



---

**ON THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION OF PERIODIC FUNCTIONS FROM  
LIPSCHITZ AND THOSE FROM GENERALIZED LIPSCHITZ CLASSES**

XHEVAT Z. KRASNIQI

*Received 22 December, 2019; accepted 10 July, 2020; published 20 July, 2020.*

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA "HASAN PRISHTINA", AVENUE "MOTHER THERESA"  
" NO. 5, PRISHTINË 10000, REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO.

xhevat.krasniqi@uni-pr.edu

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we have introduced some new trigonometric polynomials. Using these polynomials, we have proved some theorems which determine the degree of approximation of periodic functions by a product of two special means of their Fourier series and the conjugate Fourier series. Many results proved previously by others are special case of ours.

*Key words and phrases:* Fourier series, Trigonometric polynomials, Degree of approximation, Lipschitz class.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A25, 42A05. Secondary 40G05, 42B05.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n$  and infinite numerical series with sequence  $(s_n)$  of its  $n$ th partial sums. This series is said to be  $(C, 1) := C^1$ -summable if the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k$$

exists.

Moreover, this series is said to be  $(E, 1)$ -summable if the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s_k$$

exists.

It was realized in [9], that the infinite series

$$1 - 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-3)^{n-1}$$

is not  $(E, 1)$  summable nor  $(C, 1)$  summable. However, it is showed that the above series is  $(C, 1)(E, 1)$  summable. Therefore the product summability  $(C, 1)(E, 1)$  is more powerful than the individual methods  $(C, 1)$  and  $(E, 1)$ . Thus,  $(C, 1)(E, 1)$  mean can be used in approximation for a wider class of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions  $f$  by their Fourier series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx),$$

than the individual methods  $(C, 1)$  and  $(E, 1)$ .

This fact can be enough to consider the finding of the degree of approximation of periodic functions by more general mean of their Fourier series. To recall the results of [7], dealing with this topic, we need first some preliminaries.

The  $L^p$ -norm of  $f$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(x)|, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

while the best approximation  $E_n(f)$  of the function  $f$ , is defined by

$$E_n(f) = \min_{T_n} \|f(x) - T_n(x)\|_p,$$

where  $T_n(x)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n$ .

For a given function  $f \in L^p := L^p[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , i.e.  $\|f\|_p < +\infty$ , let

$$(1.1) \quad s_n(f; x) := \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx)$$

denote the partial sums of the Fourier series of  $f$  at  $x$ .

Let  $p := (p_n)$  be a sequence of non-negative and non-increasing real numbers such that

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n \neq 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

and  $P_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The product of  $(C, 1)$  summability with a  $N_p$  summability defines  $C^1 N_p$  summability. Whence,  $C^1 N_p$  mean is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad t_n^{CN} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_{k-v} s_k.$$

If  $t_n^{CN} \rightarrow w$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n$  or the sequence  $(s_n)$  is said to be summable to the sum  $w$  by  $C^1 N_p$  method.

**Remark 1.1.** Note that, see [7], the  $C^1 N_p$  method is regular whenever  $C^1$  and  $N_p$  are regular methods.

We write  $u = \mathcal{O}(v)$  if there exists a positive constant  $K$ , such that  $u \leq Kv$ , and we assume that all transformations under consideration are regular transformations even if they are not written explicitly.

Now we recall four well-known function classes:

1<sup>0</sup> A function  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), if

$$|f(x+t) - f(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|t|^\alpha),$$

2<sup>0</sup> A function  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), if

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^\alpha),$$

3<sup>0</sup> A function  $f \in \text{Lip}(\xi(t), p)$ , if

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}(\xi(t)),$$

and

4<sup>0</sup> A function  $f \in \mathbf{W}(L^p, \xi(t))$ , if

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left| [f(x+t) - f(x)] \sin^\beta \frac{x}{2} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}(\xi(t)),$$

where  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $\xi(t)$  is a positive increasing function of  $t$ .

We also denote

$$\phi_x(t) := \phi(t) := f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x),$$

and  $\tau$  instead of the integral part of  $\pi/t$ .

**Theorem 1.1** ([7]). Let  $N_p$  be a regular Nörlund method defined by a sequence  $(p_n)$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad P_\tau \sum_{v=\tau}^n P_v^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(n+1).$$

Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $C^1 N_p$  means of its Fourier series is given by

$$\|t_n^{CN}(f) - f\|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}((n+1)^{-\alpha}), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(\pi e(n+1))}{n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.2** ([7]). *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $C^1 N_p$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|t_n^{CN}(f) - f\|_p = \mathcal{O} \left( (n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right),$$

provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\xi(t)/t$  is a decreasing function,
- (ii)  $\left[ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \left( \frac{t|\phi(t)\sin^\beta t|}{\xi(t)} \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}((n+1)^{-1})$ ,
- (iii)  $\left[ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left( \frac{t^{-\delta}|\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)} \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}((n+1)^\delta)$ ,

where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $s(1-\delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and these conditions hold true uniformly in  $x$ .

**Remark 1.2.** As is pointed out in [8]-[12], the factor  $\sin^\beta t$  in definition of the class  $W(L^p, \xi(t))$  as well as in some conditions have to be replaced by  $\sin^{\beta \frac{t}{2}}$ .

For our further investigation let  $a := (a_n)$  and  $b := (b_n)$  be sequences of non-negative integers with conditions

$$(1.4) \quad a_n < b_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = +\infty.$$

The deferred Cesàro mean (see [1]) determined by  $a$  and  $b$  is defined as

$$D_a^b := \frac{S_{a_n+1} + S_{a_n+2} + \dots + S_{b_n}}{b_n - a_n}$$

where  $(S_m)$  is a sequence of real or complex numbers.

Since each  $D_a^b$  with conditions (1.4) and (1.5) satisfies the Silverman-Toeplitz conditions, then each transformation  $D_a^b$  is regular.

Let us suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and consider  $\mathbb{F}$  as the range of a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, say  $\mathbb{F} = (\lambda(n))_1^\infty$ .

Let  $\{p_k\}$  and  $\{q_k\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda(n)$  be two sequences of non-negative numbers with  $P_{\lambda(n)} := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} p_k \neq 0$ ,  $Q_{\lambda(n)} := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} q_k \neq 0$ , and we define their convolution by

$$W_{\lambda(n)} := \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} p_{\lambda(n)-k} q_k.$$

Now we define the generalized Woronoi-Nörlund polynomial as follows

$$(1.6) \quad W_n^\lambda(f; x) := \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(n)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} p_{\lambda(n)-k} q_k S_k(f; x).$$

Note that for  $q_k = 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda(n)$ , we obtain the polynomials

$$N_n^\lambda(f; x) = \frac{1}{N_{\lambda(n)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} p_{\lambda(n)-k} S_k(f; x),$$

and for  $p_k = 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda(n)$ , the polynomials

$$R_n^\lambda(f; x) = \frac{1}{R_{\lambda(n)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} q_k s_k(f; x),$$

which has been introduced in [5].

Moreover, for  $p_k = q_k = 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda(n)$ , we obtain the polynomials (see [2], page 195)

$$C_n^\lambda(f; x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n) + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} s_k(f; x),$$

which for  $\lambda(n) = n$ , as particular case, they reduce to ordinary Cesàro mean.

If  $W_n^\lambda \rightarrow w_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we say that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n$  or the sequence  $(s_n)$  is said to be summable to the sum  $w_1$  by  $W^\lambda$  method.

Since the sequence

$$\left( \frac{p_{\lambda(n)-k} q_k}{W_{\lambda(n)}} \right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda(n),$$

is a sub-sequence of the sequence

$$\left( \frac{p_{n-k} q_k}{W_n} \right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

introduced in [3], page 353, then  $W^\lambda$  method is regular if and only if:

- (a)  $\sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} |p_{\lambda(n)-k} q_k| < K |W_{\lambda(n)}|$ , where  $K$  is a positive number independent of  $n$ ;
- (b) For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{p_{\lambda(n)-k} q_k}{W_{\lambda(n)}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda(n) \rightarrow \infty$ .

The product of  $D_a^b$  summability with a  $W^\lambda$  summability defines  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  summability. Whence,  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  mean is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad t_n^{DW} = \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v s_v.$$

If  $t_n^{DW} \rightarrow w_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we say the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n$  or the sequence  $(s_n)$  is said to be summable to the sum  $w_2$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  method.

Under conditions (1.4), (1.5), (a) and (b) we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n \rightarrow w_2 &\implies W^\lambda(s_n) = \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(n)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda(n)} p_{\lambda(n)-k} q_k s_k \rightarrow w_2, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ &\implies D_a^b(W^\lambda(s_n)) := t_n^{DW}(s_n) \rightarrow w_2, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the method  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  is a regular one.

It is the purpose of this paper to determine the degree of approximation of the functions  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of their Fourier series and conjugate series of the Fourier series (see section 3.1.), respectively. Later on, we will see that our results cover a lot of results obtained previously by others and they are expressed in terms of  $b_n$  (which give better degree of approximation) instead of  $n$ . More results on this topic, the interested reader, can find in [4] and the references therein.

To achieve this purpose we need first to prove some helpful lemmas given in next section.

## 2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

At first, we denote

$$\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $0 < t \leq \frac{\pi}{b_n+1}$ , the inequality

$$|\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)| = \mathcal{O}(b_n + 1).$$

*Proof.* Applying the inequalities  $\sin(\beta m) \leq \beta m$  and  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$  for  $0 < t \leq \frac{\pi}{b_n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \left| \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \left| \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \left| \frac{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\frac{t}{\pi}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} (2\lambda(k) + 1) \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \\ &= \frac{1}{4(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} (2\lambda(k) + 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4(b_n - a_n)} (2b_n + 1) (b_n - a_n) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(b_n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $\frac{\pi}{b_n+1} < t \leq \pi$ , the inequality

$$|\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^2(b_n - a_n)} + \frac{1}{t}\right).$$

*Proof.* We divide the kernel  $\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)$  as follows

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \left[ \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{\tau} + \sum_{\lambda(k)=\tau+1}^{b_n} \right] \times \\ \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} := \mathbb{I}_1 + \mathbb{I}_2,$$

where  $\tau$  denote the integer part of  $\pi/t$

Based on well-known inequality  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$  for  $0 < t \leq \pi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad |\mathbb{I}_1| &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t(b_n - a_n)} \right) \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{\tau} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\tau}{t(b_n - a_n)} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t^2(b_n - a_n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to estimate  $|\mathbb{I}_1|$ . Indeed, once again, using the inequality  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$  for  $0 < t \leq \pi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad |\mathbb{I}_2| &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t(b_n - a_n)} \right) \sum_{\lambda(k)=\tau+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{b_n - \tau}{t(b_n - a_n)} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation (2.1) along with (2.2) and (2.3) imply the estimation of  $|\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)|$  as required. The proof is completed. ■

In the sequel, we denote

$$\psi_x(t) := \psi(t) := f(x + t) + f(x - t),$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \frac{\cos \left( v + \frac{1}{2} \right) t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $0 < t \leq \frac{\pi}{b_n+1}$ , the inequality

$$|\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t)| = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

*Proof.* Using the inequalities  $|\cos \beta| \leq 1$  and  $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$  for  $0 < t \leq \frac{\pi}{b_n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \left| \frac{\cos \left( v + \frac{1}{2} \right) t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \left| \frac{\pi}{t} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2t(b_n - a_n)} \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} 1 = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $\frac{\pi}{b_n+1} < t \leq \pi$ , the inequality

$$|\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t)| = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{t^2(b_n - a_n)} + \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof can be done by same arguments as the proof of Lemma 2.2. That is why we omit the details.

The proof is completed. ■

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

This section is separated into two subsections. The first one deals with Fourier series, while the second one, with conjugate series of the Fourier series.

**3.1. The approximation of functions by Fourier series.** In this section we are going to prove the analogues of Theorem 1.1-1.2 using  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of partial sums of the Fourier series of the function  $f$ . We begin with the first theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|t_n^{DW}(f) - f\|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It has been showed in [14] that

$$s_v(f; x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt,$$

then denoting  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  mean of  $s_v(f; x)$  by  $t_n^{DW}(f; x) := t_n^{DW}(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^{DW}(x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p_{\lambda(n)-v} q_v \frac{\sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt \\ (3.1) \quad &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \phi(t) \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi \phi(t) \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) dt := \mathbb{J}_1 + \mathbb{J}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), implies  $\phi \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ , then using Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{J}_1| &\leq \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} |\phi(t)| |\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)| dt \\ (3.2) \quad &= \mathcal{O}(b_n + 1) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} t^\alpha dt = \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{-\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The use of Lemma 2.2, implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{J}_2| &\leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi |\phi(t)| |\mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)| dt \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi t^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{t^2(b_n - a_n)} + \frac{1}{t} \right) dt \\ (3.3) \quad &= \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi t^{\alpha-2} dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi t^{\alpha-1} dt \right) := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{J}_{21} + \mathbb{J}_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathbb{J}_{21}$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{J}_{21} = \frac{1}{b_n - a_n} \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi t^{\alpha-2} dt = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$



while for  $\mathbb{J}_{22}$  we get

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{J}_{22} = \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} t^{\alpha-1} dt = \mathcal{O}((b_n+1)^{-\alpha}).$$

Whence, from (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5), we find that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{J}_2 = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((b_n+1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

Finally, using 3.2), (3.7), and (3.1) we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |t_n^{DW}(x) - f(x)| = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((b_n+1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

The proof is completed. ■

**Theorem 3.2.** *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|D_a^b W^\lambda(f) - f\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left((b_n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{b_n+1}\right)\right),$$

provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\xi(t)/t$  is a decreasing function,
- (ii)  $\left[\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left(\frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t)}\right)^p dt\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ,
- (iii)  $\left[\int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)}\right)^p dt\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}\left((b_n+1)^\delta\right)$ ,

where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta-\delta)-1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1}+q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii) and (iii) hold true uniformly in  $x$ .

*Proof.* To start the proof we use (3.1)

$$(3.7) \quad t_n^{DW}(x) - f(x) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \phi(t) \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \phi(t) \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) dt := \mathbb{J}_3 + \mathbb{J}_4.$$

once again (labeled now as (3.7)).

Using Hölder's inequality, condition (ii) of theorem, Lemma 2.1, the well-known inequality  $\sin \frac{u}{2} \geq \frac{u}{\pi}$  for  $0 < u \leq \frac{\pi}{b_n+1}$ , and implication  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t)) \implies \phi \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathbb{J}_3| &= \left| \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \phi(t) \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left| \frac{\phi(t) \xi(t) \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t) \sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t) \sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}} \right| dt \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left| \frac{\phi(t) \sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t)} \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left| \frac{\xi(t) \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t)}{\sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}} \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left( \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left| \frac{\pi^\beta \xi(t) \mathcal{O}(b_n+1)}{t^\beta} \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{b_n+1} \right) (b_n+1) \right) \left( \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} t^{-q\beta} dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{b_n+1} \right) (b_n+1)^{1+\beta-\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n+1} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

because of  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and condition (i) of the function  $\xi(t)/t$ .

Now we are going to estimate  $|\mathbb{J}_4|$ . In this case, we use Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{J}_4| &\leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} |\phi(t) \mathbb{F}_n^{DW}(t)| dt \\
(3.9) \quad &= \mathcal{O} \left( \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^2(b_n-a_n)} dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \right) := \mathbb{J}_{41} + \mathbb{J}_{42}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, and condition (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{J}_{41}| &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{b_n-a_n} \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\phi(t) \xi(t) t^{\delta-2-\delta} \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t) \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}} \right| dt \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{b_n-a_n} \left( \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left| \frac{\phi(t) t^{-\delta} \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t)} \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\xi(t) t^{\delta-2}}{\sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}} \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n-a_n)^{\delta-1} \left( \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} (\xi(t) t^{\delta-\beta-2})^q dt \right)^{1/q} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n-a_n)^{\delta-1} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{\frac{b_n+1}{\pi}} \left( \frac{\xi(\frac{1}{t})}{\frac{1}{t}} t^{-\delta+\beta+1} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t^2} \right)^{1/q} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n-a_n)^\delta \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{b_n+1} \right) \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{\frac{b_n+1}{\pi}} t^{-q\delta+q\beta+q-2} dt \right)^{1/q} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n-a_n)^\delta \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{b_n+1} \right) (b_n-a_n)^{1-\delta+\beta-\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\
(3.10) \quad &= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n+1} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

since by (i)  $\frac{\xi(t)}{t}$  is a decreasing function and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

Finally, we estimate  $|\mathbb{J}_{42}|$ . With similar reasoning, as in (3.10), we have

$$(3.11) \quad |\mathbb{J}_{42}| = \mathcal{O}(1) \left( \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left| \frac{\phi(t) t^{-\delta} \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t)} \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\xi(t) t^{\delta-1}}{\sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}} \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n - a_n)^\delta \right) \left( \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^{\pi} (\xi(t) t^{\delta-\beta-1})^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n - a_n)^\delta \right) \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{\frac{b_n+1}{\pi}} \left( \frac{\xi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{\frac{1}{t}} t^{-\delta+\beta} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t^2} \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n - a_n)^{\delta+1} \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{b_n+1} \right) \right) \left( \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{\frac{b_n+1}{\pi}} t^{-q\delta+q\beta-2} dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n - a_n)^{\delta+1} \xi \left( \frac{\pi}{b_n+1} \right) (b_n - a_n)^{-\delta+\beta-\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n+1} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

because of (i) and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

Therefore, from (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad |\mathbb{J}_4| = \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n+1} \right) \right).$$

Whence, using (3.7), (3.8), and (3.12) the conclusion follows.

The proof is completed. ■

**3.2. The approximation of functions by conjugate series of the Fourier series.** The conjugate series of a Fourier series is of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k \cos kx - a_k \sin kx).$$

We already know (see [15], Th. (3.1) Ch. IV) that if  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$ , then

$$\tilde{f}(x) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dt = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^\pi \psi(t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dt$$

exists for almost all  $x$  (it is called conjugate function of the function  $f$ ).

In this section we are going to prove the analogues of Theorem 1.1-1.2 using  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of partial sums of conjugate series of the Fourier series of the function  $f$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $Lip(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of conjugate function  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its conjugate series of the Fourier series is given by*

$$\|t_n^{DW}(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{-\alpha} \right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1} \right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since

$$s_v(\tilde{f}; x) - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \frac{\cos\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt,$$

then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_n^{DW}(\tilde{f}; x) - \tilde{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi(b_n - a_n)} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \sum_{\lambda(k)=a_n+1}^{b_n} \frac{1}{W_{\lambda(k)}} \sum_{v=0}^{\lambda(k)} p^{\lambda(n)-v} q^v \frac{\cos\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt \\
(3.13) \quad &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \psi(t) \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t) dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi \psi(t) \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_n^{DW}(t) dt := \mathbb{J}_5 + \mathbb{J}_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the similarity in reasoning we will shorten the proof. So, using Lemma 2.3, we have obtained

$$(3.14) \quad |\mathbb{J}_5| = \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{-\alpha}\right),$$

and using Lemma 2.4, we get

$$(3.15) \quad |\mathbb{J}_6| = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

Finally, using 3.13), (3.14), and (3.15) we obtain the conclusion.

The proof is completed. ■

Next theorem is given without proof.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of conjugate series of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|D_a^b W^\lambda(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{b_n + 1}\right)\right),$$

provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\xi(t)/t$  is a decreasing function,
- (ii')  $\left[\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}} \left(\frac{|\psi(t)| \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}}{\xi(t)}\right)^p dt\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ,
- (iii')  $\left[\int_{\frac{\pi}{b_n+1}}^\pi \left(\frac{t^{-\delta} |\psi(t)|}{\xi(t)}\right)^p dt\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^\delta\right)$ ,

where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta - \delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii') and (iii') hold true uniformly in  $x$ .

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. Therefore, we omit it. ■

#### 4. COROLLARIES AND REMARKS

In this section we shall write corollaries of the main results. Indeed, if  $\lambda(n) = n$ , then the mean in (1.6) becomes the generalized Nörlund mean  $(N, p, q)$  (see [3]) Therefore, Theorems 3.1–3.4 imply:

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $Lip(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b(N, p, q)$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\| [D_a^b(N, p, q)]_n(f) - f \|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((b_n + 1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(b_n+1)}{b_n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.2.** *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b(N, p, q)$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\| [D_a^b(N, p, q)]_n(f) - f \|_p = \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n + 1} \right) \right),$$

*provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), and (iii), where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta - \delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii) and (iii) hold true uniformly in  $x$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $Lip(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of conjugate function  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b(N, p, q)$  means of its conjugate series of the Fourier series is given by*

$$\| [D_a^b(N, p, q)]_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f} \|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{-\alpha} \right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\log(b_n + 1)}{b_n + 1} \right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.4.** *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b(N, p, q)$  means of conjugate series of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\| [D_a^b(N, p, q)]_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f} \|_p = \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n + 1} \right) \right),$$

*provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii'), and (iii'), where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta - \delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii') and (iii') hold true uniformly in  $x$ .*

If we take  $\lambda(n) = n$  and  $q_k = 1$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , then  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  mean reduces to  $D_a^b N_p$  mean, and from Theorems 3.3-3.4 we derive the following.

**Corollary 4.5** ([6]). *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $Lip(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of conjugate function  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b N_p$  means of its conjugate series of the Fourier series is given by*

$$\| (D_a^b N_p)_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f} \|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{-\alpha} \right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\log(b_n + 1)}{b_n + 1} \right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.6** ([6]). *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $\tilde{f}$  by  $D_a^b N_p$  means of conjugate series of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\| (D_a^b N_p)_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f} \|_p = \mathcal{O} \left( (b_n + 1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{b_n + 1} \right) \right),$$

*provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii'), and (iii'), where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta - \delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii') and (iii') hold true uniformly in  $x$ .*

Moreover, if we take  $b_n = n$ ,  $a_n = 0$ , then  $D_a^b$  mean becomes ordinary Cesàro mean, and in addition, if we assume  $\lambda(n) = n$  and  $q_k = 1$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , then  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  mean reduces to  $N_p$  mean. Consequently, from our theorems we obtain:

**Corollary 4.7** ([7]). *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $Lip(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $(C^1 N_p)$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|(C^1 N_p)_n(f) - f\|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((n+1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(n+1)}{n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.8** ([7]). *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $(C^1 N_p)$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|(C^1 N_p)_n(f) - f\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right),$$

provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), and (iii), where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta - \delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii) and (iii) hold true uniformly in  $x$ .

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function belonging to  $Lip(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), then the degree of approximation of conjugate function  $\tilde{f}$  by  $(C^1 N_p)$  means of its conjugate series of the Fourier series is given by*

$$\|(C^1 N_p)_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\|_\infty = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left((n+1)^{-\alpha}\right), & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log(n+1)}{n+1}\right), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.10** ([10]). *If Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - 1/p$ , then the degree of approximation of  $\tilde{f}$  by  $(C^1 N_p)$  means of conjugate series of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|(C^1 N_p)_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right),$$

provided  $\xi(t)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii'), and (iii'), where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary number such that  $q(\beta - \delta) - 1 > 0$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and conditions (ii') and (iii') hold true uniformly in  $x$ .

**Remark 4.1.** If we take  $\lambda(n) = n$ ,  $b_n = n$ ,  $a_n = 0$ ,  $p_k = \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{k}$ , and  $q_k = 1$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , then  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  mean reduces to  $(C, 1)(E, 1)$  mean, and from Theorems 3.3-3.4 the results presented in [11] are consequences of ours.

Taking  $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then  $W(L^p, \xi(t)) \equiv Lip(\alpha, p)$ , and from Theorem 3.2 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in Lip(\alpha, p)$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|(D_a^b W^\lambda)_n(f) - f\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(b_n + 1)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}}}\right),$$

provided that  $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha < 1$ .

We have to note here that if we take  $p = \infty$  in Corollary 4.11, then we get:

**Corollary 4.12.** *Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in Lip(\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|(D_a^b W^\lambda)_n(f) - f\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(b_n + 1)^\alpha}\right),$$

**Remark 4.2.** The same degree of approximation, as in Corollary 4.11 and Corollary 4.12, can be obtain for the function  $f$  using Theorem 3.4.

If we choose  $a_n = 2n - 1$  and  $b_n = 2n$ , then from Corollary 4.11 (or Theorem 3.2 with conditions as in Corollary 4.11) we obtain:

**Corollary 4.13.** Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in Lip(\alpha, p)$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its Fourier series is given by

$$\|W_{2n}^\lambda(f) - f\|_p = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(2n+1)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}\right),$$

provided that  $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha < 1$ .

The latest corollary, for  $p = \infty$ , takes the form:

**Corollary 4.14.** Let  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $f \in Lip(\alpha, p)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the degree of approximation of  $f$  by  $D_a^b W^\lambda$  means of its Fourier series is given by

$$\|W_{2n}^\lambda(f) - f\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(2n+1)^\alpha}\right).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGNEW, *The deferred Cesàro means*, Ann. of Math., (2) **33** (1932), no. 3, pp. 413–421.
- [2] D. H. ARMITAGE and I. J. MADDOX, *A new type of Cesàro mean*, Analysis, Vol. **9**, No. 1-2, pp. 195–204 (1989).
- [3] D. BORWEIN, *On products of sequence*, J. London Math. Soc. **33** (1958), pp. 352–357.
- [4] U. DEGER, *On approximation to functions in the  $W(L^p, \xi(t))$  class by a new matrix mean*, Novi Sad J. Math. **46** (2016), no. 1, pp. 1–14.
- [5] U. DEGER et al., *Approximation by trigonometric polynomials to functions in  $L_p$ -norm*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **46** (2012), no. 2, pp. 203–213.
- [6] U. DEGER and H. BAYINDIR, *On trigonometric approximation by deferred- $N_{\check{A}}\check{U}$ rlund  $(D_a^b N_p)$  product means in Lipschitz class*, J. Math. Anal. **8** (2017), no. 1, pp. 70–78.
- [7] S. LAL, *Approximation of functions belonging to the generalized Lipschitz class by  $C^1 \cdot N_p$  summability method of Fourier series*, Appl. Math. Comput. **209** (2009), no. 2, pp. 346–350.
- [8] W. LENSKI and B. SZAL, *Approximation of functions belonging to the class  $L^p(\omega)_\beta$  by linear operators*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. No. **13** (2009), pp. 11–24.
- [9] V. N. MISHRA et al., *Approximation of signals by product summability transform*, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **6** (1): pp. 12–22, 2013.
- [10] V. N. MISHRA, K. KHATRI, and L. N. MISHRA, *Approximation of functions belonging to the generalized Lipschitz class by  $C^1 \cdot N_p$  summability method of conjugate series of Fourier series*, Mat. Vesnik **66** (2014), no. 2, pp. 155–164.
- [11] K. H. NIGAM, *A study on approximation of conjugate of functions belonging to Lipschitz class and generalized Lipschitz class by product summability means of conjugate series of Fourier series*, Thai J. Math. **10** (2012), no. 2, pp. 275–287.
- [12] U. SINGH and A. RATHORE, *A note on the degree of approximation of functions belonging to certain Lipschitz class by almost Riesz means*, Stud. Univ. BabeÅ§-Bolyai Math. **63** (2018), no. 3, pp. 371–379.

- [13] S. SONKER, *Approximation of periodic functions belonging to  $W(L^r, \xi(t), (\beta, \geq 0))$ -class by  $(C^1 \cdot T)$  means of Fourier series*, Mathematical Analysis and its Applications, pp. 73–83, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 143, Springer, New Delhi, 2015.
- [14] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of functions*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959, pp. 114–115.
- [15] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge, 2002.