



---

**SOME DOUBLE  $\lambda$ -CONVERGENT SEQUENCE SPACES OVER  $n$ -NORMED SPACES**

KULDIP RAJ, RENU ANAND AND SEEMA JAMWAL

*Received 6 March, 2015; accepted 16 April, 2015; published 4 May, 2015.*

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHRI MATA VAISHNO DEVI UNIVERSITY KATRA-182320, JAMMU AND  
KASHMIR, INDIA

kuldipraj68@gmail.com

renuanand71@gmail.com

seemajamwal8@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce some double generalized  $\lambda$ -convergent sequence spaces over  $n$ -normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$ . We also made an attempt to study some topological and algebraic properties of these sequence spaces.

*Key words and phrases:* Orlicz function, Musielak-Orlicz function, Paranorm space, Sequence space, Double sequence,  $p$ -convergent,  $n$ -normed space.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40A05, 46A45. Secondary 46E30.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The initial work on double sequences was founded in Bromwich [4]. Later on, it was studied by Hardy [10], Móricz [15], Móricz and Rhoades [16], Tripathy ([26], [27]), Başarır and Sonalcan [2] and many others. Hardy [10] introduced the notion of regular convergence for double sequences. Quite recently, Zeltser [30] in her Ph.D thesis has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely [18] have recently introduced the statistical convergence and Cauchy convergence for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesàro summable double sequences. Nextly, Mursaleen [17] and Mursaleen and Edely [19] have defined the almost strong regularity of matrices for double sequences and applied these matrices to establish a core theorem and introduced the  $M$ -core for double sequences and determined those four dimensional matrices transforming every bounded double sequences  $x = (x_{jk})$  into one whose core is a subset of the  $M$ -core of  $x$ . More recently, Altay and Başar [1] have defined the spaces  $\mathcal{BS}$ ,  $\mathcal{BS}(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{CS}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{CS}_{bp}$ ,  $\mathcal{CS}_r$  and  $\mathcal{BV}$  of double sequences consisting of all double series whose sequence of partial sums are in the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_u$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_u(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{bp}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_r$  and  $\mathcal{L}_u$ , respectively and also examined some properties of these sequence spaces and determined the  $\alpha$ -duals of the spaces  $\mathcal{BS}$ ,  $\mathcal{BV}$ ,  $\mathcal{CS}_{bp}$  and the  $\beta(v)$ -duals of the spaces  $\mathcal{CS}_{bp}$  and  $\mathcal{CS}_r$  of double series. Now, recently Başar and Sonalcan [2] have introduced the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}_q$  of double sequences corresponding to the well known space  $\ell_q$  of single sequences and examined some properties of the space  $\mathcal{L}_q$ . By the convergence of a double sequence we mean the convergence in the Pringsheim sense i.e. a double sequence  $x = (x_{jk})$  has Pringsheim limit  $L$  (denoted by  $P - \lim x = L$ ) provided that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{jk} - L| < \epsilon$  whenever  $j, k > n$ . We shall write more briefly as  $P$ -convergent.

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler [6] in the mid of 1960's, while that of  $n$ -normed spaces one can see in Misiak [14]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, see Gunawan ([7],[8]) and Gunawan and Mashadi [9]. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  be a linear space over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is field of real numbers of dimension  $d$ , where  $d \geq n \geq 2$ . A real valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  on  $X^n$  satisfying the following four conditions:

- (1)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent in  $X$ ;
- (2)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation;
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (4)  $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called a  $n$ -normed space over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For example, we may take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  being equipped with the  $n$ -norm  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E =$  the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional parallelopiped spanned by the vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an  $n$ -normed space of dimension  $d \geq n \geq 2$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be linearly independent set in  $X$ . Then the following function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  on  $X^{n-1}$  defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

defines an  $(n - 1)$ -norm on  $X$  with respect to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to converge to some  $L \in X$  if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k,p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be complete with respect to the  $n$ -norm. Any complete  $n$ -normed space is said to be  $n$ -Banach space.

An Orlicz function  $M$  is a function, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [12] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space. Let  $w$  be the space of all real or complex sequences  $x = (x_k)$ , then

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

which is called as an Orlicz sequence space. The space  $\ell_M$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [12] that every Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ). The  $\Delta_2$ -condition is equivalent to  $M(Lx) \leq kLM(x)$  for all values of  $x \geq 0$  and for  $L > 1$ .

A sequence  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak-Orlicz function (see [13],[21]). A sequence  $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$  is defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - (M_k) : u \geq 0\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  and its subspace  $h_{\mathcal{M}}$  are defined as follows

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty, \text{ for some } c > 0 \right\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty, \text{ for all } c > 0 \right\},$$

where  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \quad x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

By  $w^2$  we denote the space of all real or complex valued double sequences. If  $x \in w^2$ , then we simply write  $x = x_{k,l}$  instead of  $x = (x_{k,l})_{k,l=1}^{\infty}$ . Also, we will use the conventions that  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ . Any vector subspace of  $w^2$  is called a double sequence space. We will write  $l_{\infty}$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  for the sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequences respectively. Further, by  $l_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), we denote the sequence space of all  $p$ -absolutely convergent series, that is  $l_p = \{x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty\}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Throughout the article,

$w^2(X)$ ,  $l_\infty(X)$  and  $l_p(X)$  denote respectively, the spaces of all, bounded and  $p$ -absolutely summable sequences with the elements in  $X$ , where  $(X, q)$  is a seminormed space. By  $\theta = (0, 0, \dots)$ , we denote the zero element in  $X$ . Also  $P_{s,t}$  denote the class of subsets  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$  in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that the number of elements of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are at most  $s$  and  $t$  respectively. Besides  $\{\varphi_{k,l}\}$  is taken as a non-decreasing double sequence of positive real numbers such that  $k\varphi_{k+1,l} \leq (k+1)\varphi_{k,l}$  and  $l\varphi_{k,l+1} \leq (l+1)\varphi_{k,l}$ . The class of all the sequences  $(\varphi_{k,l})$  satisfying this property is denoted by  $\varphi$ . In [20], the notion of  $\lambda$ -convergent and bounded sequences is introduced as follows:

let  $\lambda = (\lambda_{k,l})$  be a strictly increasing double sequence of positive reals tending to infinity, that is

$$\lambda_{k+1,l} \leq \lambda_{k,l} + 1, \quad \lambda_{k,l+1} \leq \lambda_{k,l} + 1, \\ \lambda_{k,l} - \lambda_{k+1,l} \leq \lambda_{k,l} + 1 - \lambda_{k+1,l+1}, \quad \lambda_{1,1} = 1,$$

We say that a sequence  $x = (x_{k,l}) \in w^2$  is a double  $\lambda$ -convergent to the number  $L \in \mathbb{C}$ , called as the double  $\lambda$ -limit of  $x$ , if  $\Lambda_{m,n}(x) \rightarrow L$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\Lambda_{m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{m,n}} \sum_{k,l=0}^{m,n} |\lambda_{k,l} - \lambda_{k+1,l}| x_{k,l}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, we say that  $x$  is a double  $\lambda$ -null sequence if  $\Lambda_{m,n}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Further, we say that  $x$  is double  $\lambda$ -bounded if  $\sup |\Lambda_{m,n}(x)| < \infty$ . If  $\lim_{m,n} x_{m,n} = a$  in the ordinary sense of convergence, then

$$\lim_{m,n} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{m,n}} \sum_{k,l=0}^{m,n} |(\lambda_{k,l} - \lambda_{k+1,l})(x_{k,l} - a)| \right) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{m,n} |\Lambda_{m,n}(x) - a| = \lim_{m,n} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_{m,n}} \sum_{k,l=0}^{m,n} (\lambda_{k,l} - \lambda_{k+1,l})(x_{k,l} - a) \right| = 0,$$

which yields that  $\lim_{m,n} \Lambda_{m,n}(x) = a$  and hence  $x$  is double  $\lambda$ -convergent to  $a$ . We therefore deduce that the ordinary convergence implies the double  $\lambda$ -convergence to the same limit.

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [11], who studied the difference  $c_0$  sequence spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta)$ ,  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$ . The notion was further generalized by Et and Çolak [5] by introducing the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$ ,  $c(\Delta^n)$  and  $c_0(\Delta^n)$ . Let  $m, n$  be non-negative integers, then for  $Z$  a given sequence space, we have

$$Z(\Delta_m^n) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m^n x_k) \in Z\}$$

for  $Z = c, c_0$  and  $l_\infty$  where  $\Delta_m^n x = (\Delta_m^n x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+m})$  and  $\Delta_m^0 = x_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta_m^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+mv}.$$

Taking  $m = 1$ , we get the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$ ,  $c(\Delta^n)$  and  $c_0(\Delta^n)$  studied by Et and Çolak [5]. Taking  $m = n = 1$ , we get the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta)$ ,  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$  introduced and studied by Kizmaz [11]. Similarly, we can define difference operators on double sequence spaces as:

$$\Delta x_{k,l} = (x_{k,l} - x_{k,l+1}) - (x_{k+1,l} - x_{k+1,l+1}) \\ = x_{k,l} - x_{k,l+1} - x_{k+1,l} + x_{k+1,l+1},$$

$$\Delta^n x_{k,l} = \Delta^{n-1} x_{k,l} - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k,l+1} - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1,l} + \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1,l+1}$$

and

$$\Delta_m^n x_{k,l} = \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k,l} - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k,l+1} - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+1,l} + \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+1,l+1}.$$

For more details about sequence spaces (see [3], [22], [23], [24]) and references therein.

The space  $m(\phi)$  introduced and studied by Sargent [25] is defined as follows:

$$m(\phi) = \{x = (x_k) \in s : \|x\|_{m(\phi)} = \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| < \infty\}.$$

Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function, then Tripathy and Mahanta [28] defined and studied the following sequence space:

$$m(M, \varphi) = \{x = (x_k) \in s : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

Recently, Altun and Bilgin [1] defined and studied the following sequence spaces:

$$m(M, A, \varphi, p) = \{x = (x_k) \in s : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i x|}{\rho}\right)^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

We define the following sequences spaces which we shall discuss in the second section of the present paper:

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{x = (x_{k,l}) \in w^2 : P - \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $M_{k,l}(x) = x$ , we get

$$m(\varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{x = (x_{k,l}) \in w^2 : P - \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $p = (p_{k,l}) = 1$ , we get

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) =$$

$$\left\{x = (x_{k,l}) \in w^2 : P - \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If  $0 \leq p_{k,l} \leq \sup p_{k,l} = H, K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$  then

$$(1.1) \quad |a_{k,l} + b_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} \leq K \{|a_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} + |b_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}}\}$$

for all  $k, l$  and  $a_{k,l}, b_{k,l} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also  $|a|^{p_{k,l}} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In this paper we study some topological properties and inclusion relation between spaces  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  which we have defined above and the spaces  $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  which we shall discuss in the third section of the paper.

## 2. DOUBLE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY MUSIELAK-ORLICZ FUNCTION

In this section we study some topological properties and inclusion relation between the spaces  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be Musielak-Orlicz function and  $p = (p_{k,l})$  be a double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the sequence space  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_{k,l})$  and  $y = (y_{k,l}) \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist positive real numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} = 0.$$

Define  $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ . Since  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  is a  $n$ -norm on  $X$  and  $M_{k,l}$  are non-decreasing and convex function so by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_{k,l} + \beta y_{k,l})}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \left[ M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_{k,l})}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\beta y_{k,l})}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq K \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{2^{p_{k,l}}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \quad + K \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{2^{p_{k,l}}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\alpha x + \beta y \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Hence  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space. ■

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any Musielak Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  and a bounded sequence  $p = (p_{k,l})$  of positive real numbers  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a topological linear space paranormed by*

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1, \right.$$

$$r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \},$$

where  $M = \max(1, \sup_{k,l} p_{k,l} < \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $g(x) \geq 0$  for  $x = (x_{k,l}) \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Since  $M_{k,l}(0) = 0$ , we get  $g(0) = 0$ . Again, if  $g(x) = 0$ , then

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} = 0.$$

This implies that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist some  $\rho_\epsilon (0 < \rho_\epsilon < \epsilon)$  such that

$$\left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $x_{k,l} \neq 0$  for each  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l} \neq 0$  for each  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that

$$\left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l} = 0$  for each  $k, l$  and thus  $x_{k,l} = 0$  for each  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $\rho_2 > 0$  be such that

$$\left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ . Then by Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (x_{k,l} + y_{k,l})}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
&= \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (x_{k,l} + y_{k,l})}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
&\leq \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
&\leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
&\leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho$ 's are non-negative, so we have

$$g(x + y) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_r}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (x_{k,l} + y_{k,l})}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{p_r}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\quad + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{p_r}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ . Finally, we prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let  $\mu$  be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_r}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\mu \Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ (|\mu|t)^{\frac{p_r}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{t} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where  $t = \frac{\rho}{|\mu|}$ . Since  $|\mu|^{p_r} \leq \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r)$ , we have

$$g(\mu x) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r) \inf \left\{ (t)^{\frac{p_r}{M}} : \left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{t} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \leq 1 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

So, the fact scalar multiplication is continuous follows from the above inequality. ■



**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

*if and only if  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $N = \sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} < \infty$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}^*} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & = N \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}^*} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

Conversely, suppose that

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

and  $x \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}^*} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \epsilon,$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose that  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} = \infty$ , then there exists a sequence of numbers  $(s_i, t_j)$

such that  $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{s_i, t_j}^*}{\varphi_{s_i, t_j}^{**}} = \infty$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \sup_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s_i, t_j}^*}{\varphi_{s_i, t_j}^{**}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s_i, t_j}^*} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s_i, t_j}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x \notin m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

*if and only if  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} < \infty$ ,  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}}{\varphi_{s,t}^*} > \infty$ .*

The corollary is easy to prove so for brevity it is omitted.

**Theorem 2.5.** For Musielak Orlicz functions  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_{k,l})$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_{k,l})$  which satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition and  $q, q_1, q_2$  are seminorms. Then the following relation holds:

$$(i) m(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

$$(ii) m(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap m(\mathcal{M}'', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \\ \subset m(\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'', \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

$$(iii) m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q_1, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q_2, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \\ \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q_1 + q_2, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

*Proof.* The proof of theorem along the same lines as the proof of the Theorem 2.5 of [1]. ■

**Corollary 2.6.** If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function which satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then the sequence space  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is solid.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\left( \lim_{k,l} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \epsilon,$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $(\alpha_{k,l})$  be a sequence of scalars with  $|\alpha_{k,l}| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\alpha_{k,l} x_{k,l})}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} |\alpha_{k,l}| M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\Lambda_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}},$$

which proves that  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is solid space. ■

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. The sequence space  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, q, \Lambda, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is monotone.

The proof is obvious.

### 3. DOUBLE GENERALIZED SEQUENCE SPACES

Let  $A = (\alpha_{i,j,k,l})$  be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. Then, we have

$$A(x) = (A_{i,j}(x)) \text{ if } A_{i,j}(x) = \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{\infty, \infty} \alpha_{i,j,k,l} x_{k,l}$$

converges for each  $i, j$ . For more details see [1].

In this section we introduce the following sequences spaces which are actually the generalizations of sequences spaces defined by Altun and Bilgin [1]. Thus we have the spaces:

$$m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x = x_{k,l} \in w^2 : \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \infty, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If  $M_{k,l}(x) = x$ , we have

$$m(A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x = x_{k,l} \in w^2 : \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \infty, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

In this section of the present paper we shall also study some topological properties and inclusion relations between the spaces  $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  Musielak- Orlicz function. Then the sequence space  $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_{k,l})$  and  $y = (y_{k,l}) \in m(\mathcal{M}, A, \varphi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist positive real numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \infty$$

Define  $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ . Since  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  is a  $n$ - norm on  $X$  and  $M_{k,l}$  are non-decreasing and convex function so by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_{k,l} + \beta y_{k,l})}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\alpha x_{k,l})}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & + \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\beta y_{k,l})}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq K \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} \frac{1}{2^{p_{k,l}}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & + K \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} \frac{1}{2^{p_{k,l}}} M_{k,l} \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have  $\alpha x + \beta y \in m(\mathcal{M}, A, \phi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Hence  $m(\mathcal{M}, A, \phi, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space. ■

**Theorem 3.2.** For any Musielak Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  and a bounded sequence  $p = (p_{k,l})$  of positive real numbers  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a topological linear space para-normed by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\},$$

where  $M = \max(1, \sup_{k,l} p_{k,l} < \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $g(x) \geq 0$  for  $x = (x_{k,l}) \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Since  $M_{k,l}(0) = 0$ , we get  $g(0) = 0$ . Again, if  $g(x) = 0$ , then

$$g(x) \\ = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} = 0.$$

This implies that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist some  $\rho_\epsilon (0 < \rho_\epsilon < \epsilon)$  such that

$$\left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ \leq \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ \leq 1.$$

Suppose that  $x_{k,l} \neq 0$  for each  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l} \neq 0$  for each  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that

$$\left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\epsilon}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l} = 0$  for each  $k, l$  and thus  $x_{k,l} = 0$  for each  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $\rho_2 > 0$  be such that

$$\left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1.$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ . Then by Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (x_{k,l} + y_{k,l})}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &= \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (x_{k,l} + y_{k,l})}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &+ \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho$ 's are non-negative, so we have

$$g(x + y) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (x_{k,l} + y_{k,l})}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &+ \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ . Finally, we prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let  $\mu$  be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{\mu A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$g(\mu x) = \inf \left\{ (|\mu|t)^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\mu x_{k,l})}{t}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \right\},$$

where  $t = \frac{\rho}{|\mu|}$ . Since  $|\mu|^{pr} \leq \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r)$ , we have

$$g(\mu x) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max(1, |\mu| \sup p_r) \inf \left\{ (t)^{\frac{pr}{M}} : \left( \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{t}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \right. \\ & \left. \leq 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

So, the fact that scalar multiplication is continuous follows from the above inequality. ■

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

*if and only if  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} < \infty$ .*

The proof is trivial so we omit it.

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then*

$$m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$$

*if and only if  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^*}{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}} < \infty$ ,  $\sup_{s,t \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{s,t}^{**}}{\varphi_{s,t}^*} > \infty$ .*

The corollary is easy to prove so it is omitted.

**Theorem 3.5.** *For Musielak Orlicz functions  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_{k,l})$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_{k,l})$  which satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then the following relation holds:*

- (i)  $m(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$   
(ii)  $m(\mathcal{M}', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap m(\mathcal{M}'', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$   
 $\subset m(\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'', \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$

*Proof.* The proof is along the same lines as the proof of the Theorem 2.5 of [1]. ■

**Corollary 3.6.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function which satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi^{**}, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .*

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then the sequence space  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is solid.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} < \epsilon,$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $(\alpha_{k,l})$  be a sequence of scalars with  $|\alpha_{k,l}| \leq 1$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n (\alpha_{k,l} x_{k,l})}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} |\alpha_{k,l}| M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \sup_{s,t \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{s,t}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{s,t}} \sum_{k,l \in \sigma} M_{k,l} \left( \left\| \frac{A_{k,l} \Delta_m^n x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_{k,l}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is solid space. ■

**Corollary 3.8.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{k,l})$  be any Musielak Orlicz function. Then the sequence space  $m(\mathcal{M}, \varphi, A, \Delta_m^n, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is monotone.*

The proof is obvious.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. ALTUN and T. BILGIN, On a new class of sequences related to the  $l_p$  space defined by Orlicz function, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **13** (2003), pp. 1189-1196.
- [2] M. BAŞARIR and O. SONALCAN, On some double sequence spaces, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **21** (1999), pp. 193-200.
- [3] T. BILGIN, Some new difference sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function, *Filomat*, **17** (2003), pp. 1-8.
- [4] T. J. BROMWICH, *An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series*, Macmillan and co. Ltd., New York 1965.
- [5] M. ET and R. ÇOLAK, On some generalized sequence spaces, *Soochow. J. Math.*, **21** (1995), pp. 377-386.
- [6] S. GÄHLER, Linear 2-normierte, *Rume, Math. Nachr.*, **28** (1965), pp. 1-43.
- [7] H. GUNAWAN, On n-Inner Product, n-Norms, and the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality, *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, **5** (2001), pp. 47-54.
- [8] H. GUNAWAN, The space of p-summable sequence and its natural n-norm, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **64** (2001), pp. 137-147.
- [9] H. GUNAWAN and M. MASHADI, On n-normed spaces, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, **27** (2001), pp. 631-639.
- [10] G. H. HARDY, On the convergence of certain multiple series, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **19** (1917), pp. 86-95.
- [11] H. KIZMAZ, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math-Bull.*, **24** (1981), pp. 169-176.
- [12] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **10** (1971), pp. 379-390.
- [13] L. MALIGRANDA, *Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics **5**, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.
- [14] A. MISIAK, n-Inner product spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, **140** (1989), pp. 299-319.
- [15] F. MÓRICZ, Extension of the spaces  $c$  and  $c_0$  from single to double sequences, *Acta Math. Hungarica*, **57** (1991), pp. 129-136.
- [16] F. MÓRICZ and B. E. RHOADES, Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **104** (1988), pp. 283-294.
- [17] M. MURSALEEN, Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **293** (2004), pp. 523-531.
- [18] M. MURSALEEN and O. H. H. EDELY, Statistical convergence of double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **288** (2003), pp. 223-231.
- [19] M. MURSALEEN and O. H. H. EDELY, Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **293** (2004), pp. 532-540.
- [20] M. MURSALEEN and A. K. NOMAN, On the spaces of  $\lambda$ -convergent and bounded sequences, *Thai J. Math.*, **8** (2010), pp. 311-329.
- [21] J. MUSIELAK, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, **1034** 1983.
- [22] K. RAJ and A. KILICMAN, On certain generalized paranormed spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, (2015), 2015: 37.
- [23] K. RAJ, S. JAMWAL and S.K. SHARMA, New classes of generalized sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, **15** (2013), pp. 730-737.

- [24] S.A.MOHIUDDINE, K. RAJ and A. ALOTAIBI, Some paranormed double difference sequence spaces for Orlicz functions and bounded-regular matrices, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Volume 2014, Article ID 419064, 10 pages.
- [25] W. L. C. SARGENT, Some sequence spaces related to the  $l_p$  spaces, *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, **35** (1960), pp. 161-171.
- [26] B. C. TRIPATHY, Generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex spaces, *Soochow J. Math.*, **30** (2004), pp. 431-446.
- [27] B. C. TRIPATHY, Statistically convergent double sequences, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **34** (2003), pp. 231-237.
- [28] B. C. TRIPATHY and S. MAHANTA, Some sequence spaces related to the  $l_p$  spaces defined by Orlicz function, *Soochow J. Math.*, **29** (2003), pp. 379-391.
- [29] A. WILANSKY, Summability through Functional Analysis, *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, **85** 1984.
- [30] M. ZELTSER, Investigation of double sequence spaces by soft and hard analytical methods, *Diss. Math. Univ. Tartu.*, **25**, Tartu University Press, Univ. of Tartu, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tartu 2001.