

# HANKEL FUNCTIONAL CONNECTED TO LEMNISCATE OF BERNOULLI

### K. RAMANUJA RAO, RAJNESH LAL AND KAUSHAL SINGH

Received 9 January, 2021; accepted 28 June, 2021; published 6 August, 2021.

FIJI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, P.O. BOX 5529, LAUTOKA,

FIJI. ramanuja.kotti@fnu.ac.fj rajnesh.lal@fnu.ac.fj kaushal.singh@fnu.ac.fj

ABSTRACT. The aim of present paper is to derive a higher bound (HB) of  $3^{rd}$  order Hankel determinant for a collection of holomorphic mappings connected with exactly to the right side of the lemniscate of Bernoulli, whose polar coordinates form is  $r^2 = 2\cos^2(2\theta)$ . The method carried in this paper is more refined than the method adopted by the authors (see [1]), who worked on this problem earlier.

Key words and phrases: Analytic function; Starlike function; Subordination; Lemniscate of Bernoulli, Hankel determinant.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45. Secondary 30C50.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

<sup>© 2021</sup> Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

#### 1. ORIGINATION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  represent a collection of entire holomorphic mappings f, namely

(1.1) 
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{i \ge 2} a_i z^i$$

in the unit disc  $\mathcal{U}_d = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$  whose subfamily is denoted by S, possessing functions which are univalent. A correspondence  $t_1$ , which is regular is subordinate to another regular correspondence  $t_2$ , expressed as  $t_1 \prec t_2$ , if and only if there occurs a Schwarz's function  $\nu$ , analytic in  $\mathcal{U}_d$  satisfying the properties  $\nu(0) = 0$  and  $|\nu(z)| < 1$  with  $t_1(z) = t_2(\nu(z))$ . In specific, if  $t_2$  is one-to-one (univalent) in  $\mathcal{U}_d$  then  $t_1(0) = t_2(0)$  and  $t_1(\mathcal{U}_d) \subset t_2(\mathcal{U}_d)$ .

The  $q^{th}$  order Hankel functional for the regular mapping f, was defined by Pommerenke [2], which has been investigated by many authors, as follows.

(1.2) 
$$H_{q,t}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_t & a_{t+1} & \dots & a_{t+q-1} \\ a_{t+1} & a_{t+2} & \dots & a_{t+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{t+q-1} & a_{t+q} & \dots & a_{t+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

Here  $a_1 = 1$ , q and t are positive integers.

In recent years, the research has concentrated on the estimation of  $H_{2,2}(f)$ , known as the second Hankel functional obtained for q = 2 = t in (1.2), given by

$$H_{2,2}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2.$$

Many authors obtained results associated with estimation of HB of the functional  $H_{2,2}(f)$  for dissimilar sub-collections of univalent and multivalent holomorphic mappings. For instance q = 3 seems to be more tough than for q = 2. A small number of papers have been devoted for the study of  $3^{rd}$  order Hankel determinant denoted by  $H_{3,1}(f)$ , obtained for q = 3 and t = 1 in (1.2), gives

(1.3) 
$$H_{3,1}(f) = a_5(a_3 - a_2^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2).$$

The concept of estimation of an upper bound for  $H_{3,1}(f)$  was firstly introduced and studied by Babalola [3], who tried to estimate for this functional to the classes  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $S^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ , obtained as follows:

(i)  $f \in S^* \Rightarrow |H_{3,1}(f)| \le 16$ (ii)  $f \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow |H_{3,1}(f)| \le 0.714$ (iii)  $f \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow |H_{3,1}(f)| \le 0.742$ 

By the motivation of the results derived by many authors who are working in this direction (see [4, 5, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]), in this paper, we are making an attempt to adopt more concise method than the procedure used by the authors Raza and Malik [1], who worked on this problem earlier in obtaining HB to the functional  $H_{3,1}(f)$  for the member f belonging

**Definition 1.1.** A member f of A is in the family  $SL^*$ , consisting of regular mappings connected to leminscate of Bernoulli

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \prec \{1+z\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = q(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}_d.$$

to the following class.

In proving our result, we require a few sharp estimates in the form of lemmas valid for mappings possessing +ve real part.

Let  $\overline{\omega}$  indicate the family of members consisting of g, where g is the Caratheodòry function [14], of the form

(1.4) 
$$g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots,$$

which are regular with Re g(z) > 0 in  $\mathcal{U}_d$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** ([16]) If  $g \in \overline{\omega}$ , then the inequality  $|c_n - \eta c_k c_{n-k}| \leq 2$ , holds for the positive integers n, k, with n > k and  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** ([17]) If  $g \in \overline{\omega}$ , then the inequality  $|c_n - c_k c_{n-k}| \leq 2$ , satisfies for the natural numbers n, k, with n > k

**Lemma 1.4.** ([18]) If  $g \in \overline{\omega}$  then  $|c_{\sigma}| \leq 2$ , for each  $\sigma \geq 1$  and the external function is  $g(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in \mathcal{U}_d$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** ([19]) If  $f \in SL^*$ , then  $|a_5| \leq \frac{1}{8}$  and this inequality becomes equality for the function  $z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j} z^j$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** ([20]) If  $g \in \overline{\omega}$ , then  $|c_3 - 2c_1c_2 + c_1^3| \le 2$ .

In order to obtain our result, we referred to the sophisticated procedure prepared by Libera and Zlotkiewicz [21], widely performed by a large number of authors.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $f \in SL^*$  given in (1.1) then  $|H_{3,1}(f)| \le \frac{43}{576}$ .

*Proof.* For the function  $f \in SL^*$ , from its Definition given in 1.1,

(2.1) 
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z) = \{1+z\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the principle of subordination, there occurs a Schwarz's function  $\nu(z)$  with  $|\nu(z)| < 1$ 

(2.2) 
$$\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right] = [q\{\nu(z)\}], \ z \in \mathcal{U}_d.$$

Construct a mapping

(2.3) 
$$\nu(z) = \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \Leftrightarrow g(z) = \frac{1 + \nu(z)}{1 - \nu(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

From (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

(2.4) 
$$\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} = \left[q\left\{\nu(z)\right\}\right] = \left\{1 + \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{\frac{2g(z)}{g(z) + 1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Considering the expressions for f, f' and g in (2.4), which simplifies to

$$1 + a_{2}z + \left[2a_{3} - a_{2}^{2}\right]z^{2} + \left[3a_{4} - 3a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{3}\right]z^{3} \\ + \left[4a_{5} - 4a_{2}a_{4} - 2a_{3}^{2} + 4a_{3}a_{2}^{2} - a_{2}^{4}\right]z^{4} + \dots \\ = 1 + \frac{1}{4}c_{1}z + \left[\frac{1}{4}c_{2} - \frac{5}{32}c_{1}^{2}\right]z^{2} + \left[\frac{1}{4}c_{3} - \frac{5}{16}c_{1}c_{2} + \frac{13}{128}c_{1}^{3}\right]z^{3} \\ + \left[\frac{1}{4}c_{4} - \frac{5}{32}c_{2}^{2} - \frac{5}{16}c_{1}c_{3} + \frac{39}{128}c_{1}^{2}c_{2} - \frac{141}{2048}c_{1}^{4}\right]z^{4} + \dots$$

$$(2.5)$$

Equating the coefficients of z,  $z^2$ ,  $z^3$  and  $z^4$  in (2.5), after simplifying, we obtain

(2.6) 
$$a_{2} = \frac{c_{1}}{4}; \ a_{3} = \frac{1}{8} \left( c_{2} - \frac{3}{8}c_{1}^{2} \right); \ a_{4} = \frac{1}{48} \left( 4c_{3} - \frac{7}{2}c_{1}c_{2} + \frac{13}{16}c_{1}^{3} \right); \\ a_{5} = \frac{1}{96} \left( 6c_{4} - 3c_{2}^{2} - \frac{11}{2}c_{1}c_{3} + \frac{17}{4}c_{1}^{2}c_{2} - \frac{49}{64}c_{1}^{4} \right).$$

Putting the values namely  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$  and  $a_5$  from (2.6) in the functional given in (1.3), it simplifies to

(2.7) 
$$H_{3,1}(f) = a_5 \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( c_2 - \frac{7}{8} c_1^2 \right) \right] - a_4 \left[ \frac{1}{384} \left( 32c_3 - 40c_1c_2 + c_1^3 \right) \right] + a_3 \left[ \frac{1}{12288} \left( 256c_1c_3 - 192c_2^2 - 80c_1^2c_2 + 25c_1^4 \right) \right].$$

Grouping the terms in the above expression, we have

$$H_{3,1}(f) = a_5 \frac{1}{8} \left[ \left( c_2 - \frac{7}{8} c_1^2 \right) \right] - a_4 \frac{1}{384} \left[ 11 \left( c_3 - 2c_1c_2 + c_1^3 \right) + 18 \left( c_3 - c_1c_2 \right) + 3c_3 \right] + a_3 \frac{1}{12288} \left[ 256c_1 \left( c_3 - \frac{192}{256} c_2^2 \right) - 80c_1^2 \left( c_2 - \frac{25}{80} c_1^2 \right) \right].$$

Applying the triangle inequality in the expression (2.8), we obtain

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq \frac{|a_5|}{8} \left[ \left| c_2 - \frac{7}{8}c_1^2 \right| \right] + \frac{|a_4|}{384} \left[ 11 \left| c_3 - 2c_1c_2 + c_1^3 \right| + 18 \left| c_3 - c_1c_2 \right| + 3 \left| c_3 \right| \right] + \frac{|a_3|}{12288} \left[ 256 \left| c_1 \right| \left| c_3 - \frac{192}{256}c_2^2 \right| + 80 \left| c_1^2 \right| \left| c_2 - \frac{25}{80}c_1^2 \right| \right].$$

Using the lemmas 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 in the above inequality, it simplifies to

(2.10) 
$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \le \left[ \left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \right] = \frac{43}{576}.$$

Remark 2.2. The above result coincides with that of Raza and Malik [1].

### 3. CONCLUSION

A higher bound of  $3^{rd}$  order Hankel determinant for a collection of holomorphic mappings connected with exactly to the right side of the lemniscate of Bernoulli, whose polar coordinates form is  $r^2 = 2\cos^2(2\theta)$  has been estimated. The result,  $|H_{3,1}(f)| \leq \frac{43}{576}$ , coincides with the previous study by Raza and Malik [1].

#### REFERENCES

- M. RAZA and S. N. MALIK, Upper bound of the third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of Bernoulli, *Journal of inequalities and Applications*, 1 (2013), pp. 1–8.
- [2] C. POMMERENKE, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **1** (1966), pp. 111–122.
- [3] K. O. BABALOLA, On *H*\_3(1) Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions, *Inequality Theory and Applications*, **6** (2010), pp. 1–7.

- [4] R. M. ALI, N. E. CHO, V. RAVICHANDRAN and S. S. KUMAR, Differential subordination for functions associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 16(3) (2012), pp. 1017–1026.
- [5] M. ARIF, L. RANI, M. RAZA and P. ZAPRAWA, Fourth Hankel determinant for the family of functions with bounded turning, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 55(6) (2018), pp. 1703–1711.
- [6] D. BANSAL, S. MAHARANA and J. K. PRAJAPAT, Third order Hankel determinant for certain univalent functions, J. Korean Math. Soc, 52(6) (2015), pp. 1139–1148.
- [7] N. E. CHO, B. KOWALCZYK, O. S. KWON, A. LECKO and Y. J. SIM, The bounds of some determinants for starlike functions of order alpha, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 41(1) (2018), pp. 523–535.
- [8] B. KOWALCZYK, A. LECKO and Y. J. SIM, The sharp bound for the Hankel determinant of the third kind for convex functions, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 97(3) (2018), pp. 435–445.
- [9] A. LECKO, Y. J. SIM and B. ŚMIAROWSKA, The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions of order 1/2, *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 13(5) (2019), pp. 2231–2238.
- [10] H. ORHAN and P. ZAPRAWA, Third Hankel determinants for starlike and convex functions of order alpha, *Korean Math. Soc*, **55**(1) (2018), pp. 165–173.
- [11] T. V. SUDHARSANA, S. P. VIJAYALAKSHMIB and B. A. STEPHENC, Third Hankel determinant for a subclass of analytic univalent functions, *Malaya Journal of Matematik (MJM)*, 2(4) (2014), pp. 438–444.
- [12] D. V. KRISHNA and D. SHALINI, Bound on  $H_3(1)$  Hankel determinant for pre-starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, **37(2)** (2018), pp. 305–315.
- [13] P. ZAPRAWA, Third Hankel determinants for subclasses of univalent functions, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1) (2017), pp. 1–10.
- [14] P. L. DUREN, Univalent functions, Springer Science & Business Media, 259 (2001).
- [15] J. SOKOL, Coefficient estimates in a class of strongly starlike functions, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **49(2)** (2009), pp. 349–353.
- [16] T. HAYAMI and S. OWA, Generalized Hankel determinant for certain classes, *Int. J. Math. Anal*, 55(49-52) (2010), pp. 2573–2585.
- [17] A. E. LIVINGSTON, The coefficients of multivalent close-to-convex functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **21(3)** (1969), pp. 545–552.
- [18] C. POMMERENKE, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, (1975).
- [19] V. RAVICHANDRAN and S. VERMA, Bound for the fifth coefficient of certain starlike functions, *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 353(6) (2015), pp. 505–510.
- [20] J. SOKOL and D. K. THOMAS, Further results on a class of starlike functions related to the Bernoulli lemniscate, *Houston J. Math*, 44 (2018), pp. 83–95.
- [21] R. J. LIBERA and E. J. ZłOTKIEWICZ, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in *P*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 87(2) (1983), pp. 251–257.