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ABSTRACT. Estimates on the initial coefficients are obtained for normalized analytic functions $f$ in the open unit disk with $f$ and its inverse $g = f^{-1}$ satisfying the conditions that $zf'(z)/f(z)$ and $zg'(z)/g(z)$ are both subordinate to a starlike univalent function whose range is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Several related classes of functions are also considered, and connections to earlier known results are made.
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1. Introduction

Let $A$ be the class of all analytic functions of the form

\begin{equation}
(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,
\end{equation}

in the open unit disk $D := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. The Koebe one-quarter theorem [8] ensures that the image of $D$ under every univalent function $f \in A$ contains a disk of radius $1/4$. Thus every univalent function $f$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, $(z \in D)$ and

\[ f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad (|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq 1/4) \]

A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in $D$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $D$. Let $\sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk $D$. A domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is convex if the line segment joining any two points in $D$ lies entirely in $D$, while a domain is starlike with respect to a point $w_0 \in D$ if the line segment joining any point of $D$ to $w_0$ lies inside $D$. A function $f \in A$ is starlike if $f(D)$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin, and convex if $f(D)$ is convex. Analytically, $f \in A$ is starlike if and only if $\Re \{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > 0$, whereas $f \in A$ is convex if and only if $1 + \Re \{zf''(z)/f'(z)\} > 0$. The classes consisting of starlike and convex functions are denoted by $ST$ and $CV$ respectively. The classes $ST(\alpha)$ and $CV(\alpha)$ of starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, are respectively characterized by $\Re \{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > \alpha$ and $1 + \Re \{zf''(z)/f'(z)\} > \alpha$. Various subclasses of starlike and convex functions are often investigated. These functions are typically characterized by the quantity $zf'(z)/f(z)$ or $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$ lying in a certain domain starlike with respect to 1 in the right-half plane. Subordination is useful to unify these subclasses.

An analytic function $f$ is subordinate to an analytic function $g$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, provided there is an analytic function $w$ defined on $D$ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ satisfying $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Ma and Minda [11] unified various subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which either of the quantity $zf'(z)/f(z)$ or $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$ is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. For this purpose, they considered an analytic function $\phi$ with positive real part in the unit disk $D$, $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$, and $\phi$ maps $D$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The class of Ma-Minda starlike functions consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying the subordination $zf'(z)/f(z) \prec \phi(z)$. Similarly, the class of Ma-Minda convex functions consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying the subordination $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) \prec \phi(z)$. A function $f$ is bi-starlike of Ma-Minda type or bi-convex of Ma-Minda type if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are respectively Ma-Minda starlike or convex. These classes are denoted respectively by $ST_\sigma(\phi)$ and $CV_\sigma(\phi)$.

Lewin [10] investigated the class $\sigma$ of bi-univalent functions and obtained the bound for the second coefficient. Several authors have subsequently studied similar problems in this direction (see [7,12]). Brannan and Taha [6] considered certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, similar to the familiar subclasses of univalent functions consisting of strongly starlike, starlike and convex functions. They introduced bi-starlike functions and bi-convex functions and obtained estimates on the initial coefficients. Recently, Srivastava et al. [14] introduced and investigated subclasses of bi-univalent functions and obtained bounds for the initial coefficients. Bounds for the initial coefficients of several classes of functions were also investigated in [1,2,4,5,8,13].

In this paper, estimates on the initial coefficients for bi-univalent Sakaguchi type functions are obtained. This class was motivated by Rosihan M. Ali et al. [3].
In the sequel, it is assumed that \( \phi \) is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk \( D \), with \( \phi(0) = 1 \), \( \phi'(0) > 0 \) and \( \phi(D) \) is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such a function has a series expansion of the form

\[
\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots \quad (B_1 > 0)
\]

**Definition 1.1.** A function \( f(z) \in A \) is said to be in the class \( M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t) \) if it satisfies

\[
(1.2) \quad \phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots \quad (B_1 > 0)
\]

\[
\text{Definition 1.1.} \quad \phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots \quad (B_1 > 0)
\]

and,

\[
(1.3) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\rho z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{\rho [f'(z) - f'(tz)] + (1-\rho)[f(z) - f(tz)]} \right\} < \phi(z)
\]

\[
\text{and,}
\]

\[
(1.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\rho \mu w^2 g''(w) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu) w g'(w) + wg'(w)]}{\rho \mu w^2 (g'(w) - t g'(tw)) + (1-\rho)[g(w) - g(tw)]} \right\} < \phi(w)
\]

\[
|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \rho \leq 1.
\]

This class \( M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t) \) was defined by Srutha Keerthi and Chinthamani [16].

For \( \mu = 0 \) in \( M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t) \) we get the class \( M(\rho, \phi, t) \) which was studied by Srutha Keerthi [15].

**Definition 1.2.** A function \( f(z) \in A \) is in the class \( M(\rho, \phi, t) \), if it satisfies

\[
(1.5) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\rho z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{\rho z [f'(z) - f'(tz)] + (1-\rho)[f(z) - f(tz)]} \right\} < \phi(z)
\]

and,

\[
(1.6) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\rho \mu w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)]}{\rho \mu w^2 (g'(w) - t g'(tw)) + (1-\rho)[g(w) - g(tw)]} \right\} < \phi(w)
\]

\[
|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \rho \leq 1.
\]

If \( \rho = 0 \) and \( \rho = 1 \) in \( M(\rho, \phi, t) \), we get the classes \( S^\ast(\phi, t) \) and \( T(\phi, t) \) respectively, which were studied by Goyal and Pranay Goswami [9].

**Definition 1.3.** A function \( f \in A \) is said to be in the class \( M(\lambda, \phi, t) \) if it satisfies

\[
(1.7) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + (1 + 2\lambda) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{\lambda [z^2 f''(z) - t^2 z^2 f''(tz)]} + z [f'(z) - f'(tz)] \right\} < \phi(z)
\]

and,

\[
(1.8) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\lambda w^2 g''(w) + (1 + 2\lambda) w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)]}{\lambda [w^2 g''(w) - t^2 w^2 g''(tw)] + w [g'(w) - t g'(tw)]} \right\} < \phi(w)
\]

\[
|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1.
\]

For \( \lambda = 0 \), we get the class \( T(\phi, t) \) which was studied by Goyal and Pranay Goswami [9].

### 2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

For functions in the class \( M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t) \), the following results are obtained.

**Theorem 2.1.** If \( f \in M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t) \), then

\[
(2.1) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_5}}{\sqrt{(1-t)|B_1| (1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho \mu)(2 + t) - (1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2 (1 + t) - (B_2 - B_1)(1 - t)(1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2}}
\]

and

\[
(2.2) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_5}}{(2 + t)(1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho \mu)(2 + t) - (1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2 (1 + t) + |B_2 - B_1|(1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho \mu) - (1 + t)(1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2}
\]

\[
\frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_5}}{(2 + t)(1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho \mu)(2 + t) - (1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2 (1 + t) + |B_2 - B_1|(1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho \mu) - (1 + t)(1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2}
\]
$|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \rho \leq 1.$

**Proof.** Let $f \in M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. Then there are analytic functions $u, v : D \to D$, with $u(0) = v(0) = 0$, satisfying

\begin{equation}
(2.3) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1 - t)[\rho \mu z^3f'''(z) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu)z^2f''(z) + zf'(z)]}{\rho \mu z^2[f''(z) - tf''(tz)] + (\rho - \mu)zf'(z) - tf'(tz)] + (1 - \rho + \mu)[f(z) - f(tz)]} \right\} = \phi(u(z))
\end{equation}

and,

\begin{equation}
(2.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1 - t)[\rho \mu w^2g'''(w) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu)w^2g''(w) + wg'(w)]}{\rho \mu w^2[g''(w) - t^2g''(tw)] + (\rho - \mu)wg'(w) - tg'(tw)] + (1 - \rho + \mu)[g(w) - g(tw)]} \right\} = \phi(v(w))
\end{equation}

Define the functions $p_1$ and $p_2$ by

\begin{equation}
p_1(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad p_2(z) = \frac{1 + v(z)}{1 - v(z)} = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots
\end{equation}

or, equivalently,

\begin{equation}
u(z) = \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( c_1z + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \cdots \right)
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
v(z) = \frac{p_2(z) - 1}{p_2(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( b_1z + \left( b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \cdots \right)
\end{equation}

Then $p_1$ and $p_2$ are analytic in $D$ with $p_1(0) = 1 = p_2(0)$. Since $u, v : D \to D$, the functions $p_1$ and $p_2$ have positive real part in $D$, and $|b_1| \leq 2$ and $|c_1| \leq 2$. In view of (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), clearly

\begin{equation}
(2.7) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1 - t)[\rho \mu z^3f'''(z) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu)z^2f''(z) + zf'(z)]}{\rho \mu z^2[f''(z) - tf''(tz)] + (\rho - \mu)zf'(z) - tf'(tz)] + (1 - \rho + \mu)[f(z) - f(tz)]} \right\} = \phi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right)
\end{equation}

and,

\begin{equation}
(2.7) \quad \left\{ \frac{(1 - t)[\rho \mu w^2g'''(w) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu)w^2g''(w) + wg'(w)]}{\rho \mu w^2[g''(w) - t^2g''(tw)] + (\rho - \mu)wg'(w) - tg'(tw)] + (1 - \rho + \mu)[g(w) - g(tw)]} \right\} = \phi \left( \frac{p_1(w) - 1}{p_1(w) + 1} \right)
\end{equation}

Using (2.5) and (2.6) together with (1.2), it is evident that

\begin{equation}
(2.8) \quad \phi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 z + \left( \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2 \right) z^2 \cdots
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
(2.9) \quad \phi \left( \frac{p_2(w) - 1}{p_2(w) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 b_1 w + \left( \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 b_1^2 \right) w^2 \cdots
\end{equation}

Since, $f \in M(\rho, \mu, \phi, t)$ has the Maclaurin series given by (1.1), a computation shows that its inverse $g = f^{-1}$ has the expansion

\begin{equation}
(2.10) \quad g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2 - a_3) w^3 + \cdots
\end{equation}

Since,

\begin{equation}
(1 - t)[\rho \mu z^3f'''(z) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu)z^2f''(z) + zf'(z)]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= 1 + a_2(1 - t)(1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu) z + z^2(1 - t)[a_3(2 + t)(1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\mu) - a_2^2(1 + t)(1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)] + \cdots
\end{equation}

and,

\begin{equation}
(1 - t)[\rho \mu w^2g'''(w) + (2\rho \mu + \rho - \mu)w^2g''(w) + wg'(w)]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= 1 - a_2 w(1 - t)(1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu) + w^2(1 - t) \left\{ a_2^2(2 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\mu)(2 + t) + (1 + \rho - \mu + 2\rho \mu)^2(1 + t) - a_3(1 + 2\rho - 2\mu + 6\mu)(2 + t) \right\} + \cdots
\end{equation}
It follows from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) that
\[(2.11)\]
\[a_2(1-t)(1+\rho-\mu + 2\rho\mu) = \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1\]

(2.12) \(a_3(2+t)(1-t)(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu) - a_2^2 (1+t)(1-t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu)^2 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2\]

\(|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \rho \leq 1.\)

(2.13) 
\[- a_2(1-t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu) = \frac{B_1 b_1}{2} \]

and
\[(2.14)\]
\[a_2^2 (1-t)[2(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu)(2+t) - (1-t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu)^2] - a_3(1-t)(2+t)(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu) = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 b_1^2 \]

From (2.11) and (2.13), it follows that
\[(2.15)\]
\[c_1 = -b_1\]

Now (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) yield
\[a_2^2 = \frac{B_1^2(b_2 + c_2)}{4(1-t)[B_1^2(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu)(2+t) - (1-t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu)^2]} \]

\[a_3 = \frac{B_1 c_2[2(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu)(2+t) - (1-t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu)^2] + b_3(1+t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu)^2)}{4(1-t)(2+t)(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu)[(2+t)(1+2\rho - 2\mu + 6\rho\mu) - (1+t)(1+\rho - \mu + 2\rho\mu)^2]} \]

which in view of the well known inequalities \(|b_1| \leq 2| \text{ and } |c_1| \leq 2| for functions with positive real part, gives us the desired estimate on \(|a_2|\) and \(|a_3|\) as asserted in (2.2) and (2.3) respectively.

**Corollary 2.2.** If \(f \in M(\rho, \phi, t)\), then the function has the coefficient inequalities as \(|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{(1-t)|B_1^2(2-t) - 4(1-t)(B_2 - B_1)|} \] and \(|a_3| \leq \frac{B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|}{(1-t)(2-t)} \).

By putting \(\mu = 0\) in (2.1) and (2.2), the above estimates can be derived.

**Corollary 2.3.** If \(f \in T(\phi, t)\), then the function gets the coefficient inequalities as \(|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 |B_1|}{(1-t)|B_1^2(2-t) - 4(1-t)(B_2 - B_1)|} \] and \(|a_3| \leq \frac{B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|}{(1-t)(2-t)} \).

By putting \(\rho = 1\) in the above Corollary (2.2), these estimates can be obtained.

**Corollary 2.4.** If \(f \in S^{*}(\phi, t)\), then the function obtains the following coefficient inequalities as \(|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 |B_1|}{(1-t)|B_1^2(2-t) - 4(1-t)(B_2 - B_1)|} \) and \(|a_3| \leq \frac{B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|}{(1-t)(2-t)} \).

When we take \(\rho = 0\), the Corollary 2.3 yield these estimates.

**Theorem 2.5.** If \(f \in M(\lambda, \phi, t)\), then
\[(2.16)\]
\[|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{(1-t)|B_1^2[3(1+2\lambda)(2+t) - 4(1+t)(1+\lambda)^2] - 4(B_2 - B_1)(1-t)(1+\lambda)^2|} \]

and
\[(2.17)\]
\[|a_3| \leq \frac{B_1[3(1+2\lambda)(2+t) - 2(1+\lambda)^2(1+t)] + 2(1+\lambda)^2(1+t)] + 3|B_2 - B_1|{(2+t)(1+2\lambda)}^{1+2\lambda}}{3(2+t)(1-t)(1+2\lambda)|3(2+t)(1+2\lambda)| - 4(1+t)(1+\lambda)^2|} \]
Proof. Let \( f \in M(\lambda, \phi, t) \) and \( g = f^{-1} \). Then there are analytic functions \( u, v : D \to D \) with \( u(0) = v(0) = 0 \), satisfying
\[
\frac{(1 - t)[\lambda z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)]}{\lambda[z^2 f''(z) - t^2z^2 f''(tz)] + zf'(z) - tf'(tz)} = \phi(u(z))
\]
and,
\[
\frac{(1 - t)[\lambda w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\lambda)w^2 g''(w) + wg'(w)]}{\lambda[w^2 g''(w) - t^2w^2 g''(tw)] + wg'(w) - tg'(tw)} = \phi(v(w))
\]
In view of (2.5), (2.6) and (2.18), clearly
\[
\frac{(1 - t)[\lambda z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)]}{\lambda[z^2 f''(z) - t^2z^2 f''(tz)] + zf'(z) - tf'(tz)} = \phi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right)
\]
and,
\[
\frac{(1 - t)[\lambda w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\lambda)w^2 g''(w) + wg'(w)]}{\lambda[w^2 g''(w) - t^2w^2 g''(tw)] + wg'(w) - tg'(tw)} = \phi \left( \frac{p_1(w) - 1}{p_1(w) + 1} \right)
\]
Using (2.5) and (2.6) together with (1.2), we get (2.8) and (2.9). Since \( f \in M(\lambda, \phi, t) \) has the Maclaurin series given by (1.1), a computation shows that its inverse \( g = f^{-1} \) has the expression as (2.10).

Since
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(1 - t)[\lambda z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)] \\
\lambda[z^2 f''(z) - t^2z^2 f''(tz)] + zf'(z) - tf'(tz)
\end{array} \right\} = 1 + 2a_2(1 + \lambda)(1 - t)z + (1 - t)[3a_3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 4a_2^2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + t)]z^2 + \cdots
\]
and
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(1 - t)[\lambda w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\lambda)w^2 g''(w) + wg'(w)] \\
\lambda[w^2 g''(w) - t^2w^2 g''(tw)] + wg'(w) - tg'(tw)
\end{array} \right\} = 1 - 2a_2(1 + \lambda)(1 - t)w \\
+ (1 - t)[-3a_3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) + 2a_2^2[3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + t)]]w^2 + \cdots
\]

it follows from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.18) that
\[
(2.19) \quad 2a_2(1 + \lambda)(1 - t) = \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1
\]
\[
(2.20) \quad (1 - t)[3a_3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 4a_2^2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + t)] = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2
\]
\[
(2.21) \quad - 2a_2(1 + \lambda)(1 - t) = \frac{1}{2} B_1 b_1
\]
\[
(2.22) \quad (1 - t)[-3a_3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) + 2a_2^2[3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + t)] = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 b_1^2
\]

|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1

from (2.19) and (2.21), it follows (2.15).

Now (2.20), (2.21), (2.22) and (2.15) yield
\[
a_2^2 = \frac{B_1^2(b_2 + c_2)}{4(1 - t)[3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 4(1 + \lambda)^2(1 + t)] - 4(1 - t)(1 + \lambda)^2(B_2 - B_1)}
\]
and
\[
a_3 = \frac{b_2}{3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + t)(1 + \lambda)^2} + 2b_2(1 + t)(1 + \lambda)^2 + \frac{b_2 - b_1}{3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + t)(1 + \lambda)^2} + \frac{b_2^2 - b_1^2}{3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + t)(1 + \lambda)^2} + \frac{b_2 b_1}{3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + t)(1 + \lambda)^2} + \frac{b_2^2}{3(1 + 2\lambda)(2 + t) - 2(1 + t)(1 + \lambda)^2} - 4((1 + \lambda)^2(1 + t)]
\]
which in view of the well known inequalities $|b_i| \leq 2$ and $|c_i| \leq 2$ for functions with positive real part, gives us the required estimate on $|a_2|^2$ and $|a_3|$ as asserted in (2.16) and (2.17) respectively.

**Remark 2.1.** For $\lambda = 0$, the function obtains coefficient estimates as in Corollary 2.3.
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