



TO A BANACH *-ALGEBRA IN A SEMIPARTIAL DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

BAHMAN TABATABAIE SHOURIJEH AND SEYED MOSTAFA ZEBARJAD

Received 11 August, 2013; accepted 26 November, 2013; published 26 June, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY, SHIRAZ 71454, IRAN

tabataba@math.susc.ac.ir

zebarjad@mail.yu.ac.ir

URL: <http://research.shirazu.ac.ir/faculty/More.asp?ID=207>

ABSTRACT. By a partial dynamical system, we mean a triple containing a C^* -algebra A , a discrete group G and a partial action of G on A . There are two C^* -algebras associated to a given partial dynamical system. These are nothing but the certain C^* -completions of a Banach $*$ -algebra. In constructing such a Banach $*$ -algebra, usually, a tedious limit process is used to apply. In this paper, we prove some theorems in this context without any limit process.

Key words and phrases: Partial automorphism, Partial action, Crossed product of C^* -algebra, Inverse semigroup and Partial crossed product.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last two decads, the notion of partial crossed product of a C^* -algebra by a discrete group has been a very important tool in C^* -algebras and dynamical systems. As the name suggests, partial action generalizes the notion of action in a set, in a topological space or in an algebra.

In fact, the idea of partial crossed product of a C^* -algebra by a discrete group was introduced by McClanahan [4] as a generalization of Exel's work in [2]. It is well known that the notion of the crossed product of a C^* -algebra by an action of a group uses a homomorphism into the automorphism group of the C^* -algebra. Since we can not talk about a homomorphism from a group into an inverse semigroup, the notion of partial action covers this flaw. That is, the idea of partial action is to replace the automorphism group by the inverse semigroup of partial automorphism. The general definitions of partial actions and crossed products by partial actions of groups are introduced in [3]. By a partial automorphism of a C^* -algebra A , we mean a triple (α, I, J) where I and J are closed two-sided ideals of A and

$$\alpha : I \rightarrow J$$

is a C^* -isomorphism.

This paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 is devoted to multiplier algebras. Partial actions of groups are considered in Section 3, where one of our main results is proved. In Section 4, actions of inverse semigroups are introduced and two of our main results are proved.

2. MULTIPLIER ALGEBRAS

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. We would like to construct a new unital C^* -algebra D in which A can be embedded as an ideal. For example, given a C^* -algebra, $C_0(X)$, if X is an open subset of a compact Hausdorff space Y , then $C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C(Y)$.

If I is a closed ideal in a C^* -algebra A , then $I^\perp = \{x \in A : Ix = 0\}$ is the *annihilator* of I . Also, I^\perp is a closed ideal of A , and if $I^\perp = \{0\}$, then I is *essential* in A .

Theorem 2.1. *Given a C^* -algebra A , there is a unital C^* -algebra $M(A)$ containing A as an essential ideal. $M(A)$ is universal in the sense that whenever A embeds as an ideal in C^* -algebra D , the identity map on A can be extended uniquely to a $*$ -homomorphism from D into $M(A)$ with kernel A^\perp . The algebra $M(A)$, which is unique up to isomorphism over A , is called the multiplier algebra of A .*

Proof. [1, II.7.3.1]. ■

Elements of $M(A)$ are called double centralizer.

By a *double centralizer* of a C^* -algebra A , we mean a pair (L, R) of bounded linear maps from A to A such that

$$xL(y) = R(x)y,$$

for all $x, y \in A$. More generally, a *left centralizer* of A is a linear map $L : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying $L(xy) = L(x)y$, and a *right centralizer* of A is a linear map $R : A \rightarrow A$ such that $R(xy) = xR(y)$. If (L, R) is a double centralizer of a C^* -algebra A , then $\|L\| = \|R\|$, [5, Lemma 2.1.4]. For given (L, R) and $(L', R') \in M(A)$ define their product and norm respectively by

$$(L, R)(L', R') = (LL', R'R),$$

$$\|(L, R)\| = \|L\| = \|R\|.$$

It is easy to check that this product is again a double centralizer of A . That is, $M(A)$ is an algebra under this multiplication.

For $L : A \rightarrow A$, define $L^* : A \rightarrow A$ by $L^*(a) = (L(a^*))^*$. We will see that L^* is linear and the map $L \rightarrow L^*$ is an isometric conjugate-linear map from $B(A)$ to itself such that $(L^*)^* = L$ and $(LL')^* = (L')^*L^*$. This shows that if (L, R) is an element of $M(A)$ then so is $(L, R)^* = (R^*, L^*)$. Thus, the map $(L, R) \mapsto (L, R)^*$ is an involution on $M(A)$.

Theorem 2.2. *If A is a C^* -algebra, then $M(A)$ is a C^* -algebra under the multiplication, involution, and norm defined above.*

Proof. [1, II. 7.3.4]. ■

Let I be an ideal of a C^* -algebra A . Define the map $\phi : I \rightarrow M(I)$ by putting $\phi(x) = (L_x, R_x)$, $x \in I$. This is an algebra homomorphism, and moreover, $L_{xy} = L_x \circ L_y$, $R_{xy} = R_y \circ R_x$, which gives

$$\phi(xy) = (L_x \circ L_y, R_y \circ R_x) = \phi(x)\phi(y).$$

With the aid of the following Lemma, we can prove our main theorems.

Lemma 2.3. *If I and J are ideals in a C^* -algebra A , $\pi : I \rightarrow J$ is a C^* -algebra isomorphism, and $(L, R) \in M(I)$, then*

$$(\pi \circ L \circ \pi^{-1}, \pi \circ R \circ \pi^{-1}) \in M(J).$$

Proof. For arbitrary elements a, b of J , there are x, y in I such that $a = \pi(x)$, $b = \pi(y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi \circ L \circ \pi^{-1})(ab) &= (\pi \circ L)(xy) = \pi(L(xy)) = \pi(L(x)y) \\ &= (\pi \circ L)(x)\pi(y) = (\pi \circ L \circ \pi^{-1})(a)b; \\ (\pi \circ R \circ \pi^{-1})(ab) &= (\pi \circ R)(xy) = \pi(R(xy)) = \pi(xR(y)) \\ &= \pi(x)(\pi \circ R)(y) = a(\pi \circ R \circ \pi^{-1})(b); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi \circ R \circ \pi^{-1})(a)b &= (\pi \circ R)(x)b = \pi(R(x))\pi(y) = \pi(R(x)y) \\ &= \pi(xL(y)) = a(\pi \circ L)(y) = a(\pi \circ L \circ \pi^{-1})(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\pi \circ L \circ \pi^{-1}, \pi \circ R \circ \pi^{-1})$ is a double centralizer of J . ■

We know that closed ideals in a C^* -algebra are idempotent. Therefore, we can use the following proposition in the proof of our main theorems.

Proposition 2.4. *If I is an idempotent algebra, $I^2 = I$, and $(L, R), (L', R') \in M(I)$, then $(R' \circ L)(a) = (L \circ R')(a)$ for all $a \in I$.*

Proof. Let a, b be arbitrary elements of I . By using the definition of double centralizers we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R' \circ L)(ab) &= R'(L(ab)) = R'(L(a)b) = L(a)R'(b) \\ &= L(aR'(b)) = L(R'(ab)) = (L \circ R')(ab). \end{aligned}$$

Since I is an idempotent algebra, it is easy to see that $(R' \circ L)(a) = (L \circ R')(a)$ for all $a \in I$. ■

3. PARTIAL ACTIONS OF GROUPS

In this section, we deal with partial crossed products of C^* -algebras by partial actions. Therefore, introducing partial actions and their properties seems necessary.

The major new result of this section is the Theorem 3.1 Throughout this section A is a C^* -algebra.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a discrete group with identity e . A *partial action* of G on A is a collection $\{(\theta_g, D_{g^{-1}}, D_g) : g \in G\}$ of partial automorphisms such that

- (i) $D_e = A$ and θ_e is the identity map on A ;
- (ii) $\theta_h^{-1}(D_h \cap D_{g^{-1}}) \subseteq D_{(gh)^{-1}}$;
- (iii) $\theta_g(\theta_h(x)) = \theta_{gh}(x)$ for $x \in \theta_h^{-1}(D_h \cap D_{g^{-1}})$.

It is not hard to see that $\theta_{h^{-1}} = \theta_h^{-1}$, $\theta_h \circ \theta_h^{-1}$ is the identity map on D_h and conditions (i)-(iii) are equivalent to the following:

- (i) $D_e = A$ and θ_e is the identity map on A ;
- (ii)' $\theta_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = D_g \cap D_{gh}$;
- (iii)' $\theta_g(\theta_h(x)) = \theta_{gh}(x)$, for all $x \in D_{h^{-1}} \cap D_{(gh)^{-1}}$.

Let $L = \{a \in \ell^1(G, A) : a(g) \in D_g\}$. Also, let L have the norm, scalar multiplication, and addition inherited from the algebra $\ell^1(G, A)$. Before we define multiplication and involution on L , let us agree on the following convention: We shall denote by $a_g \delta_g$ the element of L which is identically zero except for its g^{th} . component which is equal to a_g . Any element of L , say x , is therefore given by

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \delta_g$$

where the sum has finitely many nonzero terms.

Based on [2] and [4], the definition of multiplication and involution on L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (a_g \delta_g)(b_h \delta_h) &= \theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h) \delta_{gh}; \\ (a_g \delta_g)^* &= \theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g^*) \delta_{g^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we will prove the main theorem of this section. That is, we will prove that L with the above defined multiplication is associative, without using limit process (compare with [4, prop.2.1]).

Theorem 3.1. L is associative.

Proof. Obviously, L is associative if and only if

$$(a_g \delta_g b_h \delta_h) c_k \delta_k = a_g \delta_g (b_h \delta_h c_k \delta_k)$$

for given elements g, h, k of G and $a_g \in D_g, b_h \in D_h$, and $c_k \in D_k$. The left hand side of the above equality is nothing but

$$\begin{aligned} (a_g \delta_g b_h \delta_h) c_k \delta_k &= \theta_g((\theta_{g^{-1}}) a_g) b_h) \delta_{gh} c_k \delta_k \\ &= \theta_{gh} \{ \theta_{(gh)^{-1}} [\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h) c_k] \} \delta_{ghk}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_g \in D_g$ and $\theta_{g^{-1}} : D_g \rightarrow D_{g^{-1}}$, we have $\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) \in D_{g^{-1}}$, as a consequence, $\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h \in D_{g^{-1}} D_h = D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h$, therefore $\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h) \in D_g \cap D_{gh}$, and we can split $\theta_{(gh)^{-1}}$, which gives that

$$\theta_{(gh)^{-1}} [\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h)] = \theta_{h^{-1}}(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h).$$

Also, since $\theta_{h^{-1}}(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g)b_h)$ is an element of $D_{h^{-1}} \cap D_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}$, we can split θ_{gh} and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (a_g \delta_g b_h \delta_h) c_k \delta_k &= \theta_{gh}[\theta_{h^{-1}}(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g)b_h) c_k] \delta_{ghk} \\ &= \theta_g\{\theta_h[\theta_{h^{-1}}(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g)b_h) c_k]\} \delta_{ghk}. \end{aligned}$$

And, the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} a_g \delta_g (b_h \delta_h c_k \delta_k) &= a_g \delta_g [\theta_h(\theta_{h^{-1}}(b_h) c_k) \delta_{hk}] \\ &= \theta_g\{\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) [\theta_h(\theta_{h^{-1}}(b_h) c_k)]\} \delta_{ghk}. \end{aligned}$$

If we apply $\theta_{g^{-1}}$ on the last equalities of the both sides we see that the equality holds if and only if

$$\theta_h[\theta_{h^{-1}}(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g)b_h) c_k] = \theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) [\theta_h(\theta_{h^{-1}}(b_h) c_k)]$$

is verified for all $a_g \in D_g, b_h \in D_h$, and $c_k \in D_k$. Since

$$\theta_{g^{-1}} : D_g \rightarrow D_{g^{-1}}$$

is an isomorphism, we see that $\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g)$ runs over $D_{g^{-1}}$, and as a consequence the equality holds if and only if

$$\theta_h[\theta_{h^{-1}}(a_g b_h) c_k] = a_g [\theta_h(\theta_{h^{-1}}(b_h) c_k)]$$

for every $a_g \in D_{g^{-1}}, b_h \in D_h$, and $c_k \in D_k$. If $g = k = e$, then $D_g = D_k = A$, and L is associative if and only if the above equality holds for arbitrary $h \in G, a_g, c_k \in A$, and $b_h \in D_h$. Obviously, this equality is equivalent to say that

$$(\theta_h o R_{c_k} o \theta_{h^{-1}}) o L_{a_g} = L_{a_g} o (\theta_h o R_{c_k} o \theta_{h^{-1}})$$

is valid on D_h for every $h \in G$ and all $a_g, c_k \in A$.

Let R_{c_k} be a right multiplier on $D_{h^{-1}}$ and L_{a_g} be a left multiplier on D_h . By Lemma 2.3, we see that $\theta_h o R_{c_k} o \theta_{h^{-1}}$ is a right multiplier on D_h . Since all ideals $D_h (h \in G)$ are idempotent, Proposition 2.4 implies that

$$(\theta_h o R_{c_k} o \theta_{h^{-1}}) o L_{a_g} = L_{a_g} o (\theta_h o R_{c_k} o \theta_{h^{-1}}).$$

■

4. ACTION OF AN INVERSE SEMIGROUP

In this section, we will present the definition of an *action* of an inverse semigroup on a C^* -algebra. Then we will consider a Banach $*$ -algebra, whose C^* -completion is nothing but the C^* -crossed product $A \times_{\theta} S$.

If A is any set, by $I(A)$ we mean the inverse semigroup of all bijections between subsets of A . The major new results of this section are Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2

Here, we present the definition of an action of an inverse semigroup on C^* -algebra.

Definition 4.1. An action of an inverse semigroup S on a C^* -algebra A is a semigroup homomorphism

$$\theta : S \rightarrow I(A)$$

such that

(i) for every $s \in S$, the domain (and hence also the range) of θ_s is a closed two sided ideal of A , and θ_s is a $*$ -isomorphism.

(ii) the linear span of the union of the domains of all θ_s is dense in A .

If e is an idempotent element of S , we denote by E_e the domain of θ_e . Also, for each $s \in S$, we have that θ_s is a $*$ -isomorphism from E_{s^*} to E_s . See also [6, Sec 3.3]. In fact, E_{s^*} and E_s are closed two-sided ideals in A and (θ_s, E_{s^*}, E_s) is a partial automorphism of A . Note that, if $s \in S$, then from the definition of inverse semigroup we have $ss^*s = s$ and $s^*ss^* = s^*$. By applying θ on both sides of the above relations, we have

$$\theta_{s^*} = \theta_s^{-1}.$$

Throughout this section, by a *semipartial dynamical system*, we mean a triple (A, S, θ) in which A is a C^* -algebra, S is a (not necessarily unital) inverse semigroup, and θ is an action of S on A .

Let (A, S, θ) be a semipartial dynamical system. Take

$$L = \{x \in \ell^1(S, A) : x(s) \in E_s\}.$$

It is not hard to see that

$$L = \bigoplus_{s \in S} E_s.$$

If $x \in L$, then $x = (a_s)_{s \in S}$ in which $a_s \in E_s$ and $a_s = 0$ for all but finitely many s . For given $s \in S$ and $a_s \in E_s$, let $a_s \delta_s$ be the element of L which is identically zero except for its s^{th} component which is equal to a_s . Therefore any element of L , say $x = (a_s)_{s \in S}$, is given by $x = \sum_{s \in S} a_s \delta_s$, where the sum has finitely many nonzero terms. Let L have the norm, scalar multiplication and, addition inherited from $\ell^1(S, A)$. Based on [2] and [4] multiplication and involution is given by

$$(a_s \delta_s) \cdot (b_t \delta_t) = \theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s) b_t) \delta_{st}, \text{ and } (a_s \delta_s)^* = \theta_{s^*}(a_s^*) \delta_{s^*}$$

for every s, t in S , $a_s \in E_s$, and $b_t \in E_t$. By using [7, Prop.4.1] it is easy to see that L is a normed $*$ -algebra.

Here, let us present an auxiliary double centralizer which will be used in the proof of the main theorem of this section.

Proposition 4.1. *Given an inverse semigroup S , a C^* -algebra A , and a partial automorphism (θ_s, E_{s^*}, E_s) of A , if L and R are respectively, a left and a right centralizer of E_{s^*} , then*

$$(\theta_s \circ L \circ \theta_{s^*}, \theta_s \circ R \circ \theta_{s^*}) \in M(E_s).$$

Proof. It is obvious that $\theta_s \circ L \circ \theta_{s^*}$ and $\theta_s \circ R \circ \theta_{s^*}$ are linear transformations on E_s . To prove that $(\theta_s \circ L \circ \theta_{s^*}, \theta_s \circ R \circ \theta_{s^*})$ is a multiplier of E_s , let $\theta_s \circ L \circ \theta_{s^*} = L'$ and $\theta_s \circ R \circ \theta_{s^*} = R'$. For $x \in A$ and $a, b \in E_s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'_x(ab) &= \theta_s \circ L_x(\theta_{s^*}(ab)) = \theta_s(x \theta_{s^*}(ab)) \\ &= \theta_s(x) \theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(ab)) = \theta_s(x)(ab), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L'(a)b &= [\theta_s \circ L_x(\theta_{s^*}(a))]b = [\theta_s(x \theta_{s^*}(a))]b \\ &= [\theta_s(x)a]b = \theta_s(x)(ab). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $L'(ab) = L'(a)b$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} R'(ab) &= (\theta_s \circ R \circ \theta_{s^*})(ab) = \theta_s \circ R_x(\theta_{s^*}(ab)) \\ &= \theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(ab)x) = (ab)\theta_s(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$aR'(b) = a(\theta_s \circ R_x \circ \theta_{s^*})(b) = a(\theta_s \circ R_x(\theta_{s^*}(b)))$$

$$= a\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(b)x) = a(b\theta_s(x)) = (ab)\theta_s(x),$$

therefore,

$$R'(ab) = aR'(b).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} R'(a)b &= [(\theta_s \circ R_x \circ \theta_{s^*})(a)]b = [\theta_s \circ R_x(\theta_{s^*}(a))]b \\ &= [\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a)x)]b = [a\theta_s(x)]b. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} aL'(b) &= a[(\theta_s \circ L_x \circ \theta_{s^*})b] = a[\theta_s \circ L_x(\theta_{s^*}(b))] \\ &= a\theta_s(x\theta_{s^*}(b)) = a\theta_s(x)b. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $R'(a)b = aL'(b)$, and these facts show that $(\theta_s \circ L \circ \theta_{s^*}, \theta_s \circ R \circ \theta_{s^*})$ is a multiplier of E_s . ■

Now, we would like to show that the normed *-algebra L is associative with the multiplication defined following the Definition 4.1. Note that the following result is proved in Proposition 4.1 of [7], and not only we are not assuming that our inverse semigroup is unital, but we will prove the result without the approximate identity.

Theorem 4.2. *The normed *-algebra L is associative. (compare with [7, Prop.4.1.]*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{r \in S} a_r \delta_r, y = \sum_{s \in S} a_s \delta_s$, and $z = \sum_{t \in S} a_t \delta_t$ are arbitrary elements of L . We want to show that

$$(x.y).z = x.(y.z).$$

It suffices to show this for $x = a_r \delta_r, y = a_s \delta_s$, and $z = a_t \delta_t$.

$$\begin{aligned} (a_r \delta_r . a_s \delta_s) . a_t \delta_t &= \theta_r(\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s)\delta_{rs} . a_t \delta_t \\ &= \theta_{rs}\{\theta_{(rs)^*}[\theta_r(\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s)]a_t\}\delta_{rst} \\ &= \theta_{rs}\{\theta_{s^*r^*}[\theta_r(\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s)]a_t\}\delta_{rst} \\ &= \theta_{rs}\{\theta_{s^*}[\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s]a_t\}\delta_{rst} \\ &= \theta_r\{\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}[\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s]a_t)\}\delta_{rst}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since $a_r \in E_r$ and $\theta_{r^*} : E_r \rightarrow E_{r^*}$ is a C^* -algebra isomorphism, we have $\theta_{r^*}(a_r) \in E_{r^*}$ and $\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s \in E_{r^*}E_s = E_{r^*} \cap E_s \subset E_{r^*}$. We used the fact that $\theta_{r^*r} = \theta_{r^*}\theta_r$ is the identity map on E_{r^*} .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} a_r \delta_r . (a_s \delta_s . a_t \delta_t) &= a_r \delta_r . [\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s)a_t)]\delta_{st} \\ &= \theta_r\{\theta_{r^*}(a_r)[\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s)a_t)]\}\delta_{rst}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, L is associative if and only if

$$\theta_r\{\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}[\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s]a_t)\}\delta_{rst} = \theta_r\{\theta_{r^*}(a_r)[\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s)a_t)]\}\delta_{rst}.$$

If we apply θ_{r^*} to the both sides of the above equality, we see that equality holds if and only if

$$\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}[\theta_{r^*}(a_r)a_s]a_t) = \theta_{r^*}(a_r)[\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s)a_t)].$$

Since $\theta_{r^*} : E_r \rightarrow E_{r^*}$ is an isomorphism, $\theta_{r^*}(a_r)$ runs over E_{r^*} and consequently, the last equality is equivalent to

$$\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}[a a_s]a_t) = a[\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s)a_t)]$$

for arbitrary elements a in E_{r^*} , $a_s \in E_s$, and $a_t \in E_t$. If $r = t$, we have $E_r = E_t$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}[a a_s]a_t) = a[\theta_s(\theta_{s^*}(a_s)a_t)]$$

for a_r, a_t in $E_r, s \in S$, and $a_s \in E_s$.

Let R_{a_t} be a right multiplier of E_{s^*} and L_a be a left multiplier of E_s . By Lemma 2.3, $\theta_s \circ R_{a_t} \circ \theta_{s^*}$ is a right multiplier of E_s . Since A is a C^* -algebra and all closed ideals of A are idempotent, by Proposition 2.4 we have

$$[(\theta_s \circ R_{a_t} \circ \theta_{s^*}) \circ L_a](a_s) = [L_a \circ (\theta_s \circ R_{a_t} \circ \theta_{s^*})](a_s),$$

which is nothing but the desired equality. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BLACKADAR, *Operator Algebras, theory of C^* -algebras and Von Neumann algebras*, Springer, 2006.
- [2] R. EXEL, Circle actions on C^* -algebras, partial automorphisms and generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **122** (1994), pp. 361–401.
- [3] R. EXEL, Twisted partial actions, a classification of regular C^* -algebraic bundles, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **74** (1997), pp. 417–443.
- [4] K. MCCLANAHAN, K -theory for partial crossed products by discrete groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **130** (1995), pp. 77–117.
- [5] G. J. MURPHY, *C^* -algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, Boston, 1990.
- [6] A. L. T. PATERSON, *Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and Their Operator Algebras*, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [7] N. SIEBEN, C^* -crossed products by partial actions and actions of inverse semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **63** (1997), pp. 32–46.