

# SOLVING STRONGLY NONLINEAR FRACTIONAL FREDHOLM INTEGRAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN CAPUTO'S SENSE USING THE SBA METHOD

GERMAIN KABORE 1, BAKARI ABBO 2, OUSSÉNI SO 3, AND BLAISE SOME 1

Received 29 September, 2023; accepted 18 April, 2024; published 14 June, 2024.

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Informatique et de Biomathémathiques (L.N.I.BIO), Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso.

<sup>2</sup> University of N'Damena, Tchad.

<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Informatique et de Biomathémathiques (L.N.I.BIO), École Normale Supérieure, Burkina Faso.

germainkabore982@gmail.com
 bakariabbo@yahoo.fr
 sousseni@yahoo.fr
blaisesomeouagal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. The work addressed in this article consists in constructing the exact solutions, where they exist, of fractional Fredholm-type integro-differential equations in the sense of Caputo. Our results are obtained using the SBA method. The simplification of the approach, the analysis of its convergence, and the generalization of this method to these types of highly nonlinear equations constitute our scientific contribution.

Key words and phrases: SOME BLAISE ABBO (SBA) method; Riemann-Liouville fractional integral; Caputo fractional derivative; fractional Fredholm integro-differential equations in the sense of Caputo.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26A33, 65R10. Secondary 65L03, 35S11.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

<sup>© 2024</sup> Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Fractional derivation is one of the most widely used mathematical tools in modeling today. But due to its non-local structure and memory effect, it is a high information storage. As a result, its use in the construction of mathematical models for a given phenomenon comes with a high cost in terms of numerical resolution. When using a discretization algorithm for non-integer derivatives, this structure must be taken into account, resulting in high algorithm complexity. Most existing classical numerical methods also come up against difficulties linked to its non-linearity. There is therefore a strong interest in developing new methods for solving nonlinear functional equations of fractional order. In this work, we propose an iterative method, the SOME BLAISE ABBO (SBA) method, capable of taking into account the complex structure of fractional derivation and easily handling nonlinearity.

Our major contribution to this work is twofold. On the one hand, we simplify the method's approach, when the approximate solution at the first iteration is a root of nonlinearity ( $Nu^1=0$ ). While giving a sufficient condition for the convergence of the approximate solution at the first iteration, we propose a new analysis of the method's convergence. On the other hand, we demonstrate the strength of the SBA method in a very important aspect. In the SBA approach, when the solution approximated at the first iteration doesn't cancel out the nonlinearity (i.e.  $Nu^1 \neq 0$ ), we replace the initial problem by an equivalent transformation, with  $\overline{N}$  the new nonlinear term, so that by repeating the algorithm we can obtain  $\overline{N}u^1=0$ . Here, this work shows that when  $Nu^1 \neq 0$ , we can by successive iterations determine the convergent series of general term  $u^k$  and deduce the solution to the problem.

After recalling some basic notions of fractional calculus in Section 2 and describing the SBA method in Section 3, we devote Section 4 to illustrating the method's effectiveness on a few examples of fractional Fredholm integro-differential equations in the Caputo sense. Section 5 is the conclusion.

## 2. PRELIMINAIRES

Most of the definitions and properties we present for our work can be found in [9, 15, 17, 22]. We invite the reader to refer to them for further details.

## 2.1. Fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville sense.

**Definition 2.1.**: Riemann-Liouville fractional integral

Let  $f \in C([0; +\infty[)$ . The fractional Riemann-Liouville integral (on the left) of order  $\alpha \geq 0$  of the function f denoted  $\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}f$  is defined by:

(2.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{I}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} f(\tau) d\tau, t > 0. \\ \mathcal{I}^0 f(t) = f(t) \end{cases}$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the Gamma function.

## 2.2. Fractional derivation in the Caputo sense.

**Definition 2.2.**: Fractional derivative in the sense of Caputo

Let  $f \in C^m([0; +\infty[), \alpha > 0 \text{ and } n = [\alpha] + 1$ . The fractional derivative in Caputo's sense (on

the left) of order  $\alpha$  of the function f denoted  ${}^c\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}f$  is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}f(t) = \mathcal{I}^{n-\alpha} \circ \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau, \ if \ n-1 < \alpha < n \\ {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}}f(t), \ if \ \alpha = n \end{cases}$$

where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of  $\alpha$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\alpha > 0, n = [n] + 1$  and  $f \in AC^n([a, b])$ 

$${}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t);$$

(2.4) 
$$\mathcal{I}^{\alpha} {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{k}}{k!} f^{(k)}(a).$$

#### 3. SBA METHOD

3.1. **Description of the SBA method applied to nonlinear fractional Fredholm integro- differential equations.** Consider the following nonlinear fractional Fredholm-type integrodifferential equation:

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}u(x) = g(x) + \int_{0}^{1} K(x,t)F(u(t))dt \\ u^{(i)}(0) = b_{i}, (i = 0, 1, 2, ..., h - 1) \end{cases}$$

in a suitable functional space V, where  ${}^c\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  is the fractional derivative of Caputo of order  $\alpha>0$ , F and K are continuous functions defined in V, F is a non-linear operator and u is the unknown function defined in V;  $h=[\alpha]+1$  where  $[\alpha]$  is the integer part of  $\alpha$ . By posing

$$(3.2) F = L + N$$

where L is a linear operator and N is a non-linear operator, equation (3.1) becomes:

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}u(x) = g(x) + \int_{0}^{1} K(x,t)L(u(t))dt + \int_{0}^{1} K(x,t)N(u(t))dt \\ u^{(i)}(0) = b_{i}, (i = 0, 1, 2, ..., h - 1). \end{cases}$$

By composing the two members of the first line of (3.3) by  $\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(.)$ , we obtain thanks to the proposition (2.1) and the following relation:

$$(3.4) \quad u(x) = \theta + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{1} K(x,t)L(u(t))dt\right) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{1} K(x,t)N(u(t))dt\right)$$

where

(3.5) 
$$\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{x^i}{i!} f_i.$$

Using the successive approximation method, the first line of (3.1) becomes:

$$u^k(x) = \theta^k + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 K(x,t)L(u^k(t))dt\right) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 K(x,t)N(u^{k-1}(t))dt\right), k \ge 1.$$

Assuming  $u^k = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n^k$  , we derive the following SBA algorithm:

(3.6) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^k = \theta^k + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 K(x,t)N(u^{k-1}(t))dt\right) \\ u_{n+1}^k = \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 K(x,t)L(u^k(t))dt\right) \end{cases}, k \ge 1, n \ge 0.$$

Explicitly, the development of the 3.6 algorithm consists in first calculating the terms of the sequence  $(u_n^k)_n$  for  $k \ge 1$  fixed, and deducing  $u^k$  if the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n^k$  converges.

So for the first iteration, k=1, we choose  $u^0$  such that  $Nu^0=0$ , calculate the terms  $u_0^1,u_1^1,u_2^1,u_3^1...u_n^1$  of the sequence  $(u_n^1)_n$  and deduce

(3.7) 
$$u^1 = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n^1;$$

Then we evaluate  $Nu^1$ . If  $Nu^1=0$  then  $u^1$  is the general solution of the problem. Otherwise, we can:

- . calculate  $u^2, u^3, u^4, ..., u^k$  and deduce  $\lim_{k \longrightarrow +\infty} u^k$ ;
- if possible, replace the initial problem by an equivalent transformation, with  $\overline{N}$  the new nonlinear term, so that by repeating the algorithm we can obtain  $\overline{N}u^1=0$ .

## 3.2. Convergence of the SBA method. By posing

(3.8) 
$$\begin{cases} L = {}^{c} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(.); L^{-1}(.) = \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(.); p^{k} = \theta^{k} + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)); \\ Ru_{n}^{k} = \int_{0}^{1} K(x, t) L(u_{n}^{k}(t)) dt \\ Nu^{k-1} = \int_{0}^{1} K(x, t) N(u^{k-1}(t)) dt \end{cases}$$

the algorithm **SBA** defined by (3.6) is written:

(3.9) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^k = p^k + L^{-1}Nu^{k-1} \\ u_{n+1}^k = L^{-1}Ru_n^k \end{cases}, k \ge 1, n \ge 0;$$

with the assumption that the operator  $L^{-1}R$  is contracting. For convergence, we need to show that:

- (1) if  $L^{-1}R$  is contracting then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n^1$  converges;
- (2) if  $Nu^1 = 0$  then the solution of the problem is  $u^1$ .

Let's show that if  $L^{-1}R$  is contracting, then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n^1$  converges.

For k=1 and for a good choice of  $u^0$  such that  $Nu^0=0$  and assuming  $\Psi=-L^{-1}R$ , the

algorithm (3.9) is written:

(3.10) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^1 = p^1 \\ u_{n+1}^1 = \Psi(u_n^1) \end{cases} \quad or \quad \begin{cases} u_0^1 = p^1 \\ u_1^1 = \Psi(u_0^1) \\ \vdots \\ u_{n+1}^1 = \Psi(u_n^1) \end{cases}$$

We can see that (3.10) can be reduced to the search for a sequence  $(S_n)$  defined by

(3.11) 
$$\begin{cases} S_0 = u_0^1 \\ S_n = u_0^1 + u_1^1 + u_2^1 + u_3^1 + \dots + u_n^1 \end{cases}$$

and verifying the recurrence relation:

(3.12) 
$$\begin{cases} S_0 = u_0^1 \\ S_{n+1} = \Psi(S_n), n \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** If the operator  $\Psi$  is contracting (i.e. its norm verifies  $||\Psi|| < \lambda < 1$ ) then the sequence  $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying the recurrence relation

$$\begin{cases} S_0 = u_0^1 \\ S_{n+1} = \Psi(S_n), n \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

converges to S where S is the solution of the equation  $S = \Psi(S)$  and the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n^1$  is convergent.

Proof: The proof of this theorem as well as the demonstration of the second part of convergence can be found in our previous article [11].

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

## Example 4.1.

(4.1) 
$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}u(x) = g(x) + \int_{0}^{1} xtNu(t)dt \\ u(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x\geq 0; 0<\alpha\leq 1; u\in C^1([0;T]); {^c\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}}(.)$  the derivative in Caputo's sense;  $\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(.)$  the integral in Riemann-Liouville's sense,  $g(x)=\frac{6x^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}-\frac{1}{8}x; Nu(x)=u^2(x).$ 

From the above description, we derive the following SBA algorithm:

(4.2) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^k = u^k(0, x) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xt N u^{k-1} dt\right) \\ u_{n+1}^k = 0 \end{cases}, k \ge 1, n \ge 0.$$

## Calculation of $u^k$

For k = 1, (4.2) becomes:

(4.3) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^1 = \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xt Nu^0 dt\right) \\ u_{n+1}^1 = 0 \end{cases}, n \ge 0.$$

Let's take  $u^0$  such that  $\overline{N}u^0=0$  and expand (4.3). We obtain:

(4.4) 
$$u^{1} = x^{3} + \psi_{1} x^{\alpha+1}, \quad with \ \psi_{1} = -\frac{1}{8\Gamma(\alpha+2)}.$$

For k = 2, we have

$$u^{2} = x^{3} + \psi_{1}(1 - 8\delta_{1})x^{\alpha+1}$$
$$= x^{3} + \psi_{2}x^{\alpha+1},$$

with 
$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{2\psi_1}{\alpha + 6} + \frac{\psi_1^2}{2\alpha + 4}$$
 and  $\psi_2 = \psi_1(1 - 8\delta_1)$ .

For k = 3, we have

$$u^{3} = x^{3} + \psi_{1}(1 - 8\delta_{2})x^{\alpha+1}$$
$$= x^{3} + \psi_{2}x^{\alpha+1}$$

with 
$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{2\psi_2}{\alpha + 6} + \frac{\psi_2^2}{2\alpha + 4}$$
 and  $\psi_3 = \psi_1(1 - 8\delta_2)$ .

$$(4.5) u^k = x^3 + \psi_k x^{\alpha+1}.$$

where

(4.6) 
$$\begin{cases} \psi_k = \psi_1 (1 - 8\rho_{k-1}) \\ \delta_{k-1} = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{2\psi_{k-1}}{\alpha + 6} + \frac{\psi_{k-1}^2}{2\alpha + 4} \\ \delta_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

By doing a formal calculation on matlab we find that  $\psi_k$  tends to 0 when k tends to  $+\infty$ .

$\alpha$	0.75	0.5	0.25
$\psi_1$	-0.0777	-0.0940	-0.1103
$\psi_2$	-0.0136	-0.0204	-0.0288
$\psi_3$	-0.0025	-0.0047	-0.0080
$\psi_4$	-0.0004	-0.0011	-0.0022
$\psi_5$	-0.0000	-0.0002	-0.0006
$\psi_6$	-0.0000	-0.0000	-0.0001
:	:	:	:
$\psi_{10}$	0	0	0

Therefore, the exact solution of the problem is:

$$(4.7) u = x^3.$$

# Example 4.2.

(4.8) 
$$\begin{cases} {}^c\mathcal{D}^\alpha u(x) = g(x) - \int_0^1 xt u(t) dt + \int_0^1 xt N u(t) dt \\ u(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x\geq 0; 0<\alpha\leq 1; u\in C^1([0;T]); {^c\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}}(.)$  the derivative in Caputo's sense;  $\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(.)$  the integral in Riemann-Liouville's sense,  $g(x)=\frac{2x^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{12}x; Nu(x)=u^2(x).$ 

From the approach described above, we derive the following SBA algorithm:

(4.9) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^k = u^k(0) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xtNu^{k-1}(t)dt\right) \\ u_{n+1}^k = -\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xtu^k(t)dt\right) \end{cases}, k \ge 1, n \ge 0.$$

# Calculation of $u^k$

For k = 1, (4.9) becomes:

(4.10) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^1 = u^1(0, x) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xt N u^0(t) dt\right) \\ u_{n+1}^1 = -\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xt u^1(t) dt\right) \end{cases}, n \ge 0$$

Let's take  $u^0$  such that  $\overline{N}u^0=0$  and expand (4.10). We obtain:

(4.11) 
$$\begin{cases} u_0^1 = x^2 + Kx^{\alpha+1} \\ u_1^1 = -12K \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K}{\alpha+3}\right) x^{\alpha+1} \\ u_2^1 = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K}{\alpha+3}\right) \frac{(12K)^2}{\alpha+3} x^{\alpha+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_n^1 = -12K \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K}{\alpha+3}\right) \left(\frac{-12K}{\alpha+3}\right)^{n-1} x^{\alpha+1}, n \ge 1; \end{cases}$$

with 
$$K = \frac{1}{12\Gamma(\alpha+2)}$$
.

Then posing 
$$M=-12K\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{K}{\alpha+3}\right)$$
 and  $\lambda=-\frac{12K}{\alpha+3}$  , we deduce

$$u^{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_{n}^{1}$$

$$= x^{2} + Kx^{\alpha+1} - Mx^{\alpha+1} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left(\frac{1 - (\lambda)^{n}}{1 - \lambda}\right)$$

$$= x^{2} + \left(K - \frac{M}{1 - \lambda}\right)x^{\alpha+1}$$

$$= x^{2} + \varphi_{1}x^{\alpha+1}$$

with 
$$\varphi_1 = K - \frac{M}{1 - \lambda}$$
  
For  $k = 2$ , we have:

$$u_0^2 = \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(g(x)) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 xtNu^1(t)dt\right)$$

$$= x^2 + Kx^{\alpha+1} + 12K\left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\varphi_1}{\alpha+5} + \frac{\varphi_1^2}{2\alpha+4}\right)x^{\alpha+1}$$

$$= x^2 + K(1+12E_1)x^{\alpha+1}$$

by posing 
$$E_1 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\varphi_1}{\alpha + 5} + \frac{\varphi_1^2}{2\alpha + 4}$$

$$\begin{cases}
 u_0^2 = x^2 + K(1 + 12E_1)x^{\alpha+1} \\
 u_1^2 = -12K\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_1)}{\alpha + 3}\right)x^{\alpha+1} \\
 u_2^2 = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_1)}{\alpha + 3}\right)\frac{(12K)^2}{\alpha + 3}x^{\alpha+1} \\
 \vdots \\
 u_n^2 = -12K\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_1)}{\alpha + 3}\right)\left(\frac{-12K}{\alpha + 3}\right)^{n-1}x^{\alpha+1}, n \ge 1
\end{cases}$$

$$u^{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_{n}^{2}$$

$$= x^{2} + \left(K(1+12E_{1}) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1+12E_{1})}{\alpha+3}\right) \frac{12K}{1-\lambda}\right) x^{\alpha+1}$$

$$= x^{2} + \varphi_{2}x^{\alpha+1}$$

by posing 
$$\varphi_2=K(1+12E_1)-\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{K(1+12E_1)}{\alpha+3}\right)\frac{12K}{1-\lambda}.$$

For k = 3, we have

$$\begin{cases}
 u_0^3 = x^2 + K(1 + 12E_2)x^{\alpha+1} \\
 u_1^3 = -12K\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_2)}{\alpha + 3}\right)x^{\alpha+1} \\
 u_2^3 = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_2)}{\alpha + 3}\right)\frac{(12K)^2}{\alpha + 3}x^{\alpha+1} \\
 \vdots \\
 u_n^3 = -12K\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_2)}{\alpha + 3}\right)\left(\frac{-12K}{\alpha + 3}\right)^{n-1}x^{\alpha+1}, n \ge 1
\end{cases}$$

by posing 
$$E_2=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{2\varphi_2}{\alpha+5}+\frac{\varphi_2^2}{2\alpha+4}$$
 The result is

 $u^{3} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_{n}^{3}$   $= x^{2} + \left(K(1+12E_{2}) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1+12E_{2})}{\alpha+3}\right) \frac{12K}{1-\lambda}\right) x^{\alpha+1}$ 

by posing 
$$\varphi_3 = K(1+12E_2) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1+12E_2)}{\alpha+3}\right) \frac{12K}{1-\lambda}$$
.

Recursively, we find

$$(4.14) u^k = x^2 + \varphi_k x^{\alpha + 1}$$

where

(4.15) 
$$\begin{cases} \varphi_k = K(1 + 12E_{k-1}) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K(1 + 12E_{k-1})}{\alpha + 3}\right) \frac{12K}{1 - \lambda}, k \ge 1 \\ E_k = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\varphi_k}{\alpha + 5} + \frac{\varphi_k^2}{2\alpha + 4} \\ E_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

with

(4.16) 
$$\begin{cases} K = \frac{1}{12\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \\ \lambda = -\frac{12K}{\alpha+3} \\ M = -12K\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{K}{\alpha+3}\right) \end{cases}$$

By doing a formal calculation on matlab one finds that  $\varphi_k$  tends to 0 when k tends to  $+\infty$ .

$\alpha$	0.75	0.5	0.25
$\varphi_1$	0.1925	0.2286	O.2628
$\varphi_2$	0.0393	0.0579	0.0801
$\varphi_3$	0.0074	0.0135	0.0222
$\varphi_4$	0.0014	0.0031	0.0059
$\varphi_5$	$2.10^{-4}$	$6.10^{-4}$	0.0016
:	:	÷	÷
$\varphi_{10}$	$5.10^{-8}$	$3.10^{-8}$	$2.10^{-6}$

Therefore, the exact solution of the problem is:

$$(4.17) u = x^2.$$

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an improved version of the SOME BLAISE ABBO (SBA) method is presented for finding exact solutions of fractional Fredholm integro-differential equations in the Caputo sense. This technique is used to overcome the difficulties associated with computing Adomian polynomials. Compared with existing classical numerical methods, it is easy to see that this approach is simple, easy to understand and fast, requiring far fewer calculations to find the exact solution to the problem, where it exists. Numerical results obtained with the technique confirm its ease, accuracy and efficiency.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. ARIKOGLU and I. OZKOL, Solution of fractional integro-differential equations by using fractional differential transform method, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp.521-529, (2009).
- [2] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA and J. J. TRUJILLO, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, **Vol. 204**, *Elsevier Science Limited, Amsterdam, Netherlands*, (2006).
- [3] A. NDIAYE and F. MANSAL, Existence and uniqueness results of Volterra-Fredholm integro-Differential equations via Caputo fractional derivative. *Hindawi, Journal of Mathematics* **Volume 2021**, Article ID 5623388, https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5623388.
- [4] A. SAADATMANDI and M. DEHGHAN, A legendre collocation method for fractional integro-differential equations, *Journal of Vibration and Control*, vol. 17, no. 13, pp. 2050-2058, (2011).

- [5] B.ABBO, O. SO, G. BARRO and B. SOME, A new numerical algorithm for solving nonlinear partial differential equations with initial and boundary conditions. *Far East J. Appl. Math.* **28** (1) (2007), pp.37-52.
- [6] B.SOME, Méthode SBA de résolution des modèles mathématiques en environnement. Éditions Universitaires Européennes, (2018).
- [7] B.ABBO, Nouvel algorithme numérique de résolution des équations différentielles ordinaires (EDO) et des équations aux dérivées partielles (EDP) non linéaires. Thèse de Doctorat unique. Université de Ouagadougou, (2007), UFR/ SEA, Département Mathématique et Informatique (Burkina Faso)
- [8] D. MBAINGUESSÉ, B. ABBO and Y. PARÉ, Solving nonlinear fractional integro-differential equation of Fredholm second kind by an approximation technique of Laguerre polynomials and Newton iteration method, *Universal Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* **19(1)** (2023), pp.1-19. http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/2277141723014, Published Online: April 15, 2023
- [9] F. JARAD, T. ABDELJAWAD and D. BALEANU, Caputo-type modification of the Hadamard fractional derivatives. *Advances in Difference Equations*. (2012). DOI:10.1186/1687-1847-2012-142.
- [10] G.KABORE, W.SOME, M.KÉRÉ, O.SO, B.SOME, Solving some fractional ordinary differential equations by SBA method. *Journal of Mathematics Research*, Vol. 15, No. 1, (2023). doi:10.5539/jmr.v15n1p47
- [11] G. KABORE, M.KÉRÉ, , W. SOME, Ousséni SO and B. SOME, Solving some fractional equations, in the sense of Riemann-Liouville, of Navier-Stokes by the numerical method SBA plus, *International Journal of Numerical Methods and Applications* **23(2)** (2023),pp. 209-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/0975045223012.
- [12] E. A. RASWASHDEH, Numerical solution of fractional integro-differential equations by collocation method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 176, no. 1, pp. 1-6, (2006)
- [13] J.X WANG, KAMRAN, A. JAMAL and X. LI, Numerical solution of fractional-order Fredholm integrodifferential equation in the sense of Atangana-Baleanu derivative. *Hindawi Mathematical Problems in Engineering* Volume 2021, Article ID 6662808, 8 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6662808.
- [14] J.A. NANWARE, P. M. GOUD, T.L. HOLAMBE, Nnumerical solution of fractional integrodifferential equations using hermite polynomials. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 11, No. 6, (2021) pp. 8448-8457 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/6633.
- [15] H. TAREK, Analyse du chaos dans un système d'équations différentielles fractionnaires. Thèse soutenue le (30/09/2014). Université Constantine 1.
- [16] O. A. ARGUB and B. MAAYAH, Numerical solutions of integrodifferential equations of Fredholm operator type in the sense of the Atangana-Baleanu fractional operator, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 117 2018, pp. 117-124.
- [17] P. THIRAMANUS, S.K. NTOUYAS and J. TARIBOOM Existence and uniqueness results for Hadamard-type fractional differential equations with nonlocal fractional integral boundary conditions. *Hindawi, Abstract and Applied Analysis* **Volume 2014**, Article ID 902054, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/902054
- [18] R. P. AGARWAL, B. de ANDRADE, and G. SIRACUSA, On fractional integro-differential equations with state-dependent delay, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3 2011, pp. 1143-1149.

- [19] S. ALKAN and V. F. HATIPOGLU, Approximate solutions of Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations of fractional order, *Tbilisi Mathematical Journal*, vol. 10, no. 2 2017, pp. 1-13.
- [20] S. MASHAYEKHI and M. RAZZAGHI, Numerical solution of nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations by hybrid functions, *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, **vol. 56** 2015, pp. 81-89.
- [21] S. MOMANI and M. A.NOOR, Numerical methods for fourth-order fractional integro-differential equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 182, no. 1 2006, pp. 754-760.
- [22] S.N.RAO, A.H. MSMALI, M.SINGH and A.A.H.AHMADINI, Existence and uniqueness for a system of Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential equations with multipoint boundary conditions. *Hindawi Journal of Function Spaces* **Volume 2020**, Article ID 8821471, https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8821471.
- [23] S. ZHAO, S. I. BUTT, W. NAZEER, J. NASIR, M. UMAR, and Y. LIU, Some Hermite-Jensen-mercer type inequalities for k-caputo-fractional derivatives and related results, *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2020, no. 1 2020, pp. 1-17.
- [24] V. E.TARASOV, Fractional integro-differential equations for electromagnetic waves in dielectric media, *Deoretical and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 158, no. 3 2009, pp. 355-359.
- [25] X. MA and C.HUANG, Numerical solution of fractional integro-differential equations by a hybrid collocation method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 219, no. 12 2013, pp. 6750-6760.
- [26] Y.NAWAZ, Variational iteration method and homotopy perturbation method for fourth-order fractional integro-differential equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **vol. 61**, no. 8 2011, pp. 2330-2341.
- [27] Z.LAOUAR, N. ARAR and A.B.MAKHLOUF, Theoretical and numerical study for Volterra-Fredholm fractional integro-differential equations based on Chebyshev polynomials of the third kind. *Hindawi Complexity* Volume 2023, Article ID 6401067, https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6401067.