



The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

AJMAA

Volume 14, Issue 1, Article 6, pp. 1-8, 2017



NEW REFINEMENTS OF HÖLDER'S INEQUALITY

XIU-FEN MA

Received 5 October, 2016; accepted 17 February, 2017; published 15 March, 2017.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTER, CHONGQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY FOREIGN TRADE AND
BUSINESS COLLEGE, NO.9 OF XUEFU ROAD, HECHUAN DISTRICT 401520, CHONGQING CITY, THE
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
maxiufen86@163.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define two mappings, investigate their properties, obtain some new refinements of Hölder's inequality.

Key words and phrases: Hölder's inequality, Finite, Infinite, Refinement, Jensen's inequality.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15 .

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

© 2017 Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a_i > 0, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \geq 2$), p and q be two non-zero real numbers such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. If $p > 1$, then

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

if $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$), then the inequality in (1.1) is reversed.

The inequality (1.1) is called the Hölder's inequality (see [1]-[2]). For some recent results which generalize, improve and extend this classical inequality, see [1]-[4].

To go further into (1.1), we define two mappings F_1 and F_2 by

$$F_1 : \{ (n, k) \mid n \geq 2, k = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N} \} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$F_1(n, k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p;$$

$$F_2 : \{ (n, k) \mid n \geq 2, k = 1, 2, \dots; n \in \mathbb{N} \} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$F_2(n, k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q}{C_{n+k-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p,$$

where p and q be two non-zero real numbers such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

The aim of this paper is to study the properties of F_1 and F_2 , thus obtaining some new refinements of (1.1).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let $a_i > 0, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \geq 2$), p and q be two non-zero real numbers such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, and F_1 be defined as in the first section. We write $G_1(n, k) =$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right) (F_1(n, k))^{\frac{1}{p}}. \text{ We have}$$

(1) When $p > 1$, we get the following finite refinements of (1.1)

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = G_1(n, n) \leq G_1(n, n-1) \leq \dots \leq G_1(n, 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(2) When $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$), the inequalities in (2.1) are reversed.

Remark 1. Theorem 2.1 is the finite refinements of Hölder's inequality with non-repetitive sample.

Theorem 2.2. Let a_i, b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \geq 2$), p and q be defined as in the Theorem 2.1, and F_2 be defined as in the first section. We write $G_2(n, k) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right) (F_2(n, k))^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We have

(1) When $p > 1$, we get the following infinite refinements of (1.1)

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \dots \leq G_2(n, n) \leq G_2(n, n-1) \\ \leq \dots \leq G_2(n, 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(2) When $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$), the inequalities in (2.2) are reversed.

Remark 2. Theorem 2.2 is the infinite refinements of Hölder's inequality with repetitive sample.

3. SEVERAL LEMMAS

In order to prove the above theorems, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $a_i > 0, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \geq 2$), p and q be two non-zero real numbers such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, and F_1 be defined as in the first section. We have

(1) When $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \right)^p = F_1(n, n) \leq F_1(n, n-1) \leq \dots \leq F_1(n, 1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q}.$$

(2) When $0 < p < 1$, the inequalities in (3.1) are reversed.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. From the definition of F_1 , since a simple calculation shows that

$$(3.2) \quad F_1(n, n) = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \right)^p,$$

$$(3.3) \quad F_1(n, 1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q}.$$

For $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, using some elementary identity involved combinatorial numbers, from (3.1) and (3.6) in [6], we can get

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j} = \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l}}{k-1}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l} \right) \\ = (n - k + 1) \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{k-1} \leq n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{j_m} b_{j_m} \right),$$

respectively.

(1) When $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, x^p is convex function on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . Let $t_{r_l} = b_{r_l}^q$, $x_{r_l} = a_{r_l} b_{r_l}^{(1-q)}$, using Jensen's inequality of convex function, from (3.3) in [6], we get

$$(3.6) \quad \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p = \left(\sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q}{(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \cdot \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q} \right)^p \\ \leq \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q}{(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q} \right)^p.$$

From the definition of F_1 and (3.5)-(3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad F_1(n, k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p \\ \leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q}{(k-1) C_{n-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q} \right)^p \\ = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{k-1} \leq n} \frac{(n - k + 1) \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q}{(k-1) C_{n-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{j_m} b_{j_m}}{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q} \right)^p \\ = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{k-1} \leq n} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{j_m} b_{j_m}}{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q} \right)^p = F_1(n, k - 1).$$

Combination of (3.2)-(3.3) and (3.7) yields (3.1).

(2) When $0 < p < 1$, x^p is concave function on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . Using Jensen's inequality of concave function, we get the reverse of (3.6) and (3.7), which implies the reverse of (3.1).

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Let a_i, b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \geq 2$), p and q be defined as in the Lemma 3.1, and F_2 be defined as in the first section. We have

(1) When $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, we get

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \right)^p \leq \dots \leq F_2(n, n) \leq F_2(n, n-1) \leq \dots \leq F_2(n, 1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q}.$$

(2) When $0 < p < 1$, the inequalities in (3.8) are reversed.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. From the definition of F_2 , since a simple calculation shows that

$$(3.9) \quad F_2(n, 1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q}.$$

For $k = 2, 3, \dots$, using some elementary identity involved combinatorial numbers, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = \frac{1}{C_{n+k-1}^{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j} \right)$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l} \right) \\ &= (n+k-1) \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{k-1} \leq n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{j_m} b_{j_m} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (3.11) can also be obtained from (2.1) in [8].

(1) When $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, x^p is convex function on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . Let $t_{i_j} = b_{i_j}^q, x_{i_j} = a_{i_j} b_{i_j}^{(1-q)}$, using Jensen's inequality of convex function, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \right)^p &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q}{C_{n+k-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \cdot \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q}{C_{n+k-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p = F_2(n, k). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of F_2 and (3.6), (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(n, k) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q}{C_{n+k-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} b_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k b_{i_j}^q} \right)^p \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \sum_{\{r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subset \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q}{(k-1) C_{n+k-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_{r_l} b_{r_l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} b_{r_l}^q} \right)^p \\
(3.13) \quad &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{k-1} \leq n} \frac{(n+k-1) \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q}{(k-1) C_{n+k-1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{j_m} b_{j_m}}{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q} \right)^p \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{k-1} \leq n} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q}{C_{n+k-2}^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \left(\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{j_m} b_{j_m}}{\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} b_{j_m}^q} \right)^p = F_2(n, k-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Combination of (3.9) and (3.12)-(3.13) yields (3.8).

(2) When $0 < p < 1$, x^p is concave function on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . Using Jensen's inequality of concave function, we get the reverse of (3.12) and (3.13), which implies the reverse of (3.8).

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From the definitions of F_1 and G_1 , since a simple calculation shows that

$$(4.1) \quad G_1(n, n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i,$$

$$(4.2) \quad G_1(n, 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

From $a_i > 0, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} > 0, \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q} \right)^p > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q > 0.$$

For $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, we have

(1) When $p > 1$, $x^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is monotonically increasing on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . From (3.1) and (4.3), with a simple calculation, we get

$$(4.4) \quad G_1(n, k) \leq G_1(n, k-1).$$

Combination of (4.1)-(4.2) and (4.4) yields (2.1).

(2) When $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$).

Case 1: $0 < p < 1$, $x^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is monotonically increasing on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . From the reverse of (3.1) and (4.3), since a simple calculation shows that the reverse of (4.4).

Case 2: $p < 0$, $x^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is monotonically decreasing on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . From (3.1) and (4.3), since a simple calculation shows that the reverse of (4.4).

From above two cases, when $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$), we have

$$(4.5) \quad G_1(n, k) \geq G_1(n, k-1).$$

Combination of (4.1)-(4.2) and (4.5) yields the reverse of (2.1).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. From the definitions of F_2 and G_2 , we have

$$(4.6) \quad G_2(n, 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

For $k = 2, 3, \dots$, we have

(1) When $p > 1$, $x^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is monotonically increasing on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . From (3.8) and (4.3), with a simple calculation, we get

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \dots \leq G_2(n, k) \leq G_2(n, k-1).$$

Combination of (4.6) and (4.7) yields (2.2).

(2) When $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$).

Case 1: $0 < p < 1$, $x^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is monotonically increasing on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . From the reverse of (3.8) and (4.3), since a simple calculation shows that the reverse of (4.7).

Case 2: $p < 0$, $x^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is monotonically decreasing on $(0, +\infty)$ with x . From (3.8) and (4.3), since a simple calculation shows that the reverse of (4.7).

From above two cases, when $p < 1$ ($p \neq 0$), we have

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \geq \dots \geq G_2(n, k) \geq G_2(n, k-1).$$

Combination of (4.6) and (4.8) yields the reverse of (2.2).

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. C. WANG, *Convex Functions and Their Inequalities*, Sichuan University Press, Chengdu, China, 2001 (in Chinese).
- [2] J. C. KUANG, *Applied Inequalities*, Shandong Science and Technology Press, 2004 (in Chinese).
- [3] L. C. WANG, Two mappings related to Hölder's inequality, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.* **15** (2004), pp. 92-97.
- [4] X. F. MA and J. Y. SUN, Some new properties of two mappings related to Hölder's inequality, *Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics: Advances and Applications*, **2** (2009), pp. 97-105.

- [5] L. C. WANG, Chain for Jensen inequality of convex function, *Math. In Practice and Theory*, **31** (2001), pp. 719-724 (In Chinese).
- [6] L. C. WANG and X. ZHANG, Inequalities generated by chains of Jensen inequalities for convex functions, *Kodai Math. J.*, **27** (2004), pp. 114-133.
- [7] J. PEČARIĆ and D. SVRTAN, New refinements of the Jensen inequalities based on samples with repetitions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **222** (1998), pp. 365-373.
- [8] L. C. WANG and X. ZHANG, New inequalities related to the Jensen-type inequalities with repetitive sample, *International Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences*, **3** (2006), pp. 51-67.