



ON A PROBLEM ON PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

ADEL A. ABDELKARIM

Received 4 July, 2014; accepted 18 November, 2014; published 16 March, 2015.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, JERASH PRIVATE UNIVERSITY, JERASH, JORDAN.
adelafifo_afifo@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT. Given a continuous periodic real function f with n translates f_1, \dots, f_n , where $f_i(x) = f(x + a_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We solve a problem by Erdos and Chang and show that there are rational numbers r, s such that $f(r) \geq f_i(r)$, $f(s) \leq f_i(s)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. No restrictions on the constants or any further restriction on the function f are necessary as was imposed earlier.

Key words and phrases: Closed Integro-Equivalent functions; Periodic functions; Trigonometric homogeneous polynomials of rank m .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C30, Secondary 43A60.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let $[a, b]$ be a closed interval and let F be a family of continuous non negative functions such that for every positive integer n we have $\int_a^b f^n dx = \int_a^b g^n dx$ for all $f, g \in F$. Then we say that F is a family of *integro-equivalent functions* (i.e. for short) on $[a, b]$. If, furthermore, $f(a) = f(b), g(a) = g(b)$ for all $f, g \in F$ then we say that f and g are *closed integro equivalent functions* (cie for short).

Remark 1.1. It follows easily that if F is a family of ie or cie functions and if a, b are constants such that $af + b \geq 0$ for all $f \in F$ then the family $aF + b = \{af + b : f \in F\}$ is an ie or cie family. Also this property is kept to hold if we choose the constants a, b such that $af + b > 0$ for all $f \in F$ and if we take the family $\{1/(af + b) : f \in F\}$. We just use power series expansions. Similarly if we take any analytic function h such that $h(f)$ is analytic then the family $h(F) = \{h(f) : f \in F\}$ is an ie or cie family depending on F .

We give some examples.

Example 1.1. (1) If f is a p -periodic function and c is any real number then f and $f(x+c)$ are cie functions on any interval $[a, a+p]$.

(2) The functions $x, 1-x$ are ie functions on $[0, 1]$.

(3) Consider the function $f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 3-x, & 1 \leq x \leq 3 \end{cases}$ and the function $g(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 6-2x, & 2 \leq x \leq 3 \end{cases}$. Then f and g are cie functions on $[0, 3]$ as it can be easily verified.

(4) Let $f(x) = x, g(x) = ax + b$ be two functions on $[0, 1]$. Let us find a, b such that $f(x)$ and $g(x) = ax + b$ are ie functions on $[0, 1]$. Thus

$$\int_0^1 x^n dx = \int_0^1 (ax + b)^n dx$$

for all positive integers n . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{a(n+1)}(a+b)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{a(n+1)}b^{n+1}.$$

It follows that $a = [(a+b)^{n+1} - b^{n+1}]$ for all n . Taking $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ we get $a = -1, b = 1$ and $g(x) = -x + 1$.

(5) Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function defined on $[a, b]$ such that $f(a) = f(b)$. Let us take the function g with a graph being the reflection of the graph of $f(x)$ in the line $x = (a+b)/2$. Thus $g(x) = f(b+a-x), a \leq x \leq b$. Then f and g are cie functions which need not be translates of any periodic function.

(6) Let $f(x)$ be a 1-periodic real and continuous function. Let $a_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be distinct constants in the interval $(0, 1)$ and let $f_i(x) = f(x+a_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ be the corresponding translates of $f(x)$. Then the family $F = \{f_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a cie family.

Let $f(x)$ be a 1-periodic real and continuous function. We assume that $t(x)$ is not locally constant. Let $a_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be distinct constants in the interval $(0, 1)$ and let $f_i(x) = f(x+a_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ be the corresponding translates of $f(x)$. A problem first posed by P. Erdos and C. Chang, (see Hwang [1]) asks if there is a rational function r such that $f(r) \leq \min(f_i(r)), i = 1, \dots, n$. Hwang in [1] proved the following partial answer.

Theorem 1.1 (Hwang). Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function of period 1 and let $d_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ be constants such that $d_j - d_1, j = 1, \dots, n$ are rational (for example if $f(x)$ has a finite number

of extremum points in the interval $[0, 1]$ then this condition is satisfied). Then there are rational numbers r, s such that

$$f(r) \leq \min(f_i(r)), f(s) \geq \max(f_i(s)), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We will show in this note that if f_1, \dots, f_n are closely permutable continuous functions then there are rational numbers r, s such that

$$f(r) \leq \min(f_i(r)), f(s) \geq \max(f_i(s)), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

No further restrictions are to be made on these functions. In particular if $f(x)$ is a continuous function of period 1 and if $a_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ are arbitrary constants (with no restrictions) then there are rational numbers r, s such that

$$f(r) \leq \min(f_i(r)), f(r) \geq \max(f_i(s)), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus the answer to Erdős-Chang Problem is in the affirmative under the sole condition that $f(x)$ is continuous and periodic.

Let $f_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, be $\pi/2$ -periodic functions. We assume, without loss of generality, that the f_i are not locally constant, and that the f_i can be made to lie between any two distinct constants by taking proper constants a, b and considering $af_i + b$ instead of f_i . We assume all integrals are from 0 to $\pi/2$. Under these conditions we prove

Lemma 1.2. For all positive integers n we have

$$\int \sin^n f_1 dx = \int \sin^n f_j dx = \int \cos^n f_1 dx = \int \cos^n f_j dx,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. $\int \sin^n f_j dx = \int (f_j - f_j^3/3! + \dots)^n dx = \int (f_1 - f_1^3/3! + \dots)^n dx = \int \sin^n f_1 dx$. Similarly $\int \cos^n f_j dx = \int \cos^n f_1 dx$. Also we have, by periodicity,

$$\int \sin^n f_1 dx = \int \cos^n (f_j - \pi/2) dx = \int \sin^n f_j dx.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Remark 1.2. For the sake of completeness we prove the generalized Holder's inequality.

The reader may prefer to skip reading this remark. Let g_1, \dots, g_n be nonnegative bounded and integrable functions defined on the interval $[a, b]$. Let $g = g_1 \dots g_n$. Then

$$\int_a^b g dx \leq \left(\int_a^b g_1^n dx \right)^{1/n} \dots \left(\int_a^b g_n^n dx \right)^{1/n}$$

The proof is clear for the case of $n = 2$; for it is just Schwartz' inequality. So we assume it is true for $n - 1$ and consider the case n . Let $f = f_1 \dots f_n$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f dx &\leq \int_a^b (f_1^n)^{1/n} (f_2^{n/(n-1)} \dots f_n^{n/(n-1)})^{(n-1)/n} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_a^b f_1^n dx \right)^{1/n} \left(\int_a^b f_2^{n/(n-1)} \dots f_n^{n/(n-1)} dx \right)^{(n-1)/n} \\ &\leq \left(\int_a^b f_1^n dx \right)^{1/n} \left[\left(\int_a^b f_2^{n \cdot (n-1)/(n-1)} dx \right)^{1/(n-1)} \dots \left(\int_a^b f_n^{n \cdot (n-1)/(n-1)} dx \right)^{1/(n-1)} \right]^{(n-1)/n} \\ &\leq \left(\int_a^b f_1^n dx \right)^{1/n} \dots \left(\int_a^b f_n^n dx \right)^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. Consider a monomial $\pm x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$. If we substitute $\sin x$ or $\cos x$ for each variable $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ in the monomial we call the resulting expression a *trigonometric n -monomial*. A sum of m distinct trigonometric n -monomials is called a *trigonometric homogeneous n -polynomial of rank m (for short $thn(m)$ polynomial)*.

Lemma 1.3. Each of the expressions

$$\sin(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n), \cos(a_1 + \dots + a_n)$$

is a $thn(2^{n-1})$.

Proof. From $\sin(a_1 + a_2) = \sin a_1 \cos a_2 + \cos a_1 \sin a_2$, and $\cos(a_1 + a_2) = \cos a_1 \cos a_2 - \sin a_1 \sin a_2$ and so the assertion is true for $n = 2$. Assume it is true for $n - 1$. Now $\sin(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) = \sin(a_1 + (a_2 + \dots + a_n)) = \sin a_1 \cos(a_2 + \dots + a_n) + \cos a_1 \sin(a_2 + \dots + a_n)$. Using induction we see that the latter sum is $thn(2^{n-1}) + thn(2^{n-1}) = thn(2^n)$. This completes the proof.

Remark 1.3. (1) Let f, f_1, f_2 be ie functions on $[0, \pi/2]$ and let $0 < f < \pi/6$. Then it is impossible to have $f < f_1 + f_2$. For a proof we argue as follows. From the hypothesis it follows that $0 < f, f_1, f_2 < \pi/6$. Assume that $f < f_1 + f_2$. Now $0 < f < f_1 + f_2 < \pi/3 < \pi/2$. Since $\sin x$ is increasing on the interval $[0, \pi/2]$ we have $\sin f < \sin(f_1 + f_2) = \sin f_1 \cos f_2 + \cos f_1 \sin f_2$. Taking integrals (as usual from 0 to $\pi/2$) and using Holder's inequality and Remark 1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sin f dx &\leq \int \sin f_1 \cos f_2 dx + \int \cos f_1 \sin f_2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int \sin^2 f dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \sin^2 f dx \right)^{1/2} = 2 \int \sin^2 f dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\int \sin f (2 \sin f - 1) dx \geq 0$. But $2 \sin f - 1 < 0$ since $0 < f < \pi/6$. This contradiction completes the proof.

(2) Let f, f_1, f_2, f_3 be ie functions on $[0, \pi/2]$ and let $0 < f < \pi/8$. Then it is impossible to have $f \leq f_1 + f_2 + f_3$. For a proof we argue as follows. Assume that $f \leq f_1 + f_2 + f_3$. It follows that $0 < f, f_1, f_2, f_3 < \pi/8$. Assume that $0 < f \leq f_1 + f_2 + f_3 < 3\pi/8 < \pi/2$. Since $\sin x$ is increasing on the interval $[0, \pi/2]$, $\sin f \leq \sin(f_1 + f_2 + f_3)$ and so $\int \sin f dx < 4 \int \sin^3 f dx$. Thus $\int \sin f (4 \sin^2 f - 1) dx > 0$. But $4 \sin^2 f - 1 < 0$ because $0 < f < \pi/6$. This contradiction completes the proof.

■

Lemma 1.4. Let f, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n be ie functions on $[0, \pi/2]$ and let $0 < f < \pi/2n$. Then it is impossible to have $f \leq f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n$.

Proof. Assume that $f \leq f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n$. Then $\int \sin f dx < 2^{n-1} \int \sin^n f dx$. Thus $\int \sin f (2^{n-1} \sin^{n-1} f - 1) dx > 0$. It follows that $(2^{n-1} \sin^{n-1} f - 1) < 0$ in the interval $[0, \pi/(2n)]$. This is a contradiction. The proof is complete. ■

Proposition 1.5. Let $\{f, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a set of ie non constant, non negative and continuous functions on $[a, b]$. Then there is y such that $f(y) > \max f_i(y)$ and there is z such that $f(z) < \min f_i(z)$.

Proof. There is a uniform bound M for the elements of the ie family $F = \{f, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ and there is a change of variable and there are constants a, b that makes Lemma 1.4 applicable. Then there is y such that $f(y) > f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n$. Thus there is y such that $f(y) > \max f_i(y)$. Using the Remark 1.1 and taking reciprocals the second inequality follows: There is z such that $f(z) < \min f_i(z)$. ■

Proposition 1.6. *Let f be a non locally constant real-valued continuous periodic function of period $\pi/2$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in (0, 1)$ be distinct real numbers. Let $f_i(x) = f(x + a_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ be n translates of f . Then there is y such that $f(y) > \max f_i(y)$ and there is z such that $f(z) < \min f_i(z)$.*

Proof. There are $a > 0, b$ such that $0 < F = af + b < \pi/(2n)$. Let $F_i(x) = F(x + a_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ be the n translates of F . Then by Lemma 1.4 it is impossible to have $F \leq F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_n$. Thus there is $y \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that $F(y) > F_1(y) + F_2(y) + \dots + F_n(y)$. It is clear then that $F(y) > F_i(y), f(y) > f_i(y), i = 1, \dots, n$. The first part of the proposition follows. We choose real numbers $c, d, h, c > 0$ such that $G = 0 < \frac{d}{F+c} + h < \pi/(2n)$ and form the translates $G_i(x) = G(x + a_i), i = 1, \dots, n$. Then by Lemma 1.4 it is impossible to have $G \leq G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_n$. Thus there is z such that $G(z) > G_1(z) + G_2(z) + \dots + G_n(z)$. It is clear then that $G(z) > G_i(z), f(z) < f_i(z), i = 1, \dots, n$. The second part of the proposition follows. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 1.7. *Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function of period 1 and let $d_j \in (0, 1), j = 1, \dots, n$. Then there are rational numbers r, s such that $f(r) \leq \min(f_i(r)), f(s) \geq \max(f_i(s)), i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Let $g(x) = f(x\pi/2)$. Then g is $\pi/2$ -periodic. Then there is z such that $g(z) < g_1(z), g_2(z)$. Also there is a rational number r such that if $r/(\pi/2) = s$ then $g(s) < g_1(s), g_2(s)$. Or, $f(r) = f(\frac{r}{\pi/2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}) < f_1(\frac{r}{\pi/2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}) = f_1(r), f_2(\frac{r}{\pi/2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}) = f_2(r)$. Similarly there is a rational number t such that $f(t) > f_1(t), f_2(t)$. This can be generalized to n translates of f . This completes the proof. ■

Problem 1. *Let $f_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ be real-valued, continuous permutable functions defined on a cube I in R^n . Is there a rational point $p = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in I$ such that $f_1(p) \geq f_i(p), i = 1, \dots, m$?*

REFERENCES

- [1] [1] J. S. HWANG, A Problem on Continuous and Periodic Functions, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 117, No. 1 (1985).