

The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

AJMAA



Volume 6, Issue 1, Article 15, pp. 1-4, 2009

SOME OPEN PROBLEMS IN ANALYSIS

A.G. RAMM

Special Issue in Honor of the 100th Anniversary of S.M. Ulam

Received 1 July, 2009; accepted 30 July, 2009; published 4 September, 2009.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506-2602, USA

ramm@math.ksu.edu
URL:http://www.math.ksu.edu/~ramm

ABSTRACT. In this paper some open problems in analysis are formulated. These problems were formulated and discussed by the author at ICMAA6.

Key words and phrases: Radon transform, Reaction-diffusion systems, Operator theory, Pompeiu problem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 44A12, 35J55, 47B99.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

^{© 2009} Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

1. INJECTIVITY OF THE CLASSICAL RADON TRANSFORM

Consider the Radon transform:

(1.1)
$$Rf := \int_{\ell_{\alpha,p}} f ds,$$

where $\ell_{\alpha,p}$ is a straight line $\alpha \cdot x = p$ on the plane $x = \{x_1, x_2\}$, α is a unit vector, p is a real number, and ds is the element of the arclength of the straight line.

Assume that

$$(1.2) f \in L^1(\ell_{\alpha,p})$$

for all p and α , that f is a continuous function, and that

(1.3)
$$|f(x)| \le c(1+|x|^m).$$

where c = const > 0, and $m \ge 0$ is a fixed number. Assume that

$$(1.4) Rf = 0$$

for all p and α .

Problem 1. Does it follow from the assumptions (1.2) - (1.4) that f = 0?

There is a large amount of literature on the Radon transform (see, e.g., [3] and references therein). It is known (see, e.g, [1], [3]) that there are entire functions not vanishing identically, such that (1.2) and (1.4) hold.

The open problem is to understand what the weakest natural restriction on the growth of f at infinity is for the Radon transform to be injective. In other words, *under what weakest growth restriction at infinity do the assumptions* (1.2) - (1.4) *imply* f = 0?

It is known (see [3]) that if $f \in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{1}{1+|x|}\right)$ and (1.4) holds, then f = 0, i.e., the Radon transform is injective on $L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{1}{1+|x|}\right)$.

2. A UNIQUENESS PROBLEM

Let L and M be elliptic, second order, selfadjoint, strictly positive Dirichlet operators in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, n > 1, with a smooth connected boundary S, and the coefficients of L and M be real-valued functions, so that all the functions below are real-valued. Let a(x)and b(x) be strictly positive functions, smooth in the closure of D. Let

(2.1)
$$Lu + a(x)v = 0$$
 in D , $-b(x)u + Mv = 0$ in D , $u = v = 0$ on S ,

Problem 2. Does(2.1) imply

(2.2)
$$u = v = 0$$
 in D^{2}

It is of no interest to give sufficient conditions for (2.2) to hold, such as, e.g., |b - a| is small, or L = M, or some other conditions.

What is of interest is to answer the question as stated, without any additional assumptions, by either proving (2.2) or constructing a counterexample.

In the one-dimensional case the answer to the question (2.2) is yes (see [2]).

3. A PROBLEM IN OPERATOR THEORY

The question in two different forms is stated below as Problem 3 and Problem 4. These problems are closely related.

3.1. Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , D can be a box or a ball, $f \in L^2(D)$ be a function, $f \neq 0$. Define

$$F(z) := \int_D f(x) \exp(iz \cdot x) dx, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^3.$$

The function F(z) is an entire function of exponential type.

Let $L_j(z)$, j = 1, 2, be polynomials of degree not less than one, $\deg L_j(z) \ge 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}_j := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C}^3, L_j(z) = 0 \}$$

be the corresponding algebraic varieties.

Define Hilbert spaces $H_j := L^2(\mathcal{L}_j, dm_j)$, where $dm_j(z)$ are smooth, rapidly decaying, strictly positive measures on \mathcal{L}_j , such that any exponential $\exp(iz \cdot x)$ with any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ belongs to H_j . Define a linear operator T from H_1 into H_2 by the formula:

$$Th := \int_{\mathcal{L}_1} dm_1(u_1)h(u_1)F(u_1 + u_2) := g(u_2),$$

where $u_j \in \mathcal{L}_j$, $h \in H_1$, $g \in H_2$. We assume that the measures dm_j decay so rapidly that for any $h \in H_1$ the function g = Th belongs to H_2 , $Th \in H_2$. For example, this happens if the measures decay as $e^{-|z|^2}$.

Assume that \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are *transversal*, which by definition means that there exist two points, one in \mathcal{L}_1 and one in \mathcal{L}_2 , such that the union of the bases of the tangent spaces to \mathcal{L}_1 and to \mathcal{L}_2 at these points form a basis in \mathbb{C}^3 . The same setting is of interest in dimension n > 3 as well.

Problem 3. Is it true that T is not a finite-rank operator?

In other words, is it true that the dimension of the range of T is infinite?

Remark 3.1. The assumption that f(x) is in $L^2(D)$ is important. If, for example, f(x) is a delta-function, then the answer to the question of Problem 3 is no, the dimension of the range of T in this case is equal to 1 if the delta-function is supported at one point.

3.2. In the notations of Problem 3, choose points $p_m \in \mathcal{L}_2$, m = 1, 2, ..., M, where M is an *arbitrary large* fixed integer. Consider the set S of M functions $F(z + p_m)$, m = 1, 2, ..., M, where $z \in \mathcal{L}_1$, and F(z) is defined above. It is the Fourier transform of a compactly supported $L^2(D)$ function, where D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , n > 1.

Problem 4. Can one choose $p_m \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that the above set S of M functions is linearly independent?

In other words, can one choose $p_m \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, such that the relation:

(3.1)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m F(z+p_m) = 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{L}_1$$

implies $c_m = 0$ for all m = 1, 2, ..., M? Here c_m are constants.

These questions arise in the study of Property C (see [4, p. 298]).

4. A PROBLEM RELATED TO THE POMPEIU PROBLEM

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain homeomorphic to a ball, with a real analytic boundary S. Let $u_j = u_j(x), j = 1, 2, 3$, solve the problem:

(4.1)
$$\Delta u_j + k^2 u_j = 0$$
 in $D, \quad u_j|_S = 0$

where $k^2 > 0$ is a constant. Let $N = N_s$ be the unit normal to the surface S at the point $s \in S$, pointing out of D. Define the following vector-function:

(4.2)
$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_j(x)e_j$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^3$ is the standard Euclidean orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let [a, b] denote the cross product of two vectors a and b in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Assume that

$$(4.3) u_N = [s, N_s] \forall s \in S,$$

where u = u(x) is defined in (4.2) and $u_i(x)$ solve problem (4.1).

Problem 5. *Does* (4.3) *imply* $[s, N_s] = 0$ *on S*?

Conjecture 4.1. Assumptions (4.1) and (4.3) imply

 $[s, N_s] = 0 \quad \forall s \in S.$

It is pointed out in [4, p. 416], that if (4.4) holds, then S is a sphere.

A proof of the above conjecture implies a positive solution to the Pompeiu problem, see [4, Chapter 11] and [5], [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ARMITAGE, A non-constant continuous function on the plane whose integral on every line is zero, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **101** (1994), no. 9, 892–894.
- [2] J. LOPEZ-GOMEZ, A bridge between operator theory and mathematical biology, in *Operator Theory and its Applications*", Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp.383–397. (editors A.G.Ramm, P.Shivakumar, A.Strauss)
- [3] A.G. RAMM and A. I. KATSEVICH, *The Radon Transform and Local Tomography*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [4] A.G. RAMM, Inverse Problems, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [5] A.G. RAMM, The Pompeiu problem, Applicable Analysis, 64, (1997), no. 1-2, 19–26.
- [6] A.G. RAMM, Necessary and sufficient condition for a domain, which fails to have Pompeiu property, to be a ball, *Journ. of Inverse and Ill-Posed Probl.*, **6**, (1998), no. 2, 165–171.