



---

## **AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY OF GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS IN CERTAIN \*-BANACH ALGEBRAS**

M.ABOULEKHLEF<sup>1</sup>, Y. TIDL<sup>2</sup>, M.BELAM<sup>2</sup>

*Received 9 February, 2024; accepted 2 July, 2024; published 27 August, 2024.*

LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY  
POLYDISCIPLINARY FACULTY OF KHOURIBGA UNIVERSITY OF SULTAN MOULAY SLIMANE MOROCCO.  
aboulekhllef@gmail.com; y.tidli@gmail.com; m.belam@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT.** Consider the map  $\varphi$  of the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , if there exists a derivation  $\delta$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  so that for every  $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)y + x\delta(y)$ .  $\varphi$  is called a generalized derivation of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . In [9], Bresar introduced the concept of generalized derivations.

We prove several results about the automatic continuity of generalized derivations on certain Banach algebras.

*Key words and phrases:* Banach algebras; Automatic continuity; Generalized derivations; Involution.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46J10, 16Wxx. Secondary: 47B48.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the algebras considered are assumed complex, commutative, and not necessarily unitary. In all that follows,  $\mathfrak{B}$  can be a Banach algebra,  $\text{Jr}(\mathfrak{B})$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The symbols  $\text{spm}(y)$  and  $\rho(y)$  represent spectrum and the spectral radius of  $y \in \mathfrak{B}$ , respectively. If for every  $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$  then  $\delta$  is an additive map from  $\mathfrak{B}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$ . This map is called a derivation.

Let's briefly discuss the history of our investigation. Singer-Wermer [7] shown in 1955 that if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is commutative and  $\delta$  is continuous, then  $\delta(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \text{Jr}(\mathfrak{B})$ . In particular,  $\delta = 0$  when  $\mathfrak{B}$  is semisimple. In [8] Bresar and al. showed that  $\delta(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \text{Jr}(\mathfrak{B})$  if there is  $\epsilon \geq 0$  so that  $\rho(\delta(x)) \leq \epsilon\rho(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

It's convenient to begin by recalling some definitions and known results. A Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  is considered prime for each  $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$  if, for any  $x\mathfrak{B}y = 0$ , it implies that either  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ . By a derivation on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , we mean a linear mapping  $\delta : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ , which satisfies  $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . An additive mapping  $\tau : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  is called a left (resp. right) centralizer of  $\mathfrak{B}$  if  $\tau(xy) = \tau(x)y$  (resp.  $\tau(xy) = x\tau(y)$ ) for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Bresar introduced the concept of generalized derivation in [7]. He defines a generalized derivation as follows: If there is a derivation  $d$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)y + x\delta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then an additive mapping  $F$  is referred to as a generalized derivation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  represent an algebra. Then  $\varphi$  is a generalized derivation of  $\mathfrak{B}$  determined by derivation  $\delta$  if and only if  $\varphi = \delta + \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ 's left centralizer and  $\delta$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ 's derivation.*

Given a subset  $E$  of an algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The set defined by  $G(E) = \{a \in \mathfrak{B} / aE = \{0\}\}$  is known as the left annihilator of  $E$ . In a similar manner, the right annihilator is the set of  $E$  defined by  $D(E) = \{a \in \mathfrak{B} / Ea = \{0\}\}$ . We note  $\text{Ann}(E) = G(E) \cap D(E)$ . If  $\mathfrak{B}$  does not have a unit, then we can adjoin one as follows:

**Proposition 2.2.** *A normed algebra without a unit can be embedded into a unital normed algebra  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$  as an ideal of codimension one.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{B}^\# = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  Direct sum of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  (field of complex numbers).

$\mathfrak{B}^\#$  represents a vector space under the usual operations :

$$+ : \mathfrak{B}^\# \times \mathfrak{B}^\# \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\#$$

$$((a, \alpha), (b, \beta)) \longrightarrow (a + b, \alpha + \beta)$$

$$\cdot : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{B}^\# \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\#$$

$$(\lambda, (a, \alpha)) \longrightarrow (\lambda a, \lambda \alpha)$$

In addition to,  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$  is an algebra when defining a multiplication in  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$  by :

$$\odot : \mathfrak{B}^\# \times \mathfrak{B}^\# \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\#$$

$$(a, \alpha), (b, \beta) \longrightarrow (a, \alpha) \odot (b, \beta)$$

$$(a, \alpha) \odot (b, \beta) := (a, \alpha)(b, \beta) := (ab + \beta a + \alpha b, \alpha\beta)$$

The operation  $\odot$  is closed on  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$ , and  $(\mathfrak{B}^\#, +, \cdot, \odot)$  is algebra with unit element  $(0, 1)$ . Now, define the function  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$  by :

$$\| \cdot \| : \mathfrak{B}^\# \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$(a, \gamma) \longrightarrow \|(a, \gamma)\| = \|a\| + |\gamma|$$

then  $(\mathfrak{B}^\#, \| \cdot \|)$  constitutes a normed algebra.

Let  $B = \{(a, 0) : a \in A\}$ , and

Identify :

$$\phi : A \rightarrow B$$

$$a \rightarrow (a, 0)$$

$$\|(a, 0)\| = \|a\| + |0| = \|a\| \text{ hence } \phi \text{ is isometric isomorphe.}$$

We write  $(a, \lambda) = (a, 0) + \lambda(0, 1)$ , since  $B$  is an ideal in  $A \times \mathbb{C}$  of codimension 1.

■

Now, define the spectrum and the spectral radius:

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an algebra :

(1) If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is unital with unit  $e_{\mathfrak{B}}$  then the spectrum and the spectral radius of  $x$  are defined by:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{spm}_{\mathfrak{B}}(x) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda e_{\mathfrak{B}} - x \notin \text{Inv } \mathfrak{B}\}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_{\mathfrak{B}}(x) := \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spm}_{\mathfrak{B}}(x)\}$$

where  $\text{Inv } \mathfrak{B}$  is the set of invertible elements of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

(2) If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is nonunital, we define the quasi-product  $\cdot$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$  by

$$x \cdot y = x + y - xy \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{B})$$

An element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is called quasi-invertible if there is  $y \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $x \cdot y = 0$  and  $x \cdot y = 0$ . The set of all quasi-invertible elements of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is denoted by  $q - \text{Inv } \mathfrak{B}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$  the Banach algebra obtained by adjoining a unit to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , called the unitization of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

We define spectrum in non-unital Banach algebra :

$$\text{spm}_{\mathfrak{B}}(x) = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{1}{\mu} x \notin q - \text{Inv } \mathfrak{B} \right\} \text{ and it's clear } \text{spm}_{\mathfrak{B}}(x) = \text{spm}_{\mathfrak{B}^\#}((x, 0))$$

$$\text{and } \rho_A(x) = \rho_{A^\#}((x, 0))$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [10]

*If  $\tau$  is a left centralizer on a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\tau$  is both linear and continuous.*

**Definition 2.1.** The intersection of all maximal left (right) ideals in an algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  is its (Jacobson) radical, represented by  $\text{Jr}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

If  $\text{Jr}(\mathfrak{B}) = 0$ , then algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  is considered semisimple.

**Definition 2.2.** An involution  $*$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a mapping  $*$  :  $\mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  that fulfills these conditions:

$$(a + b)^* = a^* + b^* \qquad (\mu a)^* = \bar{\mu} a^*$$

$$(ab)^* = b^* a^*$$

with involution  $*$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is known as the  $*$ -algebra.

**Remark 2.1.** In the case that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is involutive, define an involution on  $\mathfrak{B}^\#$  as follows:  $(a, \mu)^* := (a^*, \bar{\mu})$ ,  $\forall (a, \mu) \in \mathfrak{B}^\#$

Given  $J$  a non-zero  $*$ -ideal of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then,  $*$  induces an involution on the quotient algebra  $\mathfrak{B}/J$ , denoted as  $^*$ , defined by:  $(a + J)^* = (a^* + J)$ , for every  $a \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

Recalling that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be  $*$ -semi-simple if  $Jr_*(\mathfrak{B}) = (0)$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Jr_*(\mathfrak{B}/J) &= \cap \{ \bar{M} : M \text{ represents } * - \text{ maximum ideal in } \mathfrak{B} \} \\ &= \cap \{ M : \text{represents } * - \text{ maximum ideal in } \mathfrak{B} \} \\ &= \bar{Jr}_*(\mathfrak{B}) = Jr_*(\mathfrak{B})/J \end{aligned}$$

An  $*$ -ideal  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an ideal which is closed under involution; that is  $J^* = \{a^* \in \mathfrak{B} : a \in J\} \subseteq J$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** [11] *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an  $*$ -simple algebra, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is not simple. Then there exists a unitary simple subalgebra  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} = J \oplus J^*$*

**Lemma 2.5.** [[4], Corollary 3.2.10]

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a Banach algebra,  $x \in \mathfrak{B}$ , and suppose that  $\rho(yx) = 0$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $x \in Jr(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Recall the concept of separating space of a linear operator, let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be two Banach algebras, and given  $\psi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  a linear mapping. The separating space of  $\psi$  is determined by :

$$\mathfrak{S}(\psi) = \{ \beta \in \mathfrak{Y} : \text{there exists } (\alpha_m)_m \text{ in } \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \alpha_m \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \psi(\alpha_m) \rightarrow \beta \}$$

We know that  $\mathfrak{S}(\psi)$  is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . By the closed graph theorem,  $\psi$  is continuous if and only if  $\mathfrak{S}(\psi) = \{0\}$  [2, 5.1.2]

**Lemma 2.6.** [5] *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}$ , and  $\mathfrak{W}$  be Banach spaces,  $T$  be a linear operator from  $\mathfrak{U}$  into  $\mathfrak{V}$ , and  $S$  be a continuous operator from  $\mathfrak{V}$  into  $\mathfrak{W}$ . Then :*

(1)  *$ST$  is continuous if and if  $S\mathfrak{S}(T) = \{0\}$ .*

(2)  $\overline{S\mathfrak{S}(T)} = \mathfrak{S}(ST)$

**Lemma 2.7.** [4]

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a Banach algebra such that  $xy = yx$ . Then  $\rho(x + y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$  and  $\rho(xy) \leq \rho(x)\rho(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}$

**Lemma 2.8.** [Singer and Wermer [7]]

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a commutative Banach algebra and let  $\delta$  be a continuous derivation on  $\mathfrak{B}$  then  $d(x) \in Jr(\mathfrak{B})(x \in \mathfrak{B})$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $\varphi$  is a generalized derivation of a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is semi-simple and commutative,  $\varphi$  must be continuous.*

*Proof.* Given  $y \in \mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$  there is a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\varphi(\alpha_n) \rightarrow y$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

As  $\rho(\tau(\alpha_n)) \leq \rho(\alpha_n)$  and  $\rho(\delta(\alpha_n)) \leq \epsilon\rho(\alpha_n)$  for some  $\epsilon \geq 0$

we have  $\rho(\varphi(\alpha_n)) = \rho(\delta(\alpha_n) + (\tau(\alpha_n))) \leq \rho(\delta(\alpha_n)) + \rho(\tau(\alpha_n))$ , and  $\rho(\alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$

Then  $\rho(\varphi(\alpha_n)) \leq (\epsilon + 1)\rho(\alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

However, in contrast..  $\rho(\varphi(\alpha_n)) \rightarrow \rho(y)$ . Therefore  $\rho(y) = 0$  because  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

Thus for every  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $yz \in \mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$  we conclude that  $\rho(yz) = 0$

since we conclude  $y \in Jr(\mathfrak{B})$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq Jr \mathfrak{B}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is semi-simple,  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) = 0$  consequently  $\varphi$  is continuous.

■

**Theorem 3.2.** *All generalized derivations on a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  which is  $*$ -simple are continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an algebra  $*$  simple, there exists simple unital subalgebra  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $A = J \oplus J^*$  (Proposition 2.4); following algebraic isomorphism:  $J \approx \mathfrak{B} / J^*$ , therefore,  $J$  represents the maximal ideal in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . From where  $J$  (resp;  $J^*$ ) is closed in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Consequently, the algebra  $\mathfrak{B}/J$  (resp;  $\mathfrak{B}/J^*$ ) is a simple Banach  $*$ -algebra. Since  $J$  represents an ideal of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then so is  $\varphi(J) + J$ ; therefore  $\varphi(J) + J/J$  represents an ideal of  $\mathfrak{B}/J$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}/J$  constitutes simple algebra, so

$$\varphi(J) + J/J = \{\bar{0}\} \text{ or } \varphi(J) + J/J = \mathfrak{B}/J. \text{ Since } J \text{ represents a}$$

maximal ideal in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , since  $\varphi(J) + J = J$ , so  $\varphi(J) \subseteq J$ . Think about the function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\mathfrak{B}/J$ , which is given by:

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a + J) = \varphi(a) + J.$$

We show that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  represents a generalized derivation determined by a derivation  $\tilde{\delta}$  on  $\mathfrak{B}/J$ . Note that it is easy to show  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is linear operator. Moreover, for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}(a + J)(b + J) = \tilde{\varphi}(ab + J) = \varphi(ab) + J = \varphi(a)b + a\delta(b) + J$ . But then,  $\tilde{\varphi}(a + J)(b + J) + (a + J)\tilde{\delta}(b + J) = (a + J)(\delta(b) + J) + (\delta(a) + J)(b + J) = (\varphi(a) + J)(b + J) + (a + J)(\delta(b) + J) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b + J$ . So  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a generalized derivation on the simple Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{B}/J$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous. Now, we show that  $\varphi$  is continuous, we consider the canonical surjection  $\pi : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}/J; a \rightarrow a + J$  which is continuous. To show that  $\varphi$  is continuous, first we observe that  $\pi \circ \varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi$  because for every  $a \in \mathfrak{B}$ , we have  $\pi \circ \varphi(a) = \pi(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(a) + J$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi(a) = \tilde{\varphi}(a + J) = \varphi(a) + J$ . Since  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi$  is continuous, then; we have  $\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi) = \{\bar{0}\}$ , And  $\overline{\pi \mathfrak{S}(\varphi)} = \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi) = \{\bar{0}\}$  (Lemma 2.6) and this implied that  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq J$ . Following the same steps, we show that  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq J^*$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq J \cap J^* = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is continuous. ■

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $*$ -prime Banach  $*$ -algebra. Then all generalized derivation  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a  $*$ -prime algebra, there is a minimal prime nonzero  $P$  such that  $P \cap P^* = \{0\}$  and  $P = \text{Ann}(P^*)$ ,  $P^* = \text{Ann}(P)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$  be the ideal separating in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Suppose  $\overline{\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)} \not\subseteq P$ , then  $P$  is a closed ideal. On the other hand, if  $p$  is a nonzero element of  $P$ , then  $p\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) = \mathfrak{S}(\varphi)$ . Therefore:  $p\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq P$ , then  $\overline{p\mathfrak{S}(\varphi)} = \mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq \bar{P} = P$ . Which contradicts the assumption. Following  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq P$ . By the same reasoning, we show that  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq P^*$ . Which gives,  $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi) \subseteq P \cap P^* = \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is continuous. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. AUPETIT, Characterisation spectrale des algebres de Banach commutatives, *Pacific Math. J.*, **63** (1976), pp. 23-35.
- [2] H. G. DALES, Banach algebras and automatic continuity, *Lond. Math. Soc.*, **24** (2000).
- [3] P. G. DIXON, Automatic continuity of positive functionals on topological involution algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **23** (1981).
- [4] B. AUPETIT, *A Primer on Spectral Theory*, Springer, 1990.
- [5] A. M. SINCLAIR, Automatic continuity of linear operators, *Lond. Math. Soc.*, **21** (1976).
- [6] B. E. JOHNSON, The uniqueness of the (complete) norm topology, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **73** (1967), pp. 537-539.
- [7] I. M. SINGER and J. WERMER, Derivations on commutative normed algebras, *Math. Ann.*, **129** (1955), pp. 260-264.

- [8] M. BRESAR and M. MATHIEU, Derivations mapping into the radical III, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **133** (1995), pp. 21-29.
- [9] M. BRESAR, On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, *Comm. Glasgow Math. J.*, **33** (1991), pp. 89-93.
- [10] B. E JOHSON, *Continuity of Centralizers on Banach Algebras*, (1966).
- [11] Y. TIDLI and L. OUKHTITE and A. TAJMOUATI, On automatic continuity of derivations for Banach algebras with involution, *Eur. J. Math. Compu. Scien.*, **4** (2017).