, \dots, \psi_\epsilon^m f_\phi), \psi_\epsilon^{3m} \epsilon),$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ . Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can prove the function  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is cubic. Since  $\varsigma(\Lambda_\epsilon \Lambda, \Lambda) \leq \phi^{1-a}$ , it follows from (3) that  $\varsigma(\Lambda, C) \leq \frac{\phi^{1-a}}{1-\phi}$  which means (5.4). To prove the uniqueness of  $C$ , let  $D : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be another cubic mapping fulfilling (5.4). Since  $C(2^m f) = 2^{3m} C(f)$  and  $D(2^m f) = 2^{3m} D(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(C(f) - D(f), \epsilon) &= P\left(\frac{C(2^m f)}{2^{3m}} - \frac{D(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, \epsilon\right) \\ &\geq \min\left\{P\left(\frac{C(2^m f)}{2^{3m}} - \frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right), P\left(\frac{\Lambda(2^m f)}{2^{3m}} - \frac{D(2^m f)}{2^{3m}}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq Q\left(\frac{\phi^{1-a}}{1-\phi} \sigma(2^m f), \frac{2^{3m} \epsilon}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.1), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q\left(\frac{\phi^{1-a}}{1-\phi} \sigma(2^m f), \frac{2^{3m} \epsilon}{4}\right) = 1.$$

Consequently,  $P(C(f) - D(f), \epsilon) = 1$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $\epsilon > 0$ . So  $C(f) = D(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , which ends the proof. ■

The upcoming corollaries are instantaneous outcome of Theorems 4.1 and 5.1, regarding the stability for the equation (1.2). Assume that  $\mathcal{P}, (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P})$  and  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$  be a linear space, a fuzzy Banach space and a  $\mathcal{FNS}$ , respectively.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Suppose a function  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  fulfils  $\Lambda(0) = 0$  and the inequality*

$$P(D\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \epsilon) \geq Q\left(\tau + \theta \prod_{\epsilon=1}^{\phi} \|f_\epsilon\|^q, \epsilon\right),$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $\tau, \theta, q$  are real constants with  $lq \in (0, 3)$ . Then there exists a unique cubic mapping  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  such that

$$P(C(f) - D(f), \epsilon) \geq Q(\tau, 7\epsilon), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \epsilon > 0.$$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Suppose a function  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  fulfils  $\Lambda(0) = 0$  and*

$$P(D\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \epsilon) \geq Q\left(\alpha \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{\phi} \|f_\epsilon\|^p + \theta \prod_{\epsilon=1}^{\phi} \|f_\epsilon\|^q, \epsilon\right),$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $\alpha, \theta, p$  and  $q$  are real constants with  $p, lq \in (0, 3) \cup (3, +\infty)$ . Then there exists a unique cubic mapping  $C : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  such that

$$P(C(f) - D(f), \epsilon) \geq Q\left(\alpha \|f_\epsilon\|^p, \left(\frac{\phi^2 - 5\phi + 6}{2}\right) |2^3 - 2^p| \epsilon\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \epsilon > 0.$$

**Corollary 5.4.** Suppose a function  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  fulfils  $\Lambda(0) = 0$  and the inequality

$$P(D\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi), \varepsilon) \geq Q \left( \theta \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\phi} \|f_\varepsilon\|^q, \varepsilon \right),$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\theta$  and  $q$  are real constants with  $0 < lq \neq 3$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is cubic.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The method of combining general solution of cubic functional was obtained in (1.2). Furthermore the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of Cubic functional of the form (1.2) in fuzzy normed space using direct and fixed point methods.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. BAG and S .K. SAMANTA, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, **11**, (2003), pp. 687-706.
- [2] T.BAG and S .K. SAMANTA, Fuzzy bounded linear operators, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **151**, (2005), pp. 513-547.
- [3] R. BISWAS, Fuzzy inner product space and fuzzy norm functions, *Inform. Sci.*, **53**, (1991), pp. 185-190.
- [4] I.S. CHANG and H.M. KIM, On the Hyers-Ulam Stability of Quadratic Functional Equations, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3**, (2002), Art. 33.
- [5] S.C. CHENG and J.N.MORDESON, Fuzzy linear operator and fuzzy normed linear spaces, *Bull. Calcuta Math. Soc.*, **86**, (1994), pp. 429-436.
- [6] S. CZERWIK, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **62**, (1992), pp. 59-64.
- [7] C. FELBIN, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear space, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **48**, (1992), pp. 239-248.
- [8] D. H. HYERS, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **27**, (1941), pp. 222-224.
- [9] K. W. JUN and H. M. KIM, The Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of a Cubic Functional Equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **274**, (2002), pp. 867-878.
- [10] A.K. KATSARAS, Fuzzy topological vector spaces II, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **12**, (1984), pp. 143-154.
- [11] I. KRAMOSIL and J. MICHALEK, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, **11**, (1975), pp. 326-334.
- [12] K. MIRMOSTAFAEE and M.S. MOSLEHIAN, Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **159**, (2008), pp. 720-729.
- [13] A.K. MIRMOSTAFAEE and M.S. MOSLEHIAN, Fuzzy almost quadratic functions, *Results Math.*, doi:10.1007/s00025-007-0278-9.
- [14] A. NAJATI and C. PARK, On the Stability of a Cubic Functional Equation, *Acta. Math. Sin.-English Ser.*, **24**, pp. 1953-1964 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-008-6560-2>.
- [15] K. H. PARK and Y.S. JUNG, Stability for a cubic functional equation groups, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **41**, (2004), pp. 347-357.
- [16] J.M. RASSIAS, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mapping, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **46**, (1982), pp. 126-130.

- [17] J.M. RASSIAS, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull.Sci. Math.*, **108**, (1984), pp. 445-446.
- [18] TH. M. RASSIAS, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**, (1978), pp. 297-300.
- [19] F. SKOF, Local properties and approximations of operators, *Rend. Sem. Mat., Fis Milano*, **53**, (1983), pp. 113-129.
- [20] S.M. ULAM, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.
- [21] CPMGXINWU and JINXUAN FANG, Fuzzy generalization of Klomogoroffs theorem, *J. Harbin Inst. Technol.*, **1**, (1984), pp. 1-7.