



A SUBORDINATION THEOREM FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

MARJONO

Received 4 November, 2016; accepted 25 January, 2017; published 20 February, 2017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF
BRAWIJAYA, JL. VETERAN MALANG 65145, INDONESIA.,

marjono@ub.ac.id

URL: <http://matematika.ub.ac.id>

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if f is analytic in $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, then for $\alpha > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta(\gamma)}$ implies $\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\gamma$, where $\beta(\gamma) = \gamma + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)$, and that $\beta(\gamma)$ is the largest number such that this implication holds.

Key words and phrases: Analytic function, Univalent function, Bazilevič function, Subordination.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45. Secondary 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let S be the class of analytic normalised univalent functions f , defined in $z \in D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and given by

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

The Bazilevič functions with logarithmic growth $B_1(\alpha) \subset S$ defined as follows have been extensively studied (see e.g. [2, 3, 4]).

Suppose that f is analytic in D and is given by (1.1). Then for $\alpha \geq 0$, $f \in B_1(\alpha)$, if and only if,

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1} > 0.$$

We say that an analytic function f is subordinate to an analytic function g , and write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if and only if there exists a function ω , analytic in D , such that $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $z \in D$, and $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$. Marjono and Thomas [5] discussed subordination in a sector.

We will use the following well-known lemma.

2. LEMMA

The Miller-Mocanu Lemma [1]

Let F be analytic in D and G be analytic univalent in \bar{D} , with $F(0) = G(0)$. If $F \not\prec G$, then there is a point $z_0 \in D$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial D$, such that $F(|z| < |z_0|) \subset G(D)$, $F(z_0) = G(\zeta_0)$ and $z_0 F'(z_0) = k \zeta_0 G'(\zeta_0)$ for $k \geq 1$.

We prove the following theorem for analytic functions, noting its relationship with the Bazilevič functions $B_1(\alpha)$ defined in (1.2).

3. THEOREM

Let f be analytic in D , with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Then for $\alpha > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $z \in D$,

$$f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\beta(\gamma)}$$

implies

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\gamma},$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \beta(\gamma) = \gamma + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \right).$$

Furthermore $\beta(\gamma)$ is the largest number such that (3.1) holds.

Proof. Write

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha,$$

so that p is analytic in D , $p(0) = 1$ and

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\alpha} = \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1} f'(z).$$

Thus we need to show that

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\alpha} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\beta$$

implies

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma,$$

when $\beta = \beta(\gamma)$ is given by (3.2).

For $z \in D$, let $h(z) = [(1+z)/(1-z)]^\beta$ and $q(z) = [(1+z)/(1-z)]^\gamma$, so that $|\arg h(z)| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}$ and $|\arg q(z)| < \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$.

Suppose that $p \not\prec q$. Then from the Miller-Mocanu Lemma, there exists $z_0 \in D$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial D$, such that $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$, $p(|z| < |z_0|) \subset q(D)$ and $z_0 p'(z_0) = k \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)$, for $k \geq 1$.

Since $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0) \neq 0$, it follows that $\zeta_0 \neq \pm 1$. Thus we can write $ri = \frac{1+\zeta_0}{1-\zeta_0}$ for $r \neq 0$. Hence

$$p(z_0) + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{\alpha} = q(\zeta_0) + \frac{k \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)}{\alpha}$$

for $k \geq 1$.

Differentiating $q(z)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_0) + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{\alpha} &= \left(ri - \frac{k\gamma(1+r^2)}{2\alpha} \right) (ri)^{\gamma-1} \\ &= \left(ri - \frac{k\gamma(1+r^2)}{2\alpha} \right) r^{\gamma-1} \left(\cos \frac{(\gamma-1)\pi}{2} + i \sin \frac{(\gamma-1)\pi}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\cos \frac{(\gamma - 1)\pi}{2} = \sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$, and $\sin \frac{(\gamma - 1)\pi}{2} = -\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$, we have

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_0) + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{\alpha} &= \left(ri - \frac{k\gamma(1+r^2)}{2\alpha}\right) r^{\gamma-1} \left(\sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} - i \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{r^{\gamma-1}}{2} \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} \left[2r - \frac{k\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2) \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + i \left(2r \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \frac{k\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2)\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

and so taking arguments in (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \left(p(z_0) + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{\alpha}\right) &= \arctan \left[\frac{\left(2r \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \frac{k\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2)\right)}{\left(2r - \frac{k\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2) \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right)}\right] \\ &\geq \arctan \left[\frac{\left(2r \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2)\right)}{\left(2r - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2) \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right)}\right] \\ &:= \Phi(r). \end{aligned}$$

Now write $\Phi(r) = \arctan \left(\frac{U(r)}{V(r)}\right)$, so that $U(r) = 2r \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2)$ and $V(r) = 2r - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}(1+r^2) \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$.

Next note that since $\Phi'(r)(U(r)^2 + V(r)^2) = V(r)U'(r) - U(r)V'(r)$, it follows that $V(r)U'(r) - U(r)V'(r) = 0$ when $r = 1$. Thus $\Phi(r)$ attains its minimum when $r = 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r) &\geq \Phi(1) \\ &= \arctan \left[\frac{\left(\alpha \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \gamma\right)}{\left(\alpha - \gamma \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\beta(\gamma)\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\beta(\gamma)\pi}{2} \leq \arg \left(p(z_0) + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which contradicts the fact that $|h(z)| < \frac{\beta(\gamma)\pi}{2}$, provided (3.1) holds. This completes the proof of the positive statement in the theorem.

To show that (3.2) is the largest number such that (3.1) holds, let

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\gamma.$$

Then from the minimum modulus principle for harmonic functions, it follows that

$$\inf_{|z|<1} \arg \left(p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\alpha}\right)$$

is attained at some point $z = e^{i\theta}$, for $0 < \theta < 2\pi$.

Thus with $z = e^{i\theta}$,

$$\left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\alpha}\right) / \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta}\right)^{\gamma-1} = \frac{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}}{1 - \cos \theta} \left[\sin \theta - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \arctan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + i \left(\sin \theta \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \right) \right].$$

Taking arguments we have

$$\arg \left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\alpha} \right) = \arctan \left[(\alpha \sin \theta \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \gamma) / (\alpha \sin \theta - \gamma \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}) \right],$$

and an elementary calculation shows that the minimum value of the right-hand side is obtained when $\sin \theta = 1$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\alpha} \right) &\geq \arctan \left[(\alpha \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \gamma) / (\alpha - \gamma \tan \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta(\gamma)\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\beta(\gamma)$ is exact and the proof of the theorem is complete.

■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. MILLER and P. T. MOCANU, Differential Subordination and Univalent Functions, *Michigan Math. Jnl.*, **28** (1981), pp. 157–171.
- [2] R. SINGH, On Bazilevič, Functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **38**, no. 2 (1973), pp. 261–271.
- [3] D. K. THOMAS, On a Subclass of Bazilevič, functions, *Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, **8**, no. 4 (1985), pp. 779–783.
- [4] D. K. THOMAS, *In New Trends in Geometric Function Theory and Applications*, (World Scientific, 1991), pp. 146–158.
- [5] MARJONO and D. K. THOMAS, Subordination on δ -Convex Functions in a Sector, *Honam Mathematical J.*, **23**, no. 1 (2001), pp. 41-50.