

The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

http://ajmaa.org





ON THE FOCK REPRESENTATION OF THE CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF THE HEISENBERG ALGEBRA

LUIGI ACCARDI AND ANDREAS BOUKAS

Received 7 April, 2009; accepted 6 August, 2009; published 4 March, 2010.

CENTRO VITO VOLTERRA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA COLUMBIA 2, 00133 ROMA, ITALY accardi@volterra.mat.uniroma2.it

URL: http://volterra.mat.uniroma2.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMERICAN COLLEGE OF GREECE, AGHIA PARASKEVI, ATHENS 15342, GREECE andreasboukas@acg.edu

ABSTRACT. We examine the possibility of a direct Fock representation of the recently obtained non-trivial central extensions CEHeis of the Heisenberg algebra, generated by elements a, a^{\dagger}, h and E satisfying the commutation relations $[a, a^{\dagger}]_{CEHeis} = h$, $[h, a^{\dagger}]_{CEHeis} = zE$ and $[a, h]_{CEHeis} = \bar{z}E$, where a and a^{\dagger} are dual, h is self-adjoint, E is the non-zero self-adjoint central element and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We define the exponential vectors associated with the CEHeis Fock space, we compute their Leibniz function (inner product), we describe the action of a, a^{\dagger} and b on the exponential vectors and we compute the moment generating and characteristic functions of the classical random variable corresponding to the self-adjoint operator $X = a + a^{\dagger} + h$.

Key words and phrases: Heisenberg algebra, Central extension, Fock representation.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B15, 17B56, 60B15, 81S05. Secondary 81R05, 81S25.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

 $^{\ \, \}textcircled{c}$ 2010 Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

1. THE CENTRALLY EXTENDED HEISENBERG *-LIE ALGEBRA.

The generators a, a^{\dagger} and h of the Heisenberg algebra Heis satisfy the Lie algebra commutation relations

(1.1)
$$[a, a^{\dagger}]_{Heis} = h$$
 ; $[a, h]_{Heis} = [h, a^{\dagger}]_{Heis} = 0$

and the duality relations (throughout this paper we use x^* to denote the dual of x)

$$(1.2) (a)^* = a^{\dagger} \; ; \; h^* = h$$

As shown in [1], the Heisenberg algebra can be centrally extended to the *-Lie algebra CEHeis generated by $\{a, a^{\dagger}, h, E\}$ with (non-zero) commutation relations among generators

$$(1.3) [a, a^{\dagger}]_{CEHeis} = h + \lambda E ; [h, a^{\dagger}]_{CEHeis} = z E ; [a, h]_{CEHeis} = \bar{z} E$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $z = \Re z + i \Im z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $E \not\equiv 0$ is the self-adjoint central element. The central extension CEHeis of Heis is trivial if and only if z=0. Duality relations (1.2) still hold. CEHeis is a nilpotent and thus solvable *-Lie algebra.

Renaming $h + \lambda E$ to just h in (1.3) we obtain the equivalent commutation relations

(1.4)
$$[a, a^{\dagger}]_{CEHeis} = h$$
 ; $[h, a^{\dagger}]_{CEHeis} = z E$; $[a, h]_{CEHeis} = \bar{z} E$

From now on we will use (1.4) and (1.2) as the defining commutation relations of CEHeis.

2. Representations of CEHeis

As shown in [2], the generators a, a^{\dagger} , h and E of CEHeis can be expressed in terms of the generators of the Schrödinger *-Lie algebra generated by b, b^{\dagger} , b^{2} , $b^{\dagger 2}$, $b^{\dagger} b$ and 1 where b^{\dagger} , b and 1 are the generators of a Boson Heisenberg algebra with

(2.1)
$$[b, b^{\dagger}] = 1$$
 ; $(b^{\dagger})^* = b$

and CEHeis can therefore be represented (as a proper sub-algebra of the Schrödinger algebra) on the usual Heisenberg Fock space defined as the Hilbert space completion of the linear span of the exponential vectors $\{y(\lambda)=e^{\lambda\,b^\dagger}\,\Phi\,;\,\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\}$ (where Φ is the vacuum vector such that $b\,\Phi=0$ and $||\Phi||=1$) with respect to the inner product

(2.2)
$$\langle y(\lambda), y(\mu) \rangle = e^{\bar{\lambda}\mu}$$

by using the well-known representation for non-negative integers n and k

(2.3)
$$b^{\dagger^n} b^k y(\lambda) = \lambda^k \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \epsilon^n} |_{\epsilon=0} y(\lambda + \epsilon)$$

In this section we examine the possibility of constructing a direct Fock representation of CEHeis in a manner similar to that used for the (non-extended) Heisenberg algebra.

Definition 2.1. A *-representation of CEHeis as linear, densely defined operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a cyclic unit vector Φ satisfying

$$(2.4) a \Phi = 0$$

and such that Φ is in the domain of all the operators of the form (2.12) below, where the exponentials are meant in the sense of series expansion, is called a Fock representation.

In what follows we replace the central element E by the multiplication identity "1" and we simply write $[\cdot, \cdot]$ instead of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{CEHeis}$. Notice that if the central extension of the Heisenberg algebra is not trivial, i.e. if $z \neq 0$, then Φ cannot be an eigenvector of h with eigenvalue $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{R}$ since then, denoting by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the (linear in the first, conjugate linear in the second argument) Fock space inner product normalized to $\langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle = 1$, we have

$$(2.5) 0 \neq \bar{z} = \langle \Phi, [a, h] \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi, a h \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi, a \lambda_h \Phi \rangle = \lambda_h \langle \Phi, a \Phi \rangle = 0$$

Therefore we cannot set $h \Phi = \lambda_h \Phi$ where $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{R}$. That, in particular, excludes the option of setting $h \Phi = 0$.

As shown in [2], for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have that

(2.6)
$$e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu a^{\dagger}} = e^{\mu a^{\dagger}} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu h} e^{\frac{\lambda \mu}{2} (\mu z - \lambda \bar{z})}$$

(2.7)
$$a e^{\mu a^{\dagger}} = e^{\mu a^{\dagger}} \left(a + \mu h + \frac{\mu^2 z}{2} \right)$$

$$(2.8) e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu h} = e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu \bar{z}}$$

$$(2.9) e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a^{\dagger}} = e^{\lambda a^{\dagger}} e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda \mu z}$$

(2.10)
$$a e^{\mu h} = e^{\mu h} (a + \mu \bar{z})$$

and

$$(2.11) h e^{\lambda a^{\dagger}} = e^{\lambda a^{\dagger}} (h + \lambda z)$$

In general, for $u, v, w, y \in \mathbb{C}$ the centrally extended Heisenberg group elements

(2.12)
$$g(u, v, w, y) := e^{u a^{\dagger}} e^{v h} e^{w a} e^{y E}$$

obey (see [2] for a proof) the nonlinear group law

$$(2.13) g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) g(A, B, C, D) =$$

$$= g(\alpha + A, \beta + B + \gamma A, \gamma + C, \left(\frac{\gamma A^2}{2} + \beta A\right) z + \left(\frac{\gamma^2 A}{2} + \gamma B\right) \bar{z} + \delta + D)$$

Definition 2.2. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the exponential vector $\psi(\alpha, \beta)$ by

(2.14)
$$\psi(\alpha,\beta) = e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta h} \Phi$$

In the following proposition we compute the sesquilinear form ("Fock space inner product") associated with two such exponential vectors. In analogy with [5] we refer to that as the "Leibniz function".

Proposition 2.1. (Leibniz function) For $w \in \mathbb{C}$ let $f_h(w) = \langle \Phi, e^{wh} \Phi \rangle$. Then, for all $\alpha, \beta, A, B \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), \psi(A,B) \rangle = e^{\left(\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^{2}A}{2} + \bar{\alpha}B\right)\bar{z} + \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}A^{2}}{2} + A\bar{\beta}\right)z\right)} \langle \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha}A + B + \bar{\beta})h} \Phi \rangle$$
$$= e^{\left(\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^{2}A}{2} + \bar{\alpha}B\right)\bar{z} + \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}A^{2}}{2} + A\bar{\beta}\right)z\right)} f_{h}(\bar{\alpha}A + B + \bar{\beta})$$

and

$$(2.15) ||\psi(\alpha,\beta)||^2 = \langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), \psi(\alpha,\beta) \rangle = e^{\Re((\bar{\alpha}\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\bar{\beta})z)} f_h(|\alpha|^2 + 2\Re\beta)$$

Proof. Using (2.13) and the fact that $e^{\bar{\alpha} a} \Phi = \Phi$ we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi(\alpha,\beta),\psi(A,B)\rangle &= \langle e^{\alpha a^\dagger} \, e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{Aa^\dagger} \, e^{Bh} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{\bar{\alpha} a} \, e^{Aa^\dagger} \, e^{Bh} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{Aa^\dagger} \, e^{\bar{\alpha} a} \, e^{\bar{\alpha} Ah} \, e^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} A}{2} \, (Az - \bar{\alpha} \bar{z})} \, e^{Bh} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} A}{2} \, (Az - \bar{\alpha} \bar{z})} \langle e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{Aa^\dagger} \, e^{\bar{\alpha} a} \, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} A}{2} \, (Az - \bar{\alpha} \bar{z})} \langle e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{Aa^\dagger} \, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \, h} \, e^{\bar{\alpha} a} \, e^{\bar{\alpha} \, (\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \bar{z}} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} B\right) \bar{z} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} A^2}{2} \, z} \, \langle e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{Aa^\dagger} \, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} B\right) \bar{z} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} A^2}{2} \, z} \, \langle e^{\beta h} \, e^{\bar{A} a} \, e^{\bar{A} \bar{\beta} \bar{z}} \, \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} B\right) \bar{z} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} A^2}{2} \, z} \, \langle e^{\beta h} \, e^{\bar{A} a} \, e^{\bar{A} \bar{\beta} \bar{z}} \, \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} B\right) \bar{z} + (\frac{\bar{\alpha} A^2}{2} + A\bar{\beta}) \, z} \rangle \, \langle e^{\beta h} \, \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left((\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} B) \bar{z} + (\frac{\bar{\alpha} A^2}{2} + A\bar{\beta}) \, z}\right)} \, \langle \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B + \bar{\beta}) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left((\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} B) \bar{z} + (\frac{\bar{\alpha} A^2}{2} + A\bar{\beta}) \, z}\right)} \, f_h(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B + \bar{\beta}) \end{split}$$

which for $A = \alpha$ and $B = \beta$ yields

$$||\psi(\alpha,\beta)||^2 = \langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), \psi(\alpha,\beta) \rangle = e^{\Re((\bar{\alpha}\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\bar{\beta})z)} f_h(|\alpha|^2 + 2\Re\beta)$$

Minimal requirements on $f_h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ so that the Leibniz function $\langle \psi(\alpha, \beta), \psi(A, B) \rangle$ of Proposition 2.1 is positive semi-definite is that f_h is an analytic function such that:

- (i) $f_h(0) = 1$
- (ii) $f_h(w) > 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$
- (iii) $\overline{f_h(w)} = f_h(\bar{w})$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (so that the Leibniz function is Hermitian)
- (iv) $f_1(w) = e^w$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (so that we recover the Heisenberg algebra Fock space)
- (v) $\frac{\partial}{\partial w^k}|_{w=0} f(w) = \langle \Phi, h^k \Phi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ (so that $||a^{\dagger^n} h^m \Phi|| \geq 0$ for all $n, m \geq 0$, see Corollary 2.2 below)

Corollary 2.2. For all n, k > 0

$$(2.16) \qquad ||a^{\dagger^n} h^k \Phi||^2 = \sum_{\rho=0}^k \sum_{\sigma=0}^n \sum_{\theta=0}^{k \wedge \sigma} \delta_{\rho+\sigma,2\theta} \begin{pmatrix} k \\ \rho \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \frac{|z|^{2\theta}}{2^{\sigma-\theta}} \sigma^{(\theta)} n! \langle \Phi, h^{n+2k-3\theta} \Phi \rangle$$

where $x^{(y)} = x(x-1)\cdots(x-y+1)$ with $x^{(0)} = 1$. By condition (v) on f_h ,

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, for $\alpha, \beta, A, B \in \mathbb{R}$

$$||a^{\dagger^{n}}h^{k}\Phi||^{2} = \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial\alpha^{n}\partial\beta^{k}}|_{\alpha=\beta=0} \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial A^{n}\partial B^{k}}|_{A=B=0} \langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), \psi(A,B) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial\alpha^{n}\partial\beta^{k}}|_{\alpha=\beta=0} \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial A^{n}\partial B^{k}}|_{A=B=0} e^{\left(\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}A}{2} + \alpha B\right)\bar{z} + \left(\frac{\alpha A^{2}}{2} + A\beta\right)z\right)} \langle \Phi, e^{(\alpha A + B + \beta)h}\Phi \rangle$$

from which the result follows with the use of the Leibniz rule for derivatives.

I

Unlike the non-extended Heisenberg case, vectors of the form $a^{\dagger^k}\Phi$ are not orthogonal. For example, $\langle a^{\dagger^2}\Phi, a^{\dagger}\Phi\rangle = z \not\equiv 0$. Of course, in the Heisenberg algebra case z=0. In general:

Proposition 2.3. For all $n \ge k \ge 0$

$$(2.18) \quad \langle a^{\dagger n} \, \Phi, a^{\dagger k} \, \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{k-\rho} \delta_{n, 2\,k-\rho-\frac{3\,\sigma}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} k \\ \rho \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k-\rho \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha(\sigma)\,n!}{2^{k-\rho-\sigma}} \, z^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, \bar{z}^{\,k-\rho-\sigma} \, \langle \Phi, h^{\rho} \, \Phi \rangle$$

where

(2.19)
$$\alpha(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma = 0 \\ 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \dots \cdot (2 \sigma - 1) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\langle a^{\dagger n} \Phi, a^{\dagger k} \Phi \rangle = \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial \lambda^n \partial \mu^k} |_{\lambda = \mu = 0} \langle e^{\lambda a^{\dagger}} \Phi, e^{\mu a^{\dagger}} \Phi \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial \lambda^n \partial \mu^k} |_{\lambda = \mu = 0} \langle \psi(\lambda, 0) \Phi, \psi(\mu, 0) \Phi \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{n+k}}{\partial \lambda^n \partial \mu^k} |_{\lambda = \mu = 0} \langle \Phi, e^{\lambda \mu h} \Phi \rangle e^{\frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2} z + \frac{\lambda^2 \mu}{2} \bar{z}}$$

and the result follows by making repeated use of the Leibniz rule for derivatives and the fact that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^{\rho}}{\partial \mu^{\rho}}|_{\mu=0} \left\langle \Phi, e^{\lambda \, \mu \, h} \, \Phi \right\rangle &= \lambda^{\rho} \left\langle \Phi, h^{\rho} \, \Phi \right\rangle \\ \frac{\partial^{\sigma}}{\partial \mu^{\sigma}}|_{\mu=0} \, e^{\frac{\lambda \, \mu^{2}}{2} \, z} &= \alpha(\sigma) \, z^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, \lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\ \frac{\partial^{k-\rho-\sigma}}{\partial \mu^{k-\rho-\sigma}}|_{\mu=0} \, e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} \, \mu}{2} \, \bar{z}} &= \frac{\lambda^{2 \, (k-\rho-\sigma)} \, \bar{z}^{k-\rho-\sigma}}{2^{k-\rho-\sigma}} \ \ (\text{with} \, 0^{0} := 1) \end{split}$$

The Leibniz function of Proposition 2.1 does not define an inner product for arbitrary z and h. If it did, then we could apply the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to $\psi(\alpha,\beta)=e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi$ and $\psi(0,0)=\Phi$, and we would have that

$$(2.20) |\langle \psi(\alpha, \beta), \Phi \rangle| \le ||\langle \psi(\alpha, \beta)|| \, ||\Phi||$$

which, by Proposition 2.1 and the fact that $\|\Phi\|=1$, becomes

$$(2.21) |f_h(\bar{\beta})| \le e^{\Re\left((|\alpha|^2 + 2\bar{\beta})\alpha z\right)} f_h(|\alpha|^2 + 2\Re\beta)$$

and so, by condition (ii) on f_h ,

(2.22)
$$e^{\Re\left((|\alpha|^2+2\bar{\beta})\alpha z\right)} \ge \frac{|f_h(\bar{\beta})|}{f_h(|\alpha|^2+2\Re\beta)}$$

which, for $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, implies that

$$(2.23) e^{\Re z} \ge \frac{1}{\langle \Phi, e^h \Phi \rangle}$$

while, for $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = i$, it implies that

$$(2.24) e^{\Im z} \le \langle \Phi, e^h \Phi \rangle$$

Therefore, (2.23) and (2.24) are necessary conditions for the Leibniz function of Proposition 2.1 to define an inner product.

The problem of finding examples of f_h for which the Leibniz function of Proposition 2.1 defines an inner product is open. The natural choices $f_h(w) = \cosh(w)$ and $f_h(w) = e^{cw}$, where c > 0, do not work since in both cases we can find $c_1, c_2, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ for which $\|c_1 \psi(\alpha_1, \beta_1) + c_2 \psi(\alpha_1, \beta_1)\|^2$ is either negative or has non-zero imaginary part. For example, for $f_h(w) = e^w$ and z = 1 we find that $\| -\psi(-2, -1) + 2\psi(1, -2) \|^2 < 0$. Similarly, for $f_h(w) = \cosh(w)$ and z = 1 we find that $\|\psi(-1, 1) - \psi(1, -1) - \psi(-1, -1) \|^2 < 0$.

The action of a, a^{\dagger} and h on the exponential vectors $\psi(\alpha, \beta)$ is described in the following:

Proposition 2.4. (The action of a, a^{\dagger} and h on the exponential vectors) For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$a^{\dagger} \psi(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \psi(\alpha + \epsilon, \beta)$$

$$a \psi(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \psi(\alpha, \epsilon \alpha + \beta) + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 z}{2} + \beta \bar{z}\right) \psi(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$h \psi(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \psi(\alpha, \beta + \epsilon) + \alpha z \psi(\alpha, \beta)$$

In the Heisenberg case, corresponding to h=1, $\beta=0$ and z=0, letting $y(\alpha)=\psi(\alpha,0)$ we are reduced to the well known representation

$$a^{\dagger} y(\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} y(\alpha + \epsilon)$$
$$a y(\alpha) = \alpha y(\alpha)$$
$$1 y(\alpha) = y(\alpha)$$

Proof. We have that

$$a^{\dagger} \psi(\alpha, \beta) = a^{\dagger} e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta h} \Phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} e^{(\alpha+\epsilon) a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta h} \Phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \psi(\alpha+\epsilon, \beta)$$

Similarly, by (2.13) and the fact that $a \Phi = 0$,

$$\begin{array}{lll} a\,\psi(\alpha,\beta) &=& a\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi = e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,(a+\alpha\,h+\frac{\alpha^2\,z}{2})\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi \\ &=& e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,a\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi + \alpha\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,h\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi + \frac{\alpha^2\,z}{2}\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi \\ &=& e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,(a+\beta\,\bar{z})\,\Phi + \alpha\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,h\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi + \frac{\alpha^2\,z}{2}\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi \\ &=& e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\beta\,\bar{z}\,\Phi + \alpha\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,h\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi + \frac{\alpha^2\,z}{2}\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi \\ &=& \frac{\partial}{\partial\,\epsilon}\,|_{\epsilon=0}\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{(\epsilon\,\alpha+\beta)\,h}\,\Phi + \left(\frac{\alpha^2\,z}{2} + \beta\bar{z}\right)\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi \\ &=& \frac{\partial}{\partial\,\epsilon}\,|_{\epsilon=0}\,\psi(\alpha,\epsilon\,\alpha+\beta) + \left(\frac{\alpha^2\,z}{2} + \beta\bar{z}\right)\,\psi(\alpha,\beta) \end{array}$$

and also, again by (2.13),

$$h \, \psi(\alpha, \beta) = h \, e^{\alpha \, a^{\dagger}} \, e^{\beta \, h} \, \Phi = e^{\alpha \, a^{\dagger}} \, (h + \alpha \, z) \, e^{\beta \, h} \, \Phi$$

$$= e^{\alpha \, a^{\dagger}} \, h \, e^{\beta \, h} \, \Phi + e^{\alpha \, a^{\dagger}} \, \alpha \, z \, e^{\beta \, h} \, \Phi$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \, \epsilon} |_{\epsilon = 0} \, e^{\alpha \, a^{\dagger}} \, e^{(\epsilon + \beta) \, h} \, \Phi + \alpha \, z \, e^{\alpha \, a^{\dagger}} \, e^{\beta \, h} \, \Phi$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \, \epsilon} |_{\epsilon = 0} \, \psi(\alpha, \beta + \epsilon) + \alpha \, z \, \psi(\alpha, \beta)$$

Proposition 2.5. On the linear span of the exponential vectors of Definition 2.2, the operators a, a^{\dagger} and h defined in Proposition 2.4 satisfy $[a, a^{\dagger}] = h$, $[h, a^{\dagger}] = z$, $[a, h] = \bar{z}$, $(a^{\dagger})^* = a$ and $h^* = h$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), a^{\dagger} \, \psi(A,B) \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \, \langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), \psi(A+\epsilon,B) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \, e^{\left((\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 \, (A+\epsilon)}{2} + \bar{\alpha} \, B) \, \bar{z} + (\frac{\bar{\alpha} \, (A+\epsilon)^2}{2} + (A+\epsilon) \, \bar{\beta}) \, z\right)} \, \langle \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, (A+\epsilon) + B + \bar{\beta}) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \, e^{\left((\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 \, A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} \, B) \, \bar{z} + (\frac{\bar{\alpha} \, A^2}{2} + A \, (\epsilon \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})) \, z\right)} \, \langle \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B + \epsilon \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &+ \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 \, \bar{z}}{2} + \bar{\beta} z\right) \, e^{\left((\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 \, A}{2} + \bar{\alpha} \, B) \, \bar{z} + (\frac{\bar{\alpha} \, A^2}{2} + A \, \bar{\beta}) \, z\right)} \, \langle \Phi, e^{(\bar{\alpha} \, A + B + \bar{\beta}) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \, \langle \psi(\alpha, \epsilon \, \alpha + \beta), \psi(A, B) \rangle + \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 \, \bar{z}}{2} + \bar{\beta} \, z\right) \, \langle \psi(\alpha, \beta), \psi(A, B) \rangle \\ &= \langle a \, \psi(\alpha, \beta), \psi(A, B) \rangle \end{split}$$

Similarly $\langle \psi(\alpha,\beta), h \psi(A,B) \rangle = \langle h \psi(\alpha,\beta), \psi(A,B) \rangle$. Thus $(a^{\dagger})^* = a$ and $h^* = h$. To prove that the extended Heisenberg commutation relations (1.4) are satisfied on the exponential domain, we notice that using (2.13) to put expressions that involve a^{\dagger} , h and a in "normal order" i.e. a^{\dagger} is on the left, h is in the middle and a is on the right, we find that

 $[a,a^\dagger]\,\psi(\alpha,\beta) = (a\,a^\dagger - a^\dagger\,a)\,\psi(\alpha,\beta) = (a\,a^\dagger - a^\dagger\,a)\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi = e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,h\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi + \alpha\,z\,e^{\alpha\,a^\dagger}\,e^{\beta\,h}\,\Phi$ and also

$$h \psi(\alpha, \beta) = h e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta h} \Phi = e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} h e^{\beta h} \Phi + \alpha z e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta h} \Phi$$

Therefore $[a,a^{\dagger}]$ $\psi(\alpha,\beta)=h$ $\psi(\alpha,\beta)$. Similarly, $[h,a^{\dagger}]$ $\psi(\alpha,\beta)=z$ $\psi(\alpha,\beta)$ and [a,h] $\psi(\alpha,\beta)=\bar{z}$ $\psi(\alpha,\beta)$.

3. RANDOM VARIABLES

If $s \in \mathbb{R}$, Φ is the Fock vacuum vector and X is a self-adjoint operator on a Fock space then $\langle \Phi, e^{sX} \, \Phi \rangle$ and $\langle \Phi, e^{i\,s\,X} \, \Phi \rangle$ can be viewed, respectively, as the moment generating and characteristic functions of a classical random variable. In this section we compute the moment generating and characteristic functions of the self-adjoint operator $X = a + a^\dagger + h$ with respect to the sesquilinear form of Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 3.1. For all $X,Y \in span\{a,a^{\dagger},h,E\}$

$$e^{X+Y} = e^X \, e^Y \, e^{-\frac{1}{2} \, [X,Y]} \, e^{\frac{1}{6} \, (2 \, [Y,[X,Y]] + [X,[X,Y]])}$$

Proof. This is a special case of the general Zassenhaus formula (converse of the BCH formula). See [2] for a proof. ■

Lemma 3.2. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

(3.1)
$$e^{s(a+a^{\dagger}+h)} = e^{sa^{\dagger}} e^{sa} e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2}+s\right)h} e^{\frac{s^3}{6}(z-2\bar{z})+\frac{s^2}{2}(z-\bar{z})}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, with $X = s(a + a^{\dagger})$ and Y = sh, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} e^{s\,(a+a^{\dagger}+h)} & = & e^{s\,(a+a^{\dagger})}\,\,e^{s\,h}\,\,e^{-\frac{1}{2}\,[s\,(a+a^{\dagger}),s\,h]}\,e^{\frac{1}{6}\,(2\,[s\,h,[s\,(a+a^{\dagger}),s\,h]]+[s\,(a+a^{\dagger}),[s\,(a+a^{\dagger}),s\,h]])} \\ & = & e^{s\,(a+a^{\dagger})}\,\,e^{s\,h}\,\,e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}\,[a+a^{\dagger},h]}\,e^{\frac{s^3}{6}\,(2\,[h,[a+a^{\dagger},h]]+[a+a^{\dagger},[a+a^{\dagger},h]])} \\ & = & e^{s\,(a+a^{\dagger})}\,\,e^{s\,h}\,\,e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}\,(\bar{z}-z)} \end{array}$$

Similarly,

$$e^{s(a+a^{\dagger})} = e^{s(a^{\dagger}+a)} = e^{sa^{\dagger}} e^{sa} e^{\frac{s^2}{2}h} e^{\frac{1}{6}(-2s^3\bar{z}+s^3z)}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{lll} e^{s\,(a+a^{\dagger}+h)} & = & e^{s\,a^{\dagger}}\,e^{s\,a}\,e^{\frac{s^2}{2}\,h}\,e^{\frac{1}{6}\,(-2\,s^3\,\bar{z}+s^3\,z)}\,e^{s\,h}\,e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}\,(\bar{z}-z)} \\ & = & e^{s\,a^{\dagger}}\,e^{s\,a}\,e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2}+s\right)\,h}\,e^{\frac{s^3}{6}\,(z-2\,\bar{z})+\frac{s^2}{2}\,(z-\bar{z})} \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.3. (Moment generating and Characteristic functions) (i) For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

(3.2)
$$\langle \Phi, e^{s(a+a^{\dagger}+h)} \Phi \rangle = e^{\left(\frac{s^3}{3}+s^2\right)\Re z} f_h\left(\frac{s^2}{2}+s\right)$$

(ii) For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

(3.3)
$$\langle \Phi, e^{i s (a + a^{\dagger} + h)} \Phi \rangle = e^{-\left(i \frac{s^3}{3} + s^2\right) \Re z} f_h \left(-\frac{s^2}{2} - i s \right)$$

where f_h is as in Proposition 2.1.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $e^{sa} \Phi = \Phi$ we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi, e^{s \, (a+a^\dagger + h)} \, \Phi \rangle &= \langle \Phi, e^{s \, a^\dagger} \, e^{s \, a} \, e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right) \, h} \, e^{\frac{s^3}{6} \, (z - 2 \, \bar{z}) + \frac{s^2}{2} \, (z - \bar{z})} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{s^3}{6} \, (z - 2 \, \bar{z}) + \frac{s^2}{2} \, (z - \bar{z})} \, \langle \Phi, e^{s \, a} \, e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{s^3}{6} \, (z - 2 \, \bar{z}) + \frac{s^2}{2} \, (z - \bar{z})} \, \langle \Phi, e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right) \, h} \, e^{s \, a} \, e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right) \, s \, \bar{z}} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{s^3}{3} + s^2\right) \, \Re z} \, \langle \Phi, e^{\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right) \, h} \, \Phi \rangle \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{s^3}{3} + s^2\right) \, \Re z} \, f_h \left(\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right) \end{split}$$

The proof of (ii) is similar. It can also be directly obtained from (i) by replacing s by i s.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. ACCARDI and A. BOUKAS, Cohomology of the Virasoro–Zamolodchikov and renormalized higher powers of white noise *–Lie algebras, *Infinite Dimensional Anal. Quantum Probab. Related Topics*, **12** (2009), No. 2, pp. 1-20.
- [2] L. ACCARDI and A. BOUKAS, The centrally extended Heisenberg algebra and its connection with the Schrödinger, Galilei and Renormalized Higher Powers of Quantum White Noise Lie algebras, to appear in *Proceedings of the VIII International Workshop "Lie Theory and its Applications in Physics"*, Varna, Bulgaria, June 16-21, 2009 [Online: http://arXiv:0810.3365v1 [math-ph]].

- [3] L. ACCARDI and A. BOUKAS, Central extensions and stochastic processes associated with the Lie algebra of the renormalized higher powers of white noise, to appear in *Banach Center Publications*, *Proceedings of the 11th workshop: non-commutative harmonic analysis with applications to probability*, Bedlewo, Poland, August 2008.
- [4] P. J. FEINSILVER and R. SCHOTT, *Algebraic Structures and Operator Calculus. Volumes I and III*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [5] P. J. FEINSILVER, J. KOCIK and R. SCHOTT, Representations of the Schrödinger algebra and Appell systems, *Fortschr. Phys.* **52** (2004), no. 4, pp. 343-359.