



**ON THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS THAT
PERTAINS TO THE SEQUENCE-TO-SEQUENCE TRANSFORMATION**

XHEVAT Z. KRASNIQI

Received 2 September, 2009; accepted 16 August, 2010; published 19 April, 2011.

UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, 5 MOTHER
TERESA AVENUE, PRISHTINË, 10000, REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO
xheki00@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove analogous theorems like Leindler's [3] using the so-called A -transform of the B -transform of the partial sums of Fourier series. In addition, more than two such transforms are introduced and for them analogous results are showed as well.

Key words and phrases: Continuous functions, Degree of approximation, Special sequences.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A24, 41A25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f(x)$ be a 2π - periodic continuous function. Let $S_n(f; x)$ denote the n -th partial sum of its Fourier series at x and let $\omega(\delta) = \omega(\delta, f)$ denote the modulus of continuity of f .

Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ ($k, n = 0, 1, \dots$) be a lower triangular infinite matrix of real numbers and let the A -transform of $\{S_n(f; x)\}$ be given by

$$T_{n,A}(f) := T_{n,A}(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} S_k(f; x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

The deviation $T_{n,A}(f) - f$ was estimated by P. Chandra [1] and [2] for monotonic sequences $\{a_{n,k}\}$. Later on, these results are generalized by L. Leindler [3] who considered the sequences of Rest Bounded Variation and of Head Bounded Variation.

We point out that throughout of this paper we write $u = O(v)$ if there exists a positive constant C such that $u \leq Cv$, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supnorm.

Now, let us recall Chandra's theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{a_{n,k}\}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(1.1) \quad a_{n,k} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1,$$

$$(1.2) \quad a_{n,k} \leq a_{n,k+1} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1; n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Suppose $\omega(t)$ is such that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_u^\pi t^{-2} \omega(t) dt = O(H(u)) \quad (u \rightarrow +0),$$

where $H(u) \geq 0$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^t H(u) du = O(tH(t)) \quad (t \rightarrow +0).$$

Then

$$\|T_{n,A}(f) - f\| = O(a_{n,n}H(a_{n,n})).$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) hold. Then*

$$\|T_{n,A}(f) - f\| = O(\omega(\pi/n)) + O(a_{n,n}H(\pi/n)).$$

If, in addition, $\omega(t)$ satisfies (1.4) then

$$\|T_{n,A}(f) - f\| = O(a_{n,n}H(\pi/n)).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let us assume that (1.1) and*

$$(1.5) \quad a_{n,k} \geq a_{n,k+1} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1; n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

hold. Then

$$\|T_{n,A}(f) - f\| = O\left(\omega(\pi/n) + \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} \omega(\pi/k) \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} a_{n,r}\right).$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let (1.1), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) hold. Then*

$$\|T_{n,A}(f) - f\| = O(a_{n,0}H(a_{n,0})).$$

L. Leindler [3] defined two classes of sequences, above-mentioned, as follows:

A sequence $\mathbf{c} := \{c_n\}$ of nonnegative numbers tending to zero is called of Rest Bounded Variation, or briefly $\mathbf{c} \in RBVS$, if it has the property

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |c_n - c_{n+1}| \leq K(\mathbf{c})c_m$$

for all natural numbers m , where $K(\mathbf{c})$ is a constant depending only on \mathbf{c} .

A sequence $\mathbf{c} := \{c_n\}$ of nonnegative numbers will be called of Head Bounded Variation, or briefly $\mathbf{c} \in HBVS$, if it has the property

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} |c_n - c_{n+1}| \leq K(\mathbf{c})c_m$$

for all natural numbers m , or only for all $m \leq N$ if the sequence \mathbf{c} has only finite nonzero terms, and the last nonzero term is c_N .

Assuming that for all n and $0 \leq m \leq n$

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K a_{n,m}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K a_{n,m}$$

hold, where K is an absolute constant, L. Leindler proved the following:

Theorem 1.5. *The statements of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 hold with (1.7) in place of (1.2), and with (1.6) in place of (1.5), respectively; naturally maintaining all the other assumptions.*

Let $B := (b_{n,k})$ ($k, n = 0, 1, \dots$) be another lower triangular infinite matrix of real numbers, and let the B -transform of $\{S_n(f; x)\}$ be given by

$$T_{n,B}(f) := T_{n,B}(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k} S_k(f; x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Wishing to generalize the Theorem 1.5, we define the A -transform of the B -transform (sequence-to-sequence transformation) of the sequence $\{S_n(f; x)\}$, as in [4], by

$$T_{n,AB}(f) := T_{n,AB}(f; x) := \sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} T_{p,B}(f; x) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p} b_{p,k} S_k(f; x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

So, the object of this paper is to prove the analogous theorems of Leindler using $T_{n,AB}(f)$ instead $T_{n,A}(f)$. Before doing this we need some lemmas given in next section.

2. HELPFUL LEMMAS

The following lemmas are necessary for the proof of main results.

Lemma 2.1. [1] *If (1.3) and (1.4) hold then*

$$\int_0^{\pi/n} \omega(t) dt = O(n^{-2} H(\pi/n)).$$

Lemma 2.2. [2] *If (1.3) and (1.4) hold then*

$$\int_0^r t^{-1} \omega(t) dt = O(rH(r)) \quad (r \rightarrow +0).$$

Lemma 2.3. [3] *If for a fixed n the sequence $\{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS$, then, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t = O(A_{n,\tau}),$$

where $A_{n,m} := \sum_{r=0}^m a_{n,r}$ and τ denotes the integer part of $\frac{\pi}{t}$.

If $\{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS$ then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t = O\left(\frac{a_{n,n}}{t}\right).$$

Lemma 2.4. *If for a fixed p , ($p = 0, 1, \dots, n$), the sequence $\{b_{p,k}\} \in RBVS$, then, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p} b_{p,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} A_{p,\tau}\right),$$

where $A_{p,m} := \sum_{r=0}^m b_{p,r}$ and τ denotes the integer part of $\frac{\pi}{t}$.

If $\{b_{p,k}\} \in HBVS$ then

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p} b_{p,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t = O\left(\frac{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} b_{p,p}}{t}\right).$$

Proof. Follows analogously as the proof of Lemma 2.3 with slight changes, so we omit details. ■

3. MAIN RESULTS

To prove our results we shall use the same technique as L. Leindler used for his results [3].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{a_{n,p}\}$, $\{b_{p,k}\}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(3.1) \quad a_{n,p} \geq 0, \quad b_{p,k} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p} b_{p,k} = 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |b_{p,k} - b_{p,k+1}| \leq K b_{p,m}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq p, \quad \forall p.$$

Suppose $\omega(t)$ is such that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_u^\pi t^{-2} \omega(t) dt = O(H(u)) \quad (u \rightarrow +0),$$

where $H(u) \geq 0$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^t H(u) du = O(tH(t)) \quad (t \rightarrow +0).$$

Then

$$\|T_{n,AB}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p} \times H\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Putting $\Psi_x(t) := \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)\}$ we write

$$T_{n,AB}(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \Psi_x(t) \left(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p}b_{p,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n,AB} - f\| &= O\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \int_0^\pi \omega(t) \left(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1} \left|\sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p}b_{p,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t\right| dt \\ (3.5) \quad &= O\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \left(\int_0^{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}} + \int_{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}}^\pi\right) := F_1 + F_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the well-known inequality $\sin \theta \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, (3.1) and Lemma 2.2 we get

$$(3.6) \quad F_1 = O(1) \int_0^{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}} t^{-1} \omega(t) dt = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p} \times H\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}\right)\right).$$

Now using (2.2) and (3.3), under condition $\{b_{p,k}\} \in HBVS$, we have

$$F_2 = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}\right) \int_{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}}^\pi t^{-2} \omega(t) dt = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p} \times H\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p}\right)\right).$$

Substituting estimates for F_1 and F_2 into relation (3.5) we obtain the proof of theorem 3.1. ■

Theorem 3.2. *Let (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) hold. Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \|T_{n,AB}(f) - f\| = O(\omega(\pi/n)) + O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p} \times H(\pi/n)\right).$$

If, in addition, $\omega(t)$ satisfies (3.4) then

$$(3.8) \quad \|T_{n,AB}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,p} \times H(\pi/n)\right).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n,AB} - f\| &= O\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \int_0^\pi \omega(t) \left(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1} \left|\sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p}b_{p,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t\right| dt \\ (3.9) \quad &= O\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^\pi\right) := H_1 + H_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the well-known inequalities $\sin \theta \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $|\sin t| \leq t$ and (3.1) we have

$$(3.10) \quad H_1 = O(1) \int_0^{\pi/n} \omega(t) \left| \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p} b_{p,k} \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| dt = O(n) \int_0^{\pi/n} \omega(t) dt = O(\omega(\pi/n)).$$

From (2.2) and (3.3) for H_2 we get

$$(3.11) \quad H_2 = O \left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} b_{p,p} \right) \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} t^{-2} \omega(t) dt = O \left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} b_{p,p} \times H(\pi/n) \right).$$

Inserting estimates (3.10) and (3.11) to (3.9) we prove (3.7).

Now we pass to the proof of (3.8). Since $\{b_{p,k}\} \in HBVS$ then, for $k < p$ we get that

$$|b_{p,k} - b_{p,p}| \leq \sum_{l=k}^{p-1} |b_{p,l} - b_{p,l+1}| \leq K(\mathbf{c})b_{p,p} \leq Kb_{p,p} \Rightarrow b_{p,k} \leq (K+1)b_{p,p}.$$

Using the last estimate we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p} b_{p,k} \leq (K+1) \sum_{p=0}^n (p+1) a_{n,p} b_{p,p} \\ &\leq 2(K+1)n \sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} b_{p,p} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} = O \left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} b_{p,p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, (3.12) and (3.10) we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad H_1 = O(n) \int_0^{\pi/n} \omega(t) dt = O(n) O(n^{-2} H(\pi/n)) = O \left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p} b_{p,p} \times H(\pi/n) \right).$$

Thus (3.11) and (3.13) imply (3.8). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. ■

Theorem 3.3. *Let us assume that (3.1) and*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |b_{p,k} - b_{p,k+1}| \leq Kb_{p,m}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq p, \quad \forall p.$$

hold. Then

$$\|T_{n,AB}(f) - f\| = O \left(\omega(\pi/n) + \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l^{-1} \omega(\pi/l) a_{n,p} \sum_{r=0}^{l+1} b_{p,r} \right).$$

Proof. We start from (3.9). For H_1 we use the estimate (3.10) :

$$(3.14) \quad H_1 = O(\omega(\pi/n)).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} t^{-1}\omega(t)a_{n,p}A_{p,\tau}dt\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \int_{\pi/(l+1)}^{\pi/l} t^{-1}\omega(t)a_{n,p}A_{p,\tau}dt\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l^{-1}\omega(\pi/l)a_{n,p} \sum_{r=0}^{l+1} b_{p,r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This with (3.14) and (3.9) complete the proof of our theorem. ■

Theorem 3.4. *Let (3.1), (3.3), (3.4) hold, and $\{b_{p,k}\} \in RBVS$. Then*

$$(3.15) \quad \|T_{n,AB}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0} \times H\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Since $\{b_{p,k}\} \in RBVS$, then for $p \geq 0$ we have

$$b_{p,p} \leq \sum_{l=p}^{\infty} |b_{p,l} - b_{p,l+1}| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |b_{p,l} - b_{p,l+1}| \leq K(\mathbf{c})b_{p,0} \leq Kb_{p,0},$$

therefore (2.1) takes the following form

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p a_{n,p}b_{p,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t = O\left(\frac{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}}{t}\right).$$

Now from (3.5) we can write

$$\|T_{n,AB} - f\| = O\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \left(\int_0^{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}} + \int_{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}}^{\pi}\right) := L_1 + L_2.$$

For L_1 , similar with (3.6), we have

$$(3.17) \quad L_1 = O(1) \int_0^{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}} t^{-1}\omega(t)dt = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0} \times H\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}\right)\right).$$

For L_2 , applying (3.16) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad L_2 = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}\right) \int_{\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}}^{\pi} t^{-2}\omega(t)dt = O\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0} \times H\left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_{n,p}b_{p,0}\right)\right).$$

The estimates (3.17) and (3.18) prove (3.15). ■

Remark 3.1. If we put $a_{n,0} = a_{n,1} = \dots = a_{n,n-1} = 0$ and $a_{n,n} = 1$ in our theorems we obtain Leindler's results [3]. In other words theorem 1.5 holds.

If $a_{n,0} = a_{n,1} = \dots = a_{n,n-1} = 0, a_{n,n} = 1$ and

$$b_{n,k} = \begin{cases} p_k/P_n, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases}$$

where $\{p_k\}$ is a nonnegative sequence and $P_n := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k$, $p_0 > 0$, then the matrix is a Riesz matrix, and we write $R_n(f; x)$ for $T_{n,AB}(f; x)$.

If $a_{n,0} = a_{n,1} = \dots = a_{n,n-1} = 0$, $a_{n,n} = 1$ and

$$b_{n,k} = \begin{cases} p_{n-k}/P_n, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases}$$

then the matrix is a Nörlund one, and we write $N_n(f; x)$ for $T_{n,AB}(f; x)$.

The following are corollaries of the main results.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\omega(t)$ satisfy (3.3) and (3.4), and let $\{p_n\} \in HBVS$. Then*

$$\|R_n(f) - f\| = O((p_n/P_n)H(p_n/P_n)).$$

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\omega(t)$ satisfy (3.3) and (3.4), and let $\{p_n\} \in RBVS$. Then*

$$\|N_n(f) - f\| = O((p_n/P_n)H(p_n/P_n)).$$

4. FURTHER GENERALIZATIONS

Let $A_1 := (a_{n,k_1}^{(1)})$, $A_2 := (a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)})$, \dots , $A_\nu := (a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)})$ ($k_\nu, n = 0, 1, \dots$) be ν ($\nu \in \mathbb{N}$) lower triangular infinite matrices of real numbers and let us define the $A_1 A_2 \dots A_\nu$ -transform of $\{S_n(f; x)\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,A_1 A_2 \dots A_\nu}(f) &:= T_{n,A_1 A_2 \dots A_\nu}(f; x) \\ &:= \sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} S_{k_\nu}(f; x) \quad (n, k_\nu = 0, 1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that $\{a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)}\}$ satisfies conditions (1.6) and (1.7). The following analogous statement with Lemma 2.4 holds:

Lemma 4.1. *If for a fixed $k_{\nu-1}$, ($k_{\nu-1} = 0, 1, \dots, n$), the sequence $\{a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)}\} \in RBVS$, then, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} \sin\left(k_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t &= \\ &= O\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_{\nu-1}=0}^{k_{\nu-2}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-2},k_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)} A_{k_{\nu-1},\tau}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{k_{\nu-1},m} := \sum_{r=0}^m a_{k_{\nu-1},r}^{(\nu)}$ and τ denotes the integer part of $\frac{\pi}{t}$.

If $\{a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)}\} \in HBVS$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} \sin\left(k_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t &= \\ &= O\left(\frac{\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_{\nu-1}=0}^{k_{\nu-2}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu)}}{t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3. ■

Now we shall present some theorems, that generalize the results of section 3, in which is used $T_{n,A_1A_2\dots A_\nu}(f)$ instead $T_{n,AB}(f)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $(a_{n,k_1}^{(1)}), (a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)}), \dots, (a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)})$ satisfy the following conditions:

(4.1)

$$a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} \geq 0, a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \geq 0, \dots, a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} = 1,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{m-1} |a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} - a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu+1}^{(\nu)}| \leq K a_{k_{\nu-1},m}^{(\nu)}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq k_{\nu-1}, \forall k_{\nu-1}.$$

Suppose $\omega(t)$ is such that

$$(4.3) \quad \int_u^\pi t^{-2}\omega(t)dt = O(H(u)) \quad (u \rightarrow +0),$$

where $H(u) \geq 0$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \int_0^t H(u)du = O(tH(t)) \quad (t \rightarrow +0).$$

Then

$$\|T_{n,A_1A_2\dots A_\nu}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} \times H\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)}\right)\right).$$

Theorem 4.3. Let (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) hold. Then

$$\|T_{n,A_1A_2\dots A_\nu}(f) - f\| = O(\omega(\pi/n)) + O\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} \times H(\pi/n)\right).$$

If, in addition, $\omega(t)$ satisfies (4.4) then

$$\|T_{n,A_1A_2\dots A_\nu}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} \times H(\pi/n)\right).$$

Theorem 4.4. Let us assume that (4.1) and

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{k_\nu=m}^\infty |a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu}^{(\nu)} - a_{k_{\nu-1},k_\nu+1}^{(\nu)}| \leq K a_{k_{\nu-1},m}^{(\nu)}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq k_{\nu-1}, \forall k_{\nu-1}.$$

hold. Then

$$\|T_{n,A_1A_2\dots A_\nu}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_{\nu-1}=0}^{k_{\nu-2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k_{\nu-2}-1} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-2},k_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)} l^{-1}\omega(\pi/l) \sum_{r=0}^{l+1} a_{k_{\nu-1},r}^{(\nu)}\right).$$

Theorem 4.5. *Let (4.1), (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) hold. Then*

$$\|T_{n,A_1 A_2 \dots A_\nu}(f) - f\| = O\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},0}^{(\nu)} \times H\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^n \sum_{k_2=0}^{k_1} \dots \sum_{k_\nu=0}^{k_{\nu-1}} a_{n,k_1}^{(1)} a_{k_1,k_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{k_{\nu-1},0}^{(\nu)}\right)\right).$$

Remark 4.1. To prove the Theorems 4.2-4.5, we follow the line of the proofs of Theorems 3.1-3.4, that is why we shall omit them.

Remark 4.2. We notice that putting $\nu = 2$ in the Theorems 4.2-4.5 we obtain the results of the section 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. CHANDRA, On the degree of approximation of a class of functions by means of Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **52**, (1988), pp. 199–205.
- [2] P. CHANDRA, A note on the degree of approximation of continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **62**, (1993), pp. 21–23.
- [3] L. LEINDLER, On the degree of approximation of continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **104**, (2004), pp. 105–113.
- [4] T. SINGH AND P. MAHAJAN, Error bound of periodic signals in the Hölder metric, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2008**, Art. ID 495075, pp. 1–9.