

# The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

http://ajmaa.org





# SEVERAL q-INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

W. T. SULAIMAN

Received 19 March, 2010; accepted 17 September, 2010; published 28 February, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF MOSUL, IRAQ waadsulaiman@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT. In the present paper several q-integral inequalities are presented, some of them are new and others are generalizations of known results.

Key words and phrases: q-integral inequalities, q-derivative, q-integral.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A24, 26A39, 26D15.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

<sup>© 2011</sup> Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

W. T. SULAIMAN

#### 1. Introduction

The q-analog (0 < q < 1) of the derivative, denoted by  $D_q$  is defined (see [7]) by

(1.1) 
$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

If f'(0) exists, then  $D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ . As  $q \to 1$ , the q-derivative reduces to the usual derivative.

The q-analog of integration may be given (see [8]) by

(1.2) 
$$\int_0^1 f(x)d_q x = (1-q)\sum_{i=0}^\infty f(q^i)q^i,$$

which reduces to  $\int_0^1 f(x)dx$  as  $q \to 1$ .

The q-Jackson integral from 0 to  $a \in \Re$  can be defined (see [2, 3]) by

(1.3) 
$$\int_0^a f(x)d_q x = a(1-q)\sum_{i=0}^\infty f(aq^i)q^i$$

provided the sum converges absolutely. The q-Jackson integral on a general interval [a,b] may be defined (see [2,3]) by

(1.4) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)d_{q}x = \int_{0}^{b} f(x)d_{q}x - \int_{0}^{a} f(x)d_{q}x$$

The q-Jackson integral and q-derivative are related by the "fundamental theorem of quantum calculus" which can be stated (see [3, p. 73]) as follows: If F is an anti q-derivative of the function f, namely  $D_qF = f$ , continuous at x = a, then

For any function f one has

(1.6) 
$$D_q\left(\int_a^x f(t)d_qt\right) = f(x).$$

The q-analog of Leibniz's rule is also valid

(1.7) 
$$D_q(f(x)g(x)) = f(x)D_qg(x) + g(qx)D_qf(x).$$

For b > 0 and  $a = bq^n$  with  $n \in N$ , denote

$$[a,b]_q = \{bq^k : 0 \le k \le n\} \quad and \quad (a,b]_q = [aq^{-1},b]_q.$$

In [6], the following results were proved:

**Theorem 1.1.** If f(x) is a non-negative and increasing function on  $[a,b]_q$  and satisfies

$$(1.9) \qquad (\alpha - 1)f^{\alpha - 2}(qx)D_q f(x) \ge \beta(\beta - 1)f^{\beta - 1}(x)(x - a)^{\beta - 2}$$

for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , then

(1.10) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x) d_{q}x \ge \left( \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d_{q}x \right)^{\beta}.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** If f(x) is a non-negative and increasing function on  $[bq^{n+m}, b]_q$  for  $m, n \in N$  and satisfies

$$(1.11) (\alpha - 1)D_a f(x) \ge \beta(\beta - 1) f^{\beta - \alpha + 1} (q^m x)(x - a)^{\beta - 2}$$

for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , then

(1.12) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x) d_{q}x \ge \left( \int_{a}^{b} f(q^{m}x) d_{q}x \right)^{\beta}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** If f(x) is a non-negative function on  $[0,b]_q$  and satisfies

$$(1.13) \qquad \qquad \int_0^b f^{\beta}(t)d_qt \ge \int_0^b t^{\beta}d_qt$$

for  $x \in [0, b]_q$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then the inequality

(1.14) 
$$\int_0^b f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_qx \ge \int_0^b x^{\alpha}f^{\beta}(x)d_qx.$$

*holds for all*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\beta$ .

### 2. RESULTS.

We are assuming that  $\alpha > 0$  is fixed, and start by giving an alternative proof for the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** [1] Let  $p \ge 1$  and g(x) be a non-negative, non-decreasing function on  $[a,b]_q$ . Then (2.1)  $pg^{p-1}(qx)D_qg(x) \le D_q(g^p(x)) \le pg^{p-1}(x)D_qg(x), \quad x \in (a,b]_q$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \ge 1$ , then it is sufficient to prove the inequality for p integer, as any non integer lies between two integers and has the same property. We have

$$D_q g^p(x) = \frac{g^p(x) - g^p(qx)}{x(1-q)} = \frac{g^p(x) - g^p(qx)}{g(x) - g(qx)} \times \frac{g(x) - g(qx)}{x(1-q)}$$
$$= D_q g(x) \sum_{j=1}^p g^{j-1}(x) g^{p-j}(qx) \le p g^{p-1}(x) D_q g(x),$$

as q is non-decreasing. Also, we have

$$D_q g^p(x) \ge p g^{p-1}(qx) D_q g(x).$$

The result of Lemma 2.1 can be obtained also by using the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If* a > b > 0, p > 1, *then* 

$$pb^{p-1} < \frac{a^p - b^p}{a - b} < pa^{p-1}.$$

Proof. Let

$$f(a) = p(a - b)a^{p-1} - a^p + b^p.$$

By keeping b fixed and letting a vary, we have

$$f'(a) = p^{2}a^{p-1} - p(p-1)ba^{p-2} - pa^{p-1} = 0,$$

4 W. T. SULAIMAN

whenever a = b.

$$f''(a) = p^{2}(p-1)a^{p-2} - bp(p-1)(p-2)a^{p-3} - p(p-1)a^{p-2}.$$
  
$$f''(a) = p(p-1)a^{p-2} > 0,$$

whenever a = b.

Therefore f(a) attains its minimum when a=b which is 0. That is  $f(a) \ge 0$ . The left inequality follows the same steps by keeping a fixed and b variable, and therefore is omitted. The proof of the first part of the Lemma follows by applying the proposition with a=g(x), b=g(qx) in the following step:

$$D_q g^p x = \frac{g^p(x) - g^p(qx)}{g(x) - g(qx)} D_q g(x).$$

It may be mentioned that in Theorem 1.1,  $\beta$  should be greater or equal 2, otherwise the step in line 4 page 119 is not true in general.

The following is a good generalization of Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 2.3.** If f(x) is a non-negative increasing function on  $[a,b]_q$  and satisfies

(2.2) 
$$(\gamma - \alpha)f^{\gamma - \alpha + 1}(qx)D_q f(x) - \beta(\beta - 1)f^{\alpha(\beta - 1)}(x)(x - a)^{\beta - 2} \ge 0$$
 for  $1 \le \alpha < \gamma, \beta \ge 2$ , then

(2.3) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma}(x) d_{q}x \ge \left(\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x) d_{q}x\right)^{\beta}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f^{\gamma}(t)d_{q}t - \left(\int_{a}^{x} f^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t\right)^{\beta}, \quad x \in [a, b]_{q},$$
$$g(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$D_q F(x) = f^{\gamma}(x) - D_q g^{\beta}(x)$$

$$\geq f^{\gamma}(x) - \beta g^{\beta-1}(x) f^{\alpha}(x)$$

$$= f^{\alpha}(x) (f^{\gamma-\alpha}(x) - \beta g^{\beta-1}(x)) = f^{\alpha}(x) h(x).$$

$$D_q h(x) \ge (\gamma - \alpha) f^{\gamma - \alpha - 1}(qx) D_q f(x) - \beta(\beta - 1) g^{\beta - 2}(x) f^{\alpha}(x).$$

As 
$$g^{\beta-2}(x) = \left(\int_a^x f^{\alpha}(t)dt\right)^{\beta-2} \le f^{\alpha(\beta-2)}(x)(x-a)^{\beta-2}$$
, then  $D_a h(x) \ge (\gamma - \alpha) f^{\gamma-\alpha-1}(qx) D_a f(x) - \beta(\beta-1) f^{\alpha(\beta-1)}(x)(x-a)^{\beta-2} \ge 0$ .

This shows that h(x) is non-decreasing, and hence  $h(x) \ge h(a) \ge 0$ . Therefore F(x) is non-decreasing and so  $F(x) \ge F(a) = 0$ . This completes the proof.

The following Lemmas are needed for the coming results.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $f, g \ge 0$ , g is non-decreasing with g(a) = 0. Then either of the two conditions

(2.4) 
$$\int_{x}^{b} f^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t \ge \int_{x}^{b} g^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall x \in [a,b]_{q},$$

$$(2.5) \int_a^x f^{\alpha}(t) d_q t \le \int_a^x g^{\alpha}(t) d_q t, \quad and \quad \int_a^b f^{\alpha}(t) d_q t = \int_a^b g^{\alpha}(t) d_q t, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_q,$$

implies

(2.6) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(t)g^{\beta}(t)d_{q}t \ge \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha+\beta}(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall \beta > 0.$$

Proof. We define

$$\frac{f(g(x)) - f(g(qx))}{g(x) - g(qx)} = D_q(f, g).$$

Since

$$D_{q}f \circ g(x) = \frac{f(g(x)) - f(g(qx))}{x - qx}$$

$$= \frac{f(g(x)) - f(g(qx))}{g(x) - g(qx)} \times \frac{g(x) - g(qx)}{x - qx} = D_{q}(f, g)D_{q}g,$$

then

$$(2.7) f \circ g(x) = \int D_q(f,g) D_q g d_q x.$$

Suppose (2.4) is satisfied and define  $h(x) = x^{\beta}$ , then  $g^{\beta}(x) = h(g(x))$ , and we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x = \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)h(g(x))d_{q}x = \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)\int_{a}^{x} D_{q}(h,g)D_{q}g(u)d_{q}ud_{q}x$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} D_{q}(h,g)D_{q}g(u)\int_{u}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}xd_{q}u$$

$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} D_{q}(h,g)D_{q}g(u)\int_{u}^{b} g^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}xd_{q}u$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(x)\int_{a}^{x} D_{q}(h,g)D_{q}g(u)d_{q}ud_{q}x$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x.$$

Now let (2.5) be satisfied, then we have

$$\begin{split} \int_a^b f^\alpha(x)g^\beta(x)d_qx &= \int_a^b f^\alpha(x)\int_a^x D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)d_qud_qx \\ &= \int_a^b f^\alpha(x)\left(\int_a^b D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)d_qu - \int_x^b D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)d_qu\right)d_qx \\ &= g^\beta(b)\int_a^b f^\alpha(x)d_qx - \int_a^b D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)\int_a^u f^\alpha(x)d_qxd_qu \\ &\geq g^\beta(b)\int_a^b f^\alpha(x)d_qx - \int_a^b D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)\int_a^u g^\alpha(x)d_qxd_qu \\ &= g^\beta(b)\int_a^b f^\alpha(x)d_qx - \int_a^b D_q(h,g)D_qg(u) \\ &\times \left(\int_a^b g^\alpha(x)d_qx - \int_u^b g^\alpha(x)d_qx\right)d_qu \\ &= \int_a^b D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)\int_u^b g^\alpha(x)d_qxd_qu \\ &= \int_a^b g^\alpha(x)\int_a^x D_q(h,g)D_qg(u)d_qud_xx \\ &= \int_a^b g^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_qx. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f, g \ge 0$ , g is non-decreasing with g(a) = 0. Then either of the two conditions

(2.8) 
$$\int_{x}^{b} f^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t \leq \int_{x}^{b} g^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall x \in [a,b]_{q},$$

(2.9) 
$$\int_{a}^{x} f^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t \geq \int_{a}^{x} g^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t \quad and \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t = \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall x \in [a,b]_{q},$$
 implies

(2.10) 
$$\int_a^b f^{\alpha}(t)g^{\beta}(t)d_q(t) \le \int_a^b g^{\alpha+\beta}(t)d_qt, \quad \forall \beta > 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that given in Lemma 2.4.

The following are the main results:

**Theorem 2.6.** Suppose  $f, g \ge 0$ , g is non-decreasing, g(a) = 0. If either of the two conditions (2.4) or (2.5) is satisfied, then

(2.11) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x \ge \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x, \quad \forall \beta > 0.$$

(2.12) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x) d_{q}x \ge \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha+\beta}(x) d_{q}x, \quad \forall \beta > 0.$$

AJMAA, Vol. 7, No. 2, Art. 6, pp. 1-9, 2011

In (2.11) if we are replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\gamma$ , provided  $\gamma + \beta \ge \alpha, \forall \gamma, \beta > 0$ , then (2.11) remains true. If g is non-increasing and (2.4), (2.5) reverses, then (2.11), (2.12) reverses.

*Proof.* By the AG inequality,

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} f^{\alpha + \beta}(x) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} g^{\alpha + \beta}(x) \ge f^{\alpha}(x) g^{\beta}(x),$$

or

$$f^{\alpha+\beta}(x) \ge (1+\beta/\alpha)f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x) - (\beta/\alpha)g^{\alpha+\beta}(x).$$

Integrating the above inequality and hence making use of Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x \geq (1+\beta/\alpha) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x - (\beta/\alpha) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x$$

$$\geq (1+\beta/\alpha) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x - (\beta/\alpha) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x.$$
(2.13)

By replacing  $\beta$  by  $\beta + \gamma - \alpha > 0$  in (2.12), we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma+\beta}(x)d_{q}x \ge \int_{a}^{b} g^{\gamma+\beta}(x)d_{q}x.$$

By the AG inequality,

$$f^{\gamma+\beta}(x) \ge (1+\beta/\gamma)f^{\gamma}(x)g^{\beta}(x) - (\beta/\gamma)g^{\gamma+\beta}(x).$$

Integrating, we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma+\beta}(x) d_{q}x \geq (1+\beta/\gamma) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma}(x) g^{\beta}(x) d_{q}x - (\beta/\gamma) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\gamma+\beta}(x) d_{q}x$$
$$\geq (1+\beta/\gamma) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma}(x) g^{\beta}(x) d_{q}x - (\beta/\gamma) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma+\beta}(x) d_{q}x$$

which implies

$$\int_a^b f^{\gamma+\beta}(x)d_qx \ge \int_a^b f^{\gamma}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_qx.$$

Similarly, (2.12) follows from (2.13) and the proof is complete.

The reverse follows from the coming result.

**Theorem 2.7.** Suppose  $f, g \ge 0, g$  is non-decreasing, g(a) = 0. If either (2.4) or (2.5), with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $-\alpha$  is satisfied, then

(2.14) 
$$\int_a^b f^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_q x \leq \int_a^b f^{-\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_q x, \quad \forall \beta > \alpha > 0.$$

(2.15) 
$$\int_a^b f^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_qx \geq \int_a^b g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_qx, \quad \forall \beta > \alpha > 0.$$

8 W. T. SULAIMAN

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.4, we have

(2.16) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{-\alpha}(t)g^{\beta}(t)d_{q}t \geq \int_{a}^{b} g^{\beta-\alpha}(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall \beta > 0.$$

Now, by making use of the AG inequality, with  $\beta > \alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\beta}{\beta - \alpha} f^{\beta - \alpha}(x) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} g^{\beta - \alpha}(x) \le f^{-\alpha}(x) g^{\beta}(x),$$

that is

$$f^{\beta-\alpha}(x) \le (1 - \alpha/\beta)f^{-\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x) + (\alpha/\beta)g^{\beta-\alpha}(x).$$

By integrating the above inequality, and then making use of (2.16), we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_{q}x \le \int_{a}^{b} f^{-\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x, \quad 0 < \alpha < \beta.$$

**Theorem 2.8.** Suppose  $f, g \ge 0, g$  is non-decreasing, g(a) = 0. If either (2.8) or (2.9), with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $-\alpha$  is satisfied, then

(2.17) 
$$\int_a^b f^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_qx \le \int_a^b g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_qx.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.5, we have

(2.18) 
$$\int_a^b f^{-\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_qx \le \int_a^b g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_qx, \quad 0 < \alpha < \beta.$$

By using the AG inequality with  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ , we have

$$f^{\beta-\alpha}(x) \le (1 - \alpha/\beta)f^{-\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x) + (\alpha/\beta)g^{\beta-\alpha}(x).$$

Integrating the above inequality and then making use of (2.7), we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_{q}x \leq (1-\alpha/\beta) \int_{a}^{b} f^{-\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x + (\alpha/\beta) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_{q}x 
\leq (1-\alpha/\beta) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_{q}x + (\alpha/\beta) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_{q}x 
= \int_{a}^{b} g^{\beta-\alpha}(x)d_{q}x.$$

The coming result gives an analogous result to Theorem 2.6.

**Theorem 2.9.** Suppose f, q > 0, q is non-decreasing. If

(2.19) 
$$\int_{x}^{b} f(t)d_{q}t \ge \int_{x}^{b} g(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall x \in [a, b],$$

then

(2.20) 
$$\int_a^b f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_qx \ge \int_a^b f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_qx, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \ge 0, \alpha+\beta \ge 1.$$

*Proof.* On putting  $\alpha = 1$  in (2.4), we obtain via Lemma 2.4

$$\int_{x}^{b} f(t)d_{q}t \geq \int_{x}^{b} g(t)d_{q}t \implies \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g^{\beta}(t)d_{q}t \geq \int_{a}^{b} g^{1+\beta}(t)d_{q}t, \quad \forall \beta > 0$$

$$\implies \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g^{\alpha-1}(t)dt \geq \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(t)dt, \quad \forall \beta > 0, \alpha \geq 1.$$

Therefore, by the AG inequality, for  $\alpha \geq 1$ , we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}x \geq \alpha \int_{a}^{b} f(x)g^{\alpha-1}(x)d_{q}x - (\alpha - 1) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}x$$
$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}x - (\alpha - 1) \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}x = \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha}(x)d_{q}x.$$

Again by the AG inequality for  $\alpha + \beta \ge 1$ ,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x 
\geq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{a}^{b} g^{\alpha+\beta}(x)d_{q}x 
\geq \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x)g^{\beta}(x)d_{q}x.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. BRAHIM, N. BETTAIBI and M. SELLEMI, On some Feng Qi type q-integral inequalities, J. *Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **9** (2) (2008), Art. 43.
- [2] F. JACKSON, On q-definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (1910) no.15, pp. 193-203.
- [3] V. KAC and P. CHEUNG, Quantum Calculus, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York (2002).
- [4] W. LIU, O.-A. NGO and V. HUY, Several interesting integral inequalities, *J. Math. Ineq.*, **3** (2009) pp. 201-212.
- [5] O.-A. NGO, D. THANG, T. TAT and D. A. TUAN, Notes on an integral inequality, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl.*, **7** (2006) no. 4, Art. 120.
- [6] Y. MIAO AND F. QI, Several q-integral inequalities, J. Math. Ineq., 3 (1), (2009) pp. 115-121.
- [7] E. W. WEISSTEIN, *q*—Derivative, from Math World a Wolfram Web Resource, avaible online at http://mathwordwolfram.com/\$q-\$Derivative.html.
- [8] E. W. WEISSTEIN, *q*—Integral, from Math World a Wolfram Web Resource, avaible online at http://mathwordwolfram.com/\$q-\$Integral.html.