

AN EFFICIENT MODIFICATION OF DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD FOR SOLVING INTEGRAL AND INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

S.AL-AHMAD, IBRAHIM MOHAMMED SULAIMAN*, AND M. MAMAT

Received 6 February, 2020; accepted 18 July, 2020; published 19 August, 2020.

FACULTY OF INFORMATICS AND COMPUTING, UNIVERSITI SULTAN ZAINAL ABIDIN, TERENGGANU, BESUT CAMPUS, 22200, MALAYSIA.

Alahmad.shadi@yahoo.com *sulaimanib@unisza.edu.my must@unisza.edu.my

ABSTRACT. In this paper, classes of integral and integro-differential equations are solved using a modified differential transform method. This proposed technique is based on differential transform method (DTM), Laplace transform (LT) procedure and Padé approximants (PA). The proposed method which gives a good approximation for the true solution in a large region is referred to modified differential transform method (MDTM). An algorithm was developed to illustrate the flow of the proposed method. Some numerical problems are presented to check the applicability of the proposed scheme and the obtained results from the computations are compared with other existing methods to illustrates its efficiency. Numerical results have shown that the proposed MDTM method is promising compared to other existing methods for solving integral and integro-differential equations.

Key words and phrases: Differential transform method; Numerical approximation; Integral equation; Integro-differential equations; Volterra integral equation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34A45, 44A10. Secondary 65L99.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

^{© 2020} Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many mathematical formulations of physical phenomena that are modeled under the differential senses usually produce an integro-differential equation (IDE), a differential equation (DE), or likely give an integral equation (IE). However, systems of integral and integro-differential equations have motivated numerous research in recent years. The integro-differential equation most often contain the other two equations. Given the importance of the integra and integrodifferential equations, many studies have been done to find new approximation schemes to these equations or improve the existing methods for their solutions.

Consider the function u(x), let f(x) be known, and K(x,t) be the integral kernel. Then, the equation of Volterra integral (VIE) of the first kind is defined as:

(1.1)
$$u(x) = \int_{a}^{x} K(x,t)u(t)dt$$

while the VIE of the second kind is given as:

(1.2)
$$u(x) = f(x) + \int_{a}^{x} K(x,t)u(t)dt$$

Solving the Integro-differential equations by analytical procedures are usually difficult. This and more drawbacks lead to numerous research on efficient approximate solution methods [1]. The DMT for obtaining the solutions of differential equations was introduced by Zhou [2]. This method is an iterative scheme for solving the Taylor series of DE.The DMT method has also been applied to solve initial value and boundary value problems using the concept of Taylor series [1, 3, 4].

The solutions of these problems are usually in series form. The DTM derives an analytical solution in form of a polynomial which are sufficiently differentiable for approximation to exact solutions. However, this method has some setbacks. The DTM gives a truncated series solution which is an accurate approximation of exact solution in a very small region [5, 6, 7].

To improve the precision of differential transform method, we proposed an alternative solution scheme that would modify the series solution for classes integral and integro-differential equations as follows: we begin by applying the Laplace transformation approach to DTM obtained truncated series and use Padé approximants to convert the transformed series into a meromorphic function and further applying the inverse Laplace transform to obtain the desired analytical solution. This would be a better approximation or periodic solution compare to truncated series solution of DTM.

The remaining part of the paper is structured as follow: Section 2 discusses brief overview and preliminary results of DTM, Padé approximants and Laplace transform. Three problems each for both integral and integro-differential equations are presented to illustrates the efficiency and simplicity of the method in section 3. In Section 4, we present the conclusion and discussion for further reference.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section presents some definitions of DTM and Padé approximants.

2.1. Differential Transform Method.

Definition 2.1. [8, 9]

Suppose the function f(x) is analytical at x_0 in domain of interest (DOI), then

(2.1)
$$F(k) = \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!}$$

The inverse differential transform of F(k) is

(2.2)
$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F(k)(x - x_0)^k.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we have

(2.3)
$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k.$$

Let U(k), G(k) and H(k) be the differential transforms (DT) of u(x), g(x) and h(x) respectively at $x_0 = 0$. The key operations of the DTM is presented in Table 1.

Original function	Transformed function
u(x) = g(x) + h(x)	U(k) = G(k) + H(k)
u(x) = cg(x)	U(k) = cG(k)
$u(x) = \frac{d^n g(x)}{dx^n}$	$U(k) = \frac{(k+n)!}{k!}G(k+n)$
u(x) = g(x)h(x)	$U(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} G(i)H(k-i)$
$u(x) = x^n$	$U(k) = \delta(k - n)$
$u(x) = \exp(cx)$	$U(k) = \frac{c^k}{k!}$
$u(x) = \cos(\omega x)$	$U(k) = \frac{\omega^k}{k!} \cos(\frac{k\pi}{2})$
$u(x) = \sin(\omega x)$	$U(k) = \frac{\omega^k}{k!} \sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})$

Table 2.1: Key operations of the Differential Transform

Theorem 2.1. [8]

If $f(y) = y^m$, then

$$F(k) = \begin{cases} (Y(0))^m, & k = 0;\\ \frac{1}{Y(0)} \sum_{r=1}^k (\frac{(m+1)r-k}{k}) Y(r) F(k-r), & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the definition (2.1)

(2.4)
$$F(0) = [y^m(x)]_{x=0} = y^m(0) = (Y(0)^m)^m$$

Differentiating $f(y) = y^m$ with respect to x, we get; $\frac{df(y)}{dx} = my^{m-1}(x)\frac{dy(x)}{dx}$ or equivalently,

(2.5)
$$y(x)\frac{df(y(x))}{dx} = mf(y(x))\frac{dy(x)}{dx}$$

By applying the DT to Eq.(2.5) gives:

(2.6)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{k} Y(r)(k-r+1)F(k-r+1) = m \sum_{r=0}^{k} (r+1)Y(r+1)F(k-r)$$

From Eq.(2.6),

$$(k+1)Y(0)F(k+1) = m\sum_{r=0}^{k} (r+1)Y(r+1)F(k-r) - \sum_{r=1}^{k} Y(r)(k-r+1)F(k-r+1).$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} &(k+1)Y(0)F(k+1) = m\sum_{r=1}^{k+1} rY(r)F(k-r+1) - \sum_{r=1}^{k} Y(r)(k-r+1)F(k-r+1).\\ &\text{Thus,}\\ &(k+1)Y(0)F(k+1) = \sum_{r=1}^{k+1} \{(m+1)r - k - 1\}Y(r)F(k-r+1). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing k + 1 by k yields:

(2.7)
$$kY(0)F(k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \{(m+1)r - k\}Y(r)F(k-r)$$

From Eq. (2.7), if follows that:

(2.8)
$$F(k) = \frac{1}{Y(0)} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \left(\frac{(m+1)r - k}{k}\right) Y(r) F(k-r)$$

Combining Eqs.(2.4) and (2.8), we obtain the transformed function of $f(y) = y^m$ as:

$$F(k) = \begin{cases} (Y(0))^m, & k = 0;\\ \frac{1}{Y(0)} \sum_{r=1}^k (\frac{(m+1)r-k}{k}) Y(r) F(k-r), & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

This proof shows that other complicated nonlinear functions can be solved in an analogous way. Also, nonlinear functions and their transforms are given in the following theorems.

Theorem 2.2. [8] *If* $f(y) = e^{ay}$, *then*

$$F(k) = \begin{cases} e^{aY(0)}, & k = 0; \\ a \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{r+1}{k}\right) Y(r+1) F(k-1-r), & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3. [8] *If* $f(y) = sin(\alpha y)$ *and* $g(y) = cos(\alpha y)$ *, then*

$$F(k) = \begin{cases} \sin(\alpha Y(0)), & k = 0; \\ \alpha \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (\frac{k-r}{k}) G(r) Y(k-r), & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$G(k) = \begin{cases} \cos(\alpha Y(0)), & k = 0; \\ -\alpha \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (\frac{k-r}{k}) F(r) Y(k-r), & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4. [1]

Suppose the DT of the functions u(x) and g(x) are U(k) and G(k), then:

If
$$f(x) = \int_{x_0}^x u(t)dt$$
, then $F(k) = \frac{U(k-1)}{k}$, $F(0) = 0$.
If $f(x) = \int_{x_0}^x g(t)u(t)dt$, then $F(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} G(l)\frac{U(k-l-1)}{k}$, $F(0) = 0$.
If $f(x) = g(x)\int_{x_0}^x u(t)dt$, then $F(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} G(l)\frac{U(k-l-1)}{k-l}$, $F(0) = 0$.

By means of the DT, the differential equation in DOI is transformed to an algebraic equation in the K-domain and the function f(t) is obtained using the finite-term Taylor series expansion plus a remainder, as

(2.9)
$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} F(k) \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!} + R_{N+1}(t)$$

In a small region, the series solution in Eq.(2.9) converges rapidly, however, the convergence results are often slow in the wide region and thus, their truncations yield inaccurate results. For further reference on DTM see [10, 11, 12].

2.2. Padé approximation. Given the Taylor series expansion of y(x), the Padé approximant is defined as the ratio of two polynomials that derived from the coefficients of y(x). The [L/M] PA to y(x) are defined by

$$\left[\frac{L}{M}\right] = \frac{P_L(x)}{Q_M(x)}$$

where the polynomials $P_L(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$ are of degrees at most L and M. The formal power series.

$$y\left(x\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x^{i},$$

(2.10)
$$y(x) - \frac{P_L(x)}{Q_M(x)} = O(x^{L+M+1})$$

are used to obtain the coefficients of $P_L(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$. It is obvious that [L/M] will remain unchanged when the denominator and numerator is multiply by certain constant, thus the normalization condition

is impose on the equation and $P_L(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$ are said to have no common factors. Rewriting the coefficients of the functions $P_L(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$ as

(2.12)
$$\begin{cases} P_L(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + \dots + p_L x^L \\ Q_M(x) = q_0 + q_1 x + q_2 x^2 + \dots + q_M x^M \end{cases}$$

then, by (2.11) and (2.12), the coefficient equations are linearized after multiplying (2.10) by $Q_M(x)$. Eq (2.10) is presented as

$$a_{L+M} + a_{L+M-1}q_1 + \dots + a_Lq_M = 0$$

(2.14)
$$\begin{cases} a_0 = p_0 \\ a_0 + a_0 q_1 = p_1 \\ a_2 + a_1 q_1 + a_0 q_2 = p_2 \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & a_L + a_{L-1} q_1 + \dots + a_0 q_L = p_L \end{cases}$$

Equation (2.13) is a set of linear equation. To obtain the solution of this equation, we solve for all the unknown q's. Once the values of all the q's are known, then equation (2.14) would inevitably give the explicit formula for the unknown p's, and solution is complete.

However, in the case of non-singularity of equations (2.13) and (2.14) would be directly solved to get (2.15) [13].

$$(2.15) \qquad \left[\frac{L}{M}\right] = \frac{det \begin{bmatrix} a_{L-M+1} & a_{L-M+2} & \dots & a_{L+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{L} & a_{L+1} & \dots & a_{L+M} \\ \sum_{j=M}^{L} a_{j-M} x^{j} & \sum_{j=M-1}^{L} a_{j-M+1} x^{j} & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{L} a_{j} x^{j} \end{bmatrix}}{det \begin{bmatrix} a_{L-M+1} & a_{L-M+2} & \dots & a_{L+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{L} & a_{L+1} & \dots & a_{L+M} \\ x^{M} & x^{M-1} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}}$$

In the case where the lower index on a sum exceed the upper and eq.(2.15) holds, then, we replaced the sum with zero. The symbolic calculus software, MATLAB is used to obtain diagonal elements of Padé approximants of various orders including: [2/2], [4/4] or [6/6].

Generally, Padé approximant, obtained from a partial Taylor sum is more accurate than the latter. However; Padé, being a rational expression, has poles, which are not present in the original function. It is a simple algebraic task to expand the form of an [N, M] Padé in Taylor series and compute the Padé coefficients by matching with the above [14, 15, 16]. The following Algorithm explains the MDTM procedures for solving integral and integro-differential equations.

Algorithm 1. Step 1: Given $y^{(n)} = f(t) + \int_0^x K(x,t)u(t)dt, 0 \le t \le 1$, the exact solution y(t)Step 2: Set M = 2, Step 3: Compute $Y(k) = \frac{1}{k!} [\frac{d^k}{dx^k} y(t)]_{t=0}, k = 0, 1, 2, N$ Step 4: Expand $y_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N Y(k)t^k$. Step 5: Obtain $\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_{k=0}^N Y(k)t^k)$. Step 6: Substitute 1/s = z in Step 5 Step 7: Compute $[M/M]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ Step 8: Substitute z = 1/s in Step 7 Step 9: Compute $y_2(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}([M/M]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)))$ Step 10: If $y_2(t) = y(t)$ Then Stop. Else M = M + 1 and go to step 7

3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we have been solved six problems related by integral and integro- differential equations problems.

Problem 3.1. Consider the linear Volterra integral equation [1]

(3.1)
$$u(t) = 1 - t - \frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t (t - x)u(x)dx, \ 0 < x < 1$$

and exact solution $u(t) = 1 - \sinh(t)$. Clearly, u(0) = 1, from Theorem (2.4) and using operations from Table (2.1), we have: (3.2)

$$U(k) = \delta(k) - \delta(k-1) - \frac{\delta(k-2)}{2} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \delta(l-1) \frac{U(k-l-1)}{k-l} - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \delta(l-1) \frac{U(k-l-1)}{k}, k \ge 1,$$

transforming the initial condition by Eq.(2.1) to get U(0) = 1. Subsequently, we find

$$U(1) = -1, U(2) = 0, U(3) = -\frac{1}{6}, U(4) = 0, U(5) = -\frac{1}{120}, U(6) = 0, U(7) = -\frac{1}{5040}$$

Applying the inverse transformation rule of Eq.(2.2), the approximate solution of Eq.(3.1) is given as follows:

(3.3)
$$u_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U(k)t^k = 1 - t - \frac{t^3}{6} - \frac{t^5}{120} - \frac{t^7}{5040} + \dots$$

which in the limit of infinitely many terms yields the exact solution of Eq.(3.1). To improve the accuracy of (3.3), the proposed MDTM was implemented on first three terms of (3.3) as follows:

Applying the Laplace transform to the first three terms from (3.3) [17], yields

$$\mathcal{L}(u_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{1}{s^4}$$

For simplicity, let $s = \frac{1}{z}$; then

$$\mathcal{L}(u_1(t)) = z - z^2 - z^4.$$

The Padé approximants $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]$ *gives*

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(u_1(t)) = \frac{z^3 + z^2 - z}{z^2 - 1}$$

Recalling $z = \frac{1}{s}$, we obtain $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]$ in terms of s

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(u_1(t)) = \frac{-s^2 + s + 1}{-s^3 + s}$$

Applying the inverse Laplace transform (ILT) to $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(u_1(t))$ gives the modified approximate solution:

$$u_2(t) = -\frac{e^t}{2} + \frac{e^{-t}}{2} + 1 = 1 - \sinh(t).$$

Problem 3.2. Consider the nonlinear Volterra integral equation [1]

(3.4)
$$y(t) + \int_0^t (y(x) + y^2(x))dx = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t}$$

and exact solution

$$y(t) = e^{-t}$$

It is obvious that y(0) = 1, then, from Theorem (2.4) and using operations from Table (2.1), the recurrence relation follows:

(3.5)
$$Y(k) + \frac{Y(k-1)}{k} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} Y(l) \frac{Y(k-l-1)}{k-l} = \frac{3}{2}\delta(k) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-2)^k}{k!}, \ k \ge 1.$$

Transforming the initial condition by (2.1) to get Y(0) = 1. Consequently, we find

 $Y(1) = -1, Y(2) = \frac{1}{2}, Y(3) = -\frac{1}{6}, Y(4) = \frac{1}{24}, Y(5) = -\frac{1}{120}, Y(6) = \frac{1}{720}, Y(7) = -\frac{1}{5040}.$ Under the inverse transformation rule (2.2), the following approximate solution of equation (3.4) is obtained

(3.6)
$$y_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y(k)t^k = 1 - t + \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^4}{24} - \frac{t^5}{120} + \frac{t^6}{720} - \frac{t^7}{5040} + \dots$$

which yields the exact solution of Eq.(3.4) in limit of infinitely many terms. Next, we implement MDTM on first four term of (3.6) as follows: Applying the LT to the first four terms from (3.6), yields

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{s^4}.$$

For simplicity, let $s = \frac{1}{z}$ *; then*

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = z - z^2 + z^3 - z^4.$$

The Padé approximants $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ *gives*

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{z}{z+1}$$

Recalling $z = \frac{1}{s}$, we obtain $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ in terms of s

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s+1}$$

Applying the ILT to $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ gives the modified approximate solution

$$y_2(t) = e^{-t}.$$

Problem 3.3. Given the following nonlinear Volterra integral equation [1]

(3.7)
$$y(t) = \cos(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2t) + 3t - 2\int_0^t \left(1 + y^2(x)\right) dx$$

whose exact solution is y(t) = cos(t). By simplifying (3.8), to get

$$y(t) = \cos(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2t) + 3t - 2t - \int_0^t y^2(x)dx.$$

So,

(3.8)
$$y(t) = \cos(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2t) + t - \int_0^t y^2(x)dx$$

Clearly, y(0) = 1, from Theorem (2.4) and using Table (2.1), we obtained the recurrence relation:

(3.9)
$$Y(k) = \frac{1}{k!} \cos\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right) + \frac{2^{(k-1)}}{k!} \sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right) + \delta(k-1) - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} Y(l) \frac{Y(k-l-1)}{k-l}.$$

Transforming the initial condition by (2.1) to get Y(0) = 1. Consequently, we find

$$Y(1) = 0, Y(2) = -\frac{1}{2}, Y(3) = 0, Y(4) = \frac{1}{4!}, Y(5) = 0, Y(6) = -\frac{1}{6!}, Y(7) = 0, Y(8) = \frac{1}{8!}.$$

The approximate solution of Eq.(3.8) *is obtained using the inverse transformation rule* (2.2) *as follows:*

(3.10)
$$y_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y(k)t^k = 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^4}{4!} - \frac{t^6}{6!} + \frac{t^8}{8!} + \dots$$

which yields the exact solution of (3.8) in the limit of infinitely many terms. Taking just the first two terms from (3.10), we implement the MDTM as follows: Applying the LT procedure to the first two terms from (3.10), yields

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3}$$

For simplicity, let $s = \frac{1}{z}$; then

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = z - z^3.$$

The Padé approximants $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ gives

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{z}{z^2+1}.$$

Recalling $z = \frac{1}{s}$, we obtain $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ in terms of s

$$\left\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \right\rfloor \mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{s}{s^2 + 1}$$

The modified approximate solution $y_2(t) = \cos(t)$ is obtained using the inverse Laplace transform to the $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$.

Problem 3.4. Given the following nonlinear Volterra integral equation [18]

(3.11)
$$y'(t) = \frac{3}{2}e^t - \frac{1}{2}e^{3t} + \int_0^t e^{t-x}y^3(x)dx, \ y(0) = 1$$

whose exact solution is $y(t) = e^t$. By simplifying (3.11), to get

(3.12)
$$y'(t) = \frac{3}{2}e^t - \frac{1}{2}e^{3t} + e^t \int_0^t e^{-x}y^3(x)dx, \ y(0) = 1.$$

Using operations in Table(2.1) and Theorem (2.4), we obtain the recurrence relation as follows:

(3.13)
$$Y(k+1) = \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\frac{3}{2(k!)} - \frac{3^k}{2(k!)} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{l!} \frac{G(k-l-1)}{k-l} \right]$$

where G(k) is the differential transform for $g(x) = e^{-x}y^3(x)$.

(3.14)
$$G(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!} H(k-i)$$

where H(k) is the differential transform for $h(x) = y^3(x)$

(3.15)
$$H(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0;\\ \sum_{r=1}^{k} (\frac{4r-k}{k}) Y(r) F(k-r), & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Transforming the initial condition by (2.1) to get Y(0) = 1. Then substituting in (3.15) and (3.14), respectively, to get H(0) = 1, G(0) = 1. Consequently, we find

$$Y(1) = 1, Y(2) = \frac{1}{2}, Y(3) = \frac{1}{3!}, Y(4) = \frac{1}{4!}, Y(5) = \frac{1}{5!}, Y(6) = \frac{1}{6!}, Y(7) = \frac{1}{7!}.$$

The approximate solution of Eq.(3.12) is obtained using the inverse transformation rule (2.2) as follows:

(3.16)
$$y_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y(k)t^k = 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^4}{24} + \frac{t^5}{120} + \frac{t^6}{720} + \frac{t^7}{5040} + \dots$$

which yields the exact solution of (3.12) in the limit of infinitely many terms. Taking the first three terms from (3.16), we implement the MDTM as follows: Applying the LT to the first three terms from (3.16), yields

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s^3}.$$

For simplicity, let $s = \frac{1}{z}$; then

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = z + z^2 + z^3.$$

The Padé approximants $[\frac{2}{2}]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ *gives*

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = -\frac{z}{z-1}.$$

Recalling $z = \frac{1}{s}$, we obtain $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ in terms of s

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s-1}.$$

The modified approximate solution $y_2(t) = e^t$ is obtained using inverse Laplace transform to $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t)).$

Problem 3.5. *Consider the following linear boundary value problem for integro-differential equation* [18]

(3.17)
$$y^{(4)}(t) = t(1+e^t) + 3e^t + y(t) - \int_0^t y(x)dx, \ 0 < t < 1$$

whose boundary conditions are given as

(3.18)
$$y(0) = y'(0) = 1, \ y(1) = 1 + e, \ y'(1) = 2e$$

and exact solution $y(t) = 1 + te^t$.

Transforming Eq.(3.17) and Eq.(3.18), we obtain

(3.19)
$$Y(k+4) = \frac{k!}{(k+4)!} \left[\delta(k-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\delta(i-1)}{(k-i)!} + \frac{3}{k!} + Y(k) - \frac{Y(k-1)}{k} \right]$$

(3.20)
$$Y(0) = 1, Y(1) = 1, Y(2) = \frac{A}{2}, Y(3) = \frac{B}{3!}$$

Substitute (3.20) in (3.19), to get

$$Y(4) = \frac{1}{3!}, Y(5) = \frac{1}{4!}, Y(6) = \frac{(A+4)}{6!}, Y(7) = \frac{(B-A+6)}{7!},$$

$$Y(8) = \frac{(11-B)}{8!}, \ Y(9) = \frac{1}{8!}, \ Y(10) = \frac{(A+8)}{10!}.$$

The approximate solution of equation (3.17) *is obtained using the inverse transformation rule* (2.2) *as follows:*

(3.21)

$$y_1(t) = 1 + t + \frac{A}{2}t^2 + \frac{B}{3!}t^3 + \frac{1}{3!}t^4 + \frac{1}{4!}t^5 + \frac{(A+4)}{6!}t^6 + \frac{(B-A+6)}{7!}t^7 + \frac{(11-B)}{8!}t^8 + \frac{1}{8!}t^9 + \frac{(A+8)}{10!}t^{10} + \dots$$

To find the values of constants A and B, we will substitute the initial conditions

 $y_1(1) = 1 + e$, $y'_1(1) = 2e$ in Eq.(3.21), then

$$1 + 1 + \frac{A}{2} + \frac{B}{3!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \frac{1}{4!} + \frac{(A+4)}{6!} + \frac{(B-A+6)}{7!} + \frac{(11-B)}{8!} + \frac{1}{8!} + \frac{(A+8)}{10!} = 1 + e,$$

that gives

(3.22)
$$\frac{1818721}{3628800}A + \frac{961}{5760}B = -\frac{17228}{14175} + e$$

and

$$y_{1}^{'} = 1 + At + \frac{B}{2}t^{2} + \frac{2}{3}t^{3} + \frac{5}{24}t^{4} + \frac{(A+4)}{5!}t^{5} + \frac{(B-A+6)}{6!}t^{6} + \frac{(11-B)}{7!}t^{7} + \frac{9}{8!}t^{8} + \frac{(A+8)}{9!}t^{9} + \dots,$$

$$y_{1}^{'}(1) = 2e, then$$

2 e

(3.23)
$$\frac{365401}{362880}A + \frac{421}{840}B = -\frac{696401}{362880} +$$

From (3.22) and (3.23), A = 1.999995083333181, B = 3.000016585166542. For approximations of A and B. It is obvious that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} A = 2, \ \lim_{n \to \infty} B = 3.$

We implement MDTM to the first six terms as follows:

Substituting A = 2, B = 3 in (3.21), then applying the Laplace transform yields

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{2}{s^3} + \frac{3}{s^4} + \frac{4}{s^5} + \frac{5}{s^6}.$$

For simplicity, let $s = \frac{1}{z}$; then

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = z + z^2 + 2z^3 + 3z^4 + 4z^5.$$

Table 3.1 shows the Algorithm 1 work for solving Problem 3.5.

Table 3.1: The Algorithm 1 work for Problem 3.5

$[\frac{M}{M}]\mathcal{L}\left(y_{1}\left(t\right)\right)$	Padé approximant	MDTM solution
$\boxed{\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}\left(y_{1}\left(t\right)\right)}$	$-\frac{z}{z^2+z-1}$	$e^{\frac{t}{2}}(\cosh(\frac{\sqrt{5}t}{2}) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}sinh(\frac{\sqrt{5}t}{2})$
$\frac{[\frac{3}{3}]\mathcal{L}\left(y_{1}\left(t\right)\right)}{[\frac{3}{3}]\mathcal{L}\left(y_{1}\left(t\right)\right)}$	$\frac{z^3 - z^2 + z}{z^2 - 2z + 1}$	$te^t + 1$

The Padé approximants $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{3} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ *gives*

$$\left[\frac{3}{3}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{z^3 - z^2 + z}{z^2 - 2z + 1}.$$

Recalling $z = \frac{1}{s}$, we obtain $\left[\frac{3}{3}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ in terms of s $\left[\frac{3}{3}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{s^2 - s + 1}{s^3 - 2s^2 + s}.$ The modified approximate solution $y_2(t) = te^t + 1$. is obtained using the inverse Laplace transform to $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\3 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$.

Figure 1 shows comparison between approximated solutions for each choice of Padé approximant and the exact solution y(t) comparing with the DTM solution for Problem 3.5.



Figure 1: comparison between approximated and the exact solutions for Problem 3.5

Problem 3.6. *Consider the following nonlinear boundary value problem for integro-differential equation* [19]

(3.24)
$$y^{(4)}(t) = 1 + \int_0^t e^{-x} y^2(x) dx, \ 0 < t < 1$$

whose boundary conditions

(3.25)
$$y(0) = y'(0) = 1, \ y(1) = y'(1) = e.$$

and exact solution $y(t) = e^t$. Transforming Eq.(3.24) and Eq.(3.25), we obtain

(3.26)
$$Y(k+4) = \frac{k!}{(k+4)!} \Big[\delta(k) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \frac{G(k-i-1)}{k} \Big].$$

where G(k) is the differential transform of $g(y) = y^2$.

(3.27)
$$Y(0) = 1, Y(1) = 1, Y(2) = \frac{A}{2}, Y(3) = \frac{B}{3!}$$

By Theorem (2.1), the differential transform G(k) in equation (3.26) is

$$G(0) = (Y(0))^2 = 1,$$

$$G(k) = \sum_{r=1}^k \left(\frac{3r-k}{k}\right) Y(r)G(k-r).$$

Therefore, G(1) = 2, then

$$Y(4) = \frac{1}{4!}, Y(5) = \frac{1}{5!}, Y(6) = \frac{1}{6!}.$$

The approximate solution of equation (3.24) *is obtained using the inverse transformation rule* (2.2) *as follows:*

(3.28)
$$y_1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y(k)t^k = 1 + t + \frac{A}{2}t^2 + \frac{B}{3!}t^3 + \frac{1}{4!}t^4 + \frac{1}{5!}t^5 + \frac{1}{6!}t^6 + \frac{(A-3)}{7!}t^7 + \dots$$

Now, to find the values of constants A and B, we will substitute the initial conditions $y_1(1) = y'_1(1) = e$, in Eq.(3.28), then

(3.29)
$$1 + 1 + \frac{A}{2} + \frac{B}{6} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{720} + \frac{A-3}{5040} = e,$$
$$\frac{A}{2} + \frac{B}{6} = e - \frac{646}{315}$$

And

$$y'_{1} = 1 + At + \frac{B}{2}t^{2} + \frac{1}{3!}t^{3} + \frac{1}{4!}t^{4} + \frac{1}{5!}t^{5} + \dots, \ y'(1) = e_{2}$$

then

$$1 + A + \frac{B}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{120} + \frac{A-3}{720} = e,$$

thus

(3.30)
$$A + \frac{B}{2} = e - \frac{97}{80}$$

From (3.29) and (3.30), A = 0.993365409074278, B = 1.024832838769536. *For approximations of* A *and* B, It *is obvious that*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A = 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} B = 1.$$

Substituting A = 1, B = 1 in (3.28) yields the exact solution of (3.24) in the limit of infinitely many terms.

Taking just the first three terms from (3.28), the MDTM is implemented as follows: Applying the Laplace transform to the first three terms from the series solution (3.28), yields

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s^3}.$$

For simplicity, let $s = \frac{1}{z}$; then

$$\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = z + z^2 + z^3.$$

The Padé approximants $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ gives

$$\left[\frac{2}{2}\right]\mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = -\frac{z}{z-1}.$$

Recalling $z = \frac{1}{s}$, we obtain $\left[\frac{2}{2}\right] \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$ in terms of s

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t)) = \frac{1}{s-1}$$

The approximate solution $y_2(t) = e^t$ is obtained by inverse Laplace transform to $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}(y_1(t))$.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we proposed the MDTM for solving classes of integral and integro-differential equations. The obtained solutions, in comparison with the DTM and exact solutions (see Problem 3.5) illustrates a remarkable accuracy. The present method reduces the computational difficulties of the other traditional methods and all the calculations can be made by simple manipulations. Examples presented also indicates that MDTM greatly improves the convergence rate of DTM's truncated series solution with true analytic solution, and thus, implies that the scheme is promising and can be applied in other applications. All computations are carried out using the Matlab programming software.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. M. ODIBAT, Differential transform method for solving Volterra integral equation with separable kernels, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **48**(2008), No. 7-8, pp. 1144–1149.
- [2] J. K. ZHOU, *Differential Transform and its Applications for Electrical Circuits*, Huarjung University Press, Wuhan, China, 1986.
- [3] C. L. CHEN, and L. SY-Hong, Application of Taylor transformation to nonlinear predictive control problem, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, **20**(1996), No. 9, pp. 699–710.
- [4] F. AYAZ, Solutions of the system of differential equations by differential transform method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **147**(2004), No. 2, pp. 547–567.
- [5] S. MOMANI and V. S. ERTÚRK, Solutions of non-linear oscillators by the modified differential transform method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **55**(2008), No. 4, pp. 833–842.
- [6] S. AL-AHMAD, I. M. SULAIMAN, and M. MAMAT, Modified differential transform scheme for solving systems of first order ordinary differential equations, *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 22(2021), pp. 73–84.
- [7] S. AL-AHMAD, I. M. SULAIMAN, M. MAMAT, and L. G. PUSPA, A Modification of Differential Transform Method for Solution of Systems of Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations, *Mathematics and Statistics*, 8(2020), No. 4, pp. 471-478.
- [8] B. IBIS, Approximate analytical solutions for nonlinear Emden-Fowler type equations by differential transform method, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.3521*, (2012).
- [9] S. AL-AHMAD, M. MAMAT, and R. ALAHMAD, Finding Differential Transform Using Difference Equations, *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **50**(2020), No. 1, pp. 1–6.
- [10] S. AL-AHMAD, M. MAMAT, R. ALAHMAD, I. M. SULAIMAN, P. L. GHAZALI, and M. A. MOHAMED, On New Properties of Differential Transform via Difference Equations, *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(2018), No. 3.28, pp. 321–324.
- [11] A. H. ALI, Applications of Differential Transform Method To Initial Value Problems, *American Journal of Engineering Research*, **6**(2017), No. 12, pp.365–371.
- [12] A. R. KANTH and K. ARUNA, Differential transform method for solving linear and non-linear systems of partial differential equations, *Physics Letters A*, **372**(2008), No. 46, pp. 6896–6898.
- [13] G. A. BAKER, Essentials of Padé approximants, academic Press, 1975.
- [14] C. M. BENDER and S. A. ORSZAG, Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers I: Asymptotic methods and perturbation theory, Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [15] S. AL-AHMAD, N. R. ANAKIRA, M. MAMAT, A. F. JAMEEL, R. ALAHMAD, and A. K. ALO-MARI, Accurate Approximate Solution of Classes Of Boundary Value Problems Using Modified

- [16] S. AL-AHMAD, N. R. ANAKIRA, M. MAMAT, I.M. SULAIMAN, and R. ALAHMAD, Modified Differential Transformation Scheme for Solving Classes of Non-Linear Differential Equations, *TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics*, (2021) (accepted).
- [17] R. ALAHMAD, Laplace transform of the product of two functions, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (2020), No. 44 (to appear).
- [18] A.-M. WAZWAZ, A reliable algorithm for solving boundary value problems for higher-order integro-differential equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **118**(2001), No. 2-3, pp. 327–342.
- [19] A. YILDIRIM, Solution of BVPs for fourth-order integro-differential equations by using homotopy perturbation method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 56(2008), No. 12, pp. 3175– 3180.