

# The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

http://ajmaa.org



Volume 7, Issue 2, Article 21, pp. 1-11, 2011

## ASYMPTOTIC INEQUALITIES FOR THE MAXIMUM MODULUS OF THE DERIVATIVE OF A POLYNOMIAL

CLÉMENT FRAPPIER

Received 19 June, 2010; accepted 16 August, 2010; published 4 May, 2011.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE GÉNIE INDUSTRIEL, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE DE MONTRÉAL, C.P. 6079, SUCC. CENTRE-VILLE, MONTRÉAL (QUÉBEC), H3C 3A7, CANADA clement.frappier@polymtl.ca

ABSTRACT. Let  $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  be an algebraic polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ , and let  $||p|| = \max\{|p(z)| \colon |z| = 1\}$ . We study the asymptotic behavior of the best possible constant  $\varphi_{n,k}(R)$ , for k = 0 and k = 1, in the inequality  $||p'(Rz)|| + \varphi_{n,k}(R)|a_k| \leq nR^{n-1}||p||, R \to \infty$ .

Key words and phrases: Bernstein's inequality, Asymptotic, Unit circle, Convolution method.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30A10, 30C10.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

<sup>© 2011</sup> Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF THE RESULTS

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the class of all polynomials p, of degree  $\leq n$ , with complex coefficients:

(1.1) 
$$p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$$

Let  $||p|| := \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ . The classical Bernstein's inequality [3, p. 508]

$$(1.2) ||p'|| \le n||p||$$

admits several extensions and refinements. It is known that the best possible constant  $c_{n,0}$  in the inequality

(1.3) 
$$||p'|| + c_{n,0}|a_0| \le n||p||$$

is ([1, p. 70]; [3, p. 518])  $c_{1,0} = 1$  and  $c_{n,0} = \frac{2n}{n+2}$  for  $n \ge 2$ . By "best possible" we mean that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $p_{\varepsilon}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j(\varepsilon) z^j$  such that

$$\|p_{\varepsilon}'\| + (c_{n,0} + \varepsilon)|a_0(\varepsilon)| > n \|p_{\varepsilon}\|.$$

A similar meaning holds for the other best possible constants appearing in this paper.

The best possible constant  $c_{n,1}$  in the inequality

(1.4) 
$$||p'|| + c_{n,1}|a_1| \le n||p||$$

is [1, p. 77]  $c_{1,1} = 0$ ,  $c_{2,1} = \sqrt{2} - 1$ ,  $c_{3,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $c_{n,1} = \frac{2n}{n+4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2(n+2)}{n}} - 1 \right)$  for  $n \ge 4$ . Another classical inequality is ([4], [3, p. 405])

(1.5) 
$$||p(Rz)|| \le R^n ||p||, \quad R \ge 1$$

A direct consequence of (1.2) and (1.5) is

(1.6) 
$$||p'(Rz)|| \le nR^{n-1}||p||, \quad R \ge 1.$$

It is natural to ask for the best possible constants  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$  and  $\varphi_{n,1}(R)$ ,  $R \ge 1$ , in the inequalities

(1.7) 
$$||p'(Rz)|| + \varphi_{n,0}(R)|a_0| \le nR^{n-1}||p|$$

and

(1.8) 
$$||p'(Rz)|| + \varphi_{n,1}(R)|a_1| \le nR^{n-1}||p||.$$

We have  $\varphi_{n,0}(1) = c_{n,0}$  and  $\varphi_{n,1}(1) = c_{n,1}$ . As we shall see, the exact values of  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$  and  $\varphi_{n,1}(R)$  are not easy to find in explicit form. The aim of this paper is to prove the following asymptotic results.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$  be the best possible constant in the inequality (1.7). We have, for  $n \geq 3$ ,

(1.9) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n,0}(R) - nR^{n-1}}{R^{n-3}} = \frac{-(n-1)^2}{n}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\varphi_{n,1}(R)$  be the best possible constant in the inequality (1.8). We have, for  $n \ge 5$ ,

(1.10) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n,1}(R) - nR^{n-1}}{R^{n-2}} = -(n-1).$$

We also give some explicit values for  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$ .

#### 2. THE METHOD OF CONVOLUTION

The preceding theorems will be proved with the so called method of convolution [3, Chapter 12]. We give some details for the sake of completeness.

The Hadamard product of two analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j z^j \qquad (|z| \le K)$$

is the function

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j \qquad (|z| \le K).$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the class of polynomials Q in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  such that

$$||Q * p|| \le ||p||$$
 for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ .

We have

$$Q \in \mathcal{B}_n \iff \widetilde{Q} \in \mathcal{B}_n,$$

where  $\widetilde{Q}(z) := z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{\overline{z}})}$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{B}_n^{\tilde{0}}$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{B}_n$  consisting of polynomials R in  $\mathcal{B}_n$  for which R(0) = 1.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3, p. 414] The polynomial  $R(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j z^j$ , where  $b_0 = 1$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_n^0$  if and only if the matrix

$$M(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) := \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{n-1} & b_n \\ \bar{b}_1 & b_0 & \dots & b_{n-2} & b_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bar{b}_{n-1} & \bar{b}_{n-2} & \dots & b_0 & b_1 \\ \bar{b}_n & \bar{b}_{n-1} & \dots & \bar{b}_1 & b_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive semi-definite.

The definiteness of the matrix  $M(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  is studied with the following well-known result.

Lemma 2.2. [2, p. 274] The hermitian matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ji},$$

is positive definite if and only if its leading principal minors are all positive.

#### 3. **PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1**

We observe that

$$\frac{1}{nR^{n-1}}(\|zp'(Rz)\| + \varphi_{n,0}(R)|a_0|) = \sup_{|\alpha| < \varphi_{n,0}(R)} \frac{1}{nR^{n-1}} \|\bar{\alpha}a_0 + zp'(Rz)\| = \sup_{|\alpha| < \varphi_{n,0}(R)} \|Q_\alpha * p\|,$$

where

$$Q_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{nR^{n-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{jz^{j}}{nR^{n-j}}$$

and

$$R_{\alpha}(z) := \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-j)z^{j}}{nR^{j}} + \frac{\alpha z^{n}}{nR^{n-1}}.$$

That leads us to study the definiteness of the matrix

$$M\left(n, \frac{n-1}{R}, \frac{n-2}{R^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{R^{n-1}}, \frac{\alpha}{R^{n-1}}\right).$$

Since the inequality (1.6) is known to be valid, we can assert, in view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, that all its leading principal minors of order  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ , are non-negative. The leading principal minor of order (n + 1),

(3.1) 
$$D_{n+1}(\alpha) := \det\left(M\left(n, \frac{n-1}{R}, \frac{n-2}{R^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{R^{n-1}}, \frac{\alpha}{R^{n-1}}\right)\right),$$

can be written in the form

(3.2) 
$$D_{n+1}(\alpha) = A + B\alpha + B\bar{\alpha} + C|\alpha|^2,$$

where  $A = D_{n+1}(0)$ , C is a determinant of order (n-1), and B is the determinant of order n

$$(3.3) B = \frac{(-1)^n}{R^{n-1}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n \\ 0 & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \dots & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \end{vmatrix}$$

The decomposition (3.2) is easily obtained by using the linearity property of determinants. We also can replace  $\alpha$  by u,  $\bar{\alpha}$  by v in (3.1) and expand the resulting function (polynomial) of u and v as a Taylor's expansion about u = 0, v = 0.

Let  $\alpha = ae^{it}$ ,  $a = |\alpha|$ , so that

(3.4) 
$$D_{n+1}(\alpha) = A + 2B\cos(t)a + Ca^2 =: f_R(a, t).$$

We will show that the minimum value of  $f_R(a, t)$ , as a function of t, is attained for  $t = \pi$ . In order to do that, we evaluate the determinant B by performing the following operations:

(i) multiply all the elements by  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(ii)  $L_i - RL_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \le i \le n-1$  (where  $L_i$  is the *i*-th line);

(iii)  $L_i - RL_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \le i \le n-2$  (where  $L_i$  also denotes the new *i*-th line). We readily obtain

(3.5) 
$$B = \frac{\left((n+1)R^2 - (n-1)\right)^{n-2}}{R^{4n-5}}, \quad n \ge 2.$$

Hence, the minimum value of  $f_R(a, t)$  is

(3.6) 
$$f_R(a,\pi) = A - 2Ba + Ca^2$$
.

From (3.6) and the lemmas of Section 2, we see that  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$ ,  $R \ge 1$ , is the least positive root a = a(R) of the equation

$$(3.7) A - 2Ba + Ca^2 = 0.$$

It is not difficult to find some values of  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$  with (3.7). We have  $\varphi_{1,0}(R) = 1$ ,  $\varphi_{2,0}(R) = \frac{2R^2 - 1}{R}$ ,  $\varphi_{3,0}(R) = \frac{9R^4 + 6R^3 - 4R^2 - 4R - 1}{R(3R + 2)}$ , .... However, these values become rapidly complicated; for example,

$$\varphi_{7,0}(R) = 2401R^{14} + 2058R^{13} - 5292R^{12} - 4592R^{11} + 3837R^{10} + 3340R^9 - 944R^8 - 832R^7 - 13R^6 + 106R^5 + 92R^4 - 56R^3 - 49R^2 343R^8 + 294R^7 - 504R^6 - 440R^5 + 178R^4 + 154R^3 - 4R^2 + 8R + 7$$

We study their asymptotic behavior as  $R \to \infty$ . The above discussion shows that the asymptotic value of the least positive root a = a(R) of the equation  $f_R(a, \pi) = 0$  needs to be examined. Let

(3.8) 
$$a = nR^{n-1} + bR^{n-3},$$

so that

(3.9) 
$$f_R(a,\pi) = \begin{vmatrix} n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \dots & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & -n - \frac{b}{R^2} \\ \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \\ -n - \frac{b}{R^2} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n \end{vmatrix}$$

On  $f_R(a, \pi)$  we perform the operations

- (i)  $R(C_{n+1} + C_1)$  (where  $C_j$  is the *j*-th column);
- (ii)  $R(L_1 + L_{n+1})$ .

We obtain

$$(3.10) \quad R^{2}f_{R}(a,\pi) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{-b}{R} & (n-1) + \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{(n-2)}{R} + \frac{2}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & (n-1) + \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} & -2b \\ \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & (n-1) + \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^{2}} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{(n-2)}{R} + \frac{2}{R^{n-3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & n & (n-1) + \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \\ -n - \frac{b}{R^{2}} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & -\frac{b}{R} \end{vmatrix},$$

whence

$$(3.11) \qquad \lim_{R \to \infty} R^2 f_R(a, \pi) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & (n-1) & 0 & \dots & (n-1) & -2b \\ 0 & n & 0 & \dots & 0 & (n-1) \\ 0 & 0 & n & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & n & (n-1) \\ -n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= n^{n-2} \begin{vmatrix} (n-1) & (n-1) & -2b \\ n & 0 & (n-1) \\ 0 & n & (n-1) \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= -2n^{n-1} ((n-1)^2 + nb),$$

with  $(n-1)^2 + nb = 0$  for  $b = \frac{-(n-1)^2}{n}$ . By Hurwitz' theorem, the roots b(R) of  $R^2 f_R(a, \pi) = 0$  (see (3.7) and (3.8)) tend, as  $R \to \infty$ , towards the root b of  $\lim_{R\to\infty} R^2 f_R(a, \pi)$ . We thus have

(3.12) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{a(R) - nR^{n-1}}{R^{n-3}} = -\frac{(n-1)^2}{n},$$

which is equivalent to (1.9).

### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We have

$$\frac{1}{nR^{n-1}}(\|zp'(Rz)\|+\varphi_{n,1}(R)|a_1|=\sup_{|\alpha|<\varphi_{n,1}(R)}\|Q_{\alpha}*p\|,$$

where

$$Q_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{(1+\bar{\alpha})z}{nR^{n-1}} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{jz^{j}}{nR^{n-j}}$$

and

$$R_{\alpha}(z) := \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-j)z^j}{nR^j} + \frac{\alpha z^{n-1}}{nR^{n-1}}.$$

In this manner, we study the definiteness of the matrix

$$M\left(n, \frac{(n-1)}{R}, \frac{(n-2)}{R^2}, \dots, \frac{2}{R^{n-2}}, \frac{(1+\alpha)}{R^{n-1}}, 0\right).$$

The lemmas of Section 2 and (1.6) show that its leading principal minors of order  $k, 1 \le k < n$  are non-negative. Its leading principal minors of order n and (n + 1) depend on the parameter  $\alpha$ .

As in (3.2), the leading principal minor of order n can be written in the form (we use the same letters to simplify the notation)

(4.1) 
$$A + 2B\cos(t)a + Ca^2 =: f_R(a, t),$$

where  $\alpha = ae^{it}$ ,  $a = |\alpha|$ , and where A is a determinant of order n, C is a determinant of order (n-2) and

(4.2) 
$$B = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{R^{n-1}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$$

We evaluate B by performing the following operations:

- (i) multiply all the elements by  $R^n$ ;
- (ii)  $L_i RL_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n-2;$
- (iii)  $L_i RL_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n-3.$

We find that

(4.3) 
$$B = \frac{1}{R^{4n-8}} \left( (n+1)R^2 - (n-1) \right)^{n-3}, \quad n \ge 3.$$

Hence, the minimum value of  $f_R(a, t)$  (in (4.1)), as a function of t, is

(4.4) 
$$f_R(a,\pi) = A - 2Ba + Ca^2 = \det\left(M\left(\frac{(n-1)}{R}, \frac{(n-2)}{R^2}, \dots, \frac{2}{R^{n-2}}, \frac{(1-a)}{R^{n-1}}\right)\right).$$

We now examine the leading principal minor of order (n + 1),

(4.5) 
$$E_{n+1}(\alpha) := \det \left( M\left(n, \frac{(n-1)}{R}, \frac{(n-2)}{R^2}, \dots, \frac{2}{R^{n-2}}, \frac{(1+\alpha)}{R^{n-1}}, 0 \right) \right).$$

Using the property of linearity of determinant (in rows and columns), or an appropriate Taylor's expansion, we see that  $E_{n+1}(\alpha)$  can be written in the form

(4.6) 
$$E_{n+1}(\alpha) = A + B\alpha + B\bar{\alpha} + C\alpha^2 + C(\bar{\alpha})^2 + D|\alpha|^2 + E\alpha|\alpha|^2 + E\bar{\alpha}|\alpha|^2 + F|\alpha|^4$$

where  $A = E_{n+1}(0)$ ,

$$(4.7) B = \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{R^{n-1}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \cdots & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \cdots & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} \\ \frac{(n-3)}{R^3} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \cdots & \frac{6}{R^{n-6}} & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \cdots & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \cdots & \frac{(n-3)}{R^3} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & n \end{vmatrix}$$

(4.8) 
$$C = \frac{1}{R^{2n-2}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} \\ \frac{(n-3)}{R^3} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{6}{R^{n-6}} & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \dots & \frac{(n-3)}{R^3} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

D is a determinant of order (n-1),

(4.9) 
$$E = \frac{2(-1)^{n-1}}{R^{3n-3}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{6}{R^{n-6}} & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{5}{R^{n-5}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & \frac{(n-3)}{R^3} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

and F is a determinant of order (n-2).

On the determinant B (in (4.7)), of order n, we perform the following operations:

- (i) multiply all the elements by  $R^n$ ;
- (ii)  $L_i RL_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n-1;$
- (iii)  $L_i RL_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n-2.$

We obtain, for  $n \ge 3$ ,

(4.10) 
$$B = \frac{2(R^2 - 1)}{R^{4n - 4}} \left( (n+1)R^2 - (n-1) \right)^{n-3} \left( nR^2 - (n-2) \right)$$

On the determinant C (in (4.8)), of order (n-1), we perform the operations

- (i)  $L_i RL_{i+1}$ , for i = n 3 and i = n 2;
- (ii)  $RL_{n-2}$ .

We obtain a determinant where  $L_{n-2}$  and  $L_{n-3}$  are two identical lines. We thus have C = 0. We evaluate E (in (4.9)), a determinant of order (n-2), with the following operations:

- (i) multiply all the elements by  $R^n$ ;
- (ii)  $L_i RL_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n 4;$
- (iii)  $L_{n-3} R^2 L_{n-2}$ ;
- (iv) factor the number 2 from  $L_{n-3}$ ;

(v) 
$$L_i - RL_{i+1}, 1 \le i \le n-4$$
.  
We obtain, for  $n \ge 4$ ,

(4.11) 
$$E = -\frac{4}{R^{6n-12}} ((n+1)R^2 - (n-1))^{n-4}.$$

Hence the coefficient of cos(t) in (see (4.6))

(4.12) 
$$g_R(a,t) := E_{n+1}(ae^{it}) = A + 2Ba\cos(t) + Da^2 + 2Ea^3\cos(t) + Fa^4$$
  
is equal, for  $n \ge 4$ , to

$$(4.13) \quad 2Ba + 2Ea^{3} = -\frac{8a}{R^{6n-12}} \left( (n+1)R^{2} - (n-1) \right)^{n-4} \left( a^{2} - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}R^{2n-2} + \frac{(3n+2)(n-1)}{2}R^{2n-4} - \frac{n(3n-5)}{2}R^{2n-6} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}R^{2n-8} \right)$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} E_{n+1}(\alpha R^{n-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & ae^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & n & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & ae^{it} \\ 0 & 0 & n & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & n & 0 & 0 \\ ae^{-it} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & n & 0 \\ 0 & ae^{-it} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & n \end{vmatrix} = n^{n-3}(a^2 - n^2)^2,$$

which implies that  $a(R) \sim nR^{n-1}$ ,  $R \to \infty$ , where a(R) is a positive root of the equation  $g_R(a,t) = 0$ . It follows from (4.13) that the coefficient of  $\cos(t)$  in  $g_R(a,t)$  is negative if R is large enough. The minimum value of  $g_R(a,t)$ , as a function of t, is thus attained for t = 0 if R is large enough. That value is

(4.14) 
$$g_R(a,0) = \det\left(M\left(n, \frac{(n-1)}{R}, \frac{(n-2)}{R^2}, \dots, \frac{2}{R^{n-2}}, \frac{(1+a)}{R^{n-1}}, 0\right)\right).$$

It remains to examine and compare the least positive roots of  $f_R(a, \pi)$  and  $g_R(a, 0)$ , as  $R \to \infty$ . Let

$$(4.15) a = nR^{n-1} + bR^{n-2},$$

so that

$$(4.16) f_R(a,\pi) = \begin{vmatrix} n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \dots & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} - n - \frac{b}{R} \\ \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \dots & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} - n - \frac{b}{R} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n \end{vmatrix}$$

10

(4.17)  $g_R(a, 0)$ 

$$= \begin{vmatrix} n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \dots & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} + n + \frac{b}{R} & 0 \\ \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & \dots & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} + n + \frac{b}{R} \\ \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \dots & \frac{4}{R^{n-4}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} + n + \frac{b}{R} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \frac{3}{R^{n-3}} & \dots & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n & \frac{(n-1)}{R} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} + n + \frac{b}{R} & \frac{2}{R^{n-2}} & \dots & \frac{(n-2)}{R^2} & \frac{(n-1)}{R} & n \end{vmatrix} .$$

We perform the operation  $R(C_n + C_1)$  on  $f_R(a, \pi)$ . Afterwards, we see that

(4.18) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} R f_R(a, \pi) = -2n^{n-1}b,$$

which implies, in view of (4.15), that

(4.19) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{a_1(R) - nR^{n-1}}{R^{n-2}} = 0,$$

where  $a_1(R)$  is a positive root of the equation  $Rf_R(a, \pi) = 0$ .

Finally, we perform the operations

- (i)  $R(C_{n+1} C_2)$ ;
- (ii)  $R(C_n C_1)$

on the determinant  $g_R(a, 0)$ . We get

(4.20) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} R^2 g_R(a,0) = n^{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} n & 0 & b & -(n-1) \\ 0 & n & -(n-1) & b \\ n & 0 & -b & (n-1) \\ 0 & n & (n-1) & -b \end{vmatrix} = 4n^{n-1}(b+n-1)(b-n+1),$$

which implies that

(4.21) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{a_2(R) - nR^{n-1}}{R^{n-2}} = (n-1) \quad \text{or} \quad -(n-1),$$

where  $a_2(R)$  is a positive root of the equation  $R^2g_R(a,0) = 0$ . From the lemmas of Section 2, and from (4.19), (4.21), we conclude that

(4.22) 
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n,1}(R) - nR^{n-1}}{R^{n-2}} = -(n-1).$$

#### 5. **OPEN PROBLEMS**

Empirical computations indicate that the asymptotic result of Theorem 1.1 can be written in a more precise form. The Taylor's expansion of  $\varphi_{n,0}(R)$ , as a function of R, about the point at infinity, seems to be

(5.1) 
$$\varphi_{n,0}(R) = nR^{n-1} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{n}R^{n-3} - \frac{1}{n^3}R^{n-5} - \frac{2(n^2+1)}{n^5}R^{n-7} - \frac{(n^2+1)(3n^2+5)}{n^7}R^{n-9} - \frac{2(n^2+1)(2n^4+8n^2+7)}{n^9}R^{n-11} + \cdots$$

for  $n \ge 11$  (for  $n \ge 9$  if we stop with the term in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-9}, \ldots$ ).

Similarly, we should have the following improvement of (1.10):

(5.2) 
$$\varphi_{n,1}(R) = nR^{n-1} - (n-1)R^{n-2} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{2n}R^{n-3} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}R^{n-4} + \dots$$

for  $n \ge 6$  (for  $n \ge 5$  if we stop with the term in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-3}, \ldots$ ).

Also, it would be interesting to determine the asymptotic behavior of the best possible constant  $\varphi_{n,k}(R), R \to \infty, k = 2, 3, \ldots$ , in the inequality

(5.3) 
$$||zp'(Rz)|| + \varphi_{n,k}(R)|a_k| \le nR^{n-1}||p||.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. FRAPPIER, Q.I. RAHMAN AND ST. RUSCHEWEYH, New inequalities for polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **288**, no. 1, (1985), pp. 69–99.
- [2] F.R. GANTMACHER, The Theory of Matrices, vol. 1, AMS Chelsea Publ., New York 1959.
- [3] Q.I. RAHMAN AND G. SCHMEISSER, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Oxford Science Publ., Clarendon Press, Oxford 2002.
- [4] M. RIESZ, Über einen Satz des Herrn Serge Bernstein, Acta Math., 40, (1916), pp. 337–347.