



**RENORMALIZED SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION
WITH LOWER ORDER TERMS**

A. ABERQI¹, J. BENNOUNA¹, M. MEKKOUR¹ AND H. REDWANE²

Received 18 December, 2012; accepted 5 July, 2013; published 30 December, 2013.

¹:UNIVERSITÉ SIDI MOHAMMED BEN ABDELLAH, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, LABORATOIRE LAMA, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DHAR-MAHREZ, B.P 1796 ATLAS FÉS, MOROCCO.

²:FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES JURIDIQUES, ECONOMIQUES ET SOCIALES, UNIVERSITÉ HASSAN 1, B.P. 784. SETTAT, MOROCCO.

aberqi_ahmed@yahoo.fr
jbennouna@hotmail.com
mekkour.mounir@yahoo.fr
redwane_hicham@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the existence of renormalized solutions for the nonlinear parabolic problem: $\frac{\partial b(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) + \operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u)) = f$, where the right side belongs to $L^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and $b(u)$ is unbounded function of u , the term $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is a Leray–Lions operator and the function ϕ is a nonlinear lower order and satisfy only the growth condition.

Key words and phrases: Parabolic problems, Sobolev space, Renormalized solutions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A15. Secondary 46A32, 47D20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$), T is a positive real number, and $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let b is a strictly increasing C^1 -function, the data f and $b(u_0)$ in $L^1(Q)$ and $L^1(\Omega)$ respectively, $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is a Leray-Lions operator defined on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (see assumptions (2.2)-(2.4) of Section 2). The function $\phi(x, t, u)$ is a Carathéodory assumed to be continuous on u (see assumptions (2.5)-(2.7)). We consider the following nonlinear parabolic problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) + \operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u)) = f & \text{in } Q_T \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ b(u(x, 0)) = b(u_0(x)) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Under our assumptions, problem (1.1) does not admit, in general, a weak solution since the term $\phi(x, t, u)$ may not belong $(L_{loc}^1(Q))^N$. In order to overcome this difficulty, we work with the framework of renormalized solutions (see definition (3.1)). The notion of renormalized solutions was introduced by R.-J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions [14] for the study of the Boltzmann equation. It was then used by L. Boccardo and al (see [10]) when the right hand side is in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and by J.-M. Rakotoson (see [19]) when the right hand side is in $L^1(\Omega)$.

The existence and uniqueness of a renormalized solution has been proved by D. Blanchard and F. Murat [6] in the case where $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ is independent of s , and with $\phi = 0$ and by D. Blanchard, F. Murat and H. Redwane [5] with the large monotonicity on a .

For the degenerated parabolic equations the existence of weak solutions have been proved by L. Aharouch and al [1] in the case where a is strictly monotone, $\phi = 0$ and $f \in L^{p'}(0, T, W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega^*))$. See also the existence of renormalized solution proved by Y. Akdim and al [3] in the case where $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ is independent of s and $\phi = 0$.

In the case where $b(u) = u$, the existence of renormalized solutions for (1.1) has been established by R.-Di Nardo (see [12]). For the degenerated parabolic equation with $b(u) = u$, $\operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u)) = H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $f \in L^1(Q)$, the existence of renormalized solution has been proved by Y. Akdim and al (see [4]).

The case where $b(u) = b(x, u)$, $\operatorname{div}(\phi(x, t, u)) = H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $f \in L^1(Q)$, the existence of renormalized solutions has been established by H. Redwane (see [17]) in the classical Sobolev space and by Y. Akdim and al (see [2]) in the degenerate Sobolev space.

It is our purpose, in this paper to generalize the result of ([3], [4], [12]) and we prove the existence of a renormalized solution of (1.1).

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we give some preliminaries and basic assumptions. In Section 3 we give the definition of a renormalized solution of (1.1), and we establish (Theorem 3.1) the existence of such a solution.

2. BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Preliminaries. Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$), T is a positive real number, and $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. We need the Sobolev embeddings result

Theorem 2.1. (Gagliardo-Nirenberg) *Let v be a function in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ with $q \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive constant C , depending on N , q and p , such that*

$$\|v\|_{L^\gamma(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{(L(\Omega))^N}^\theta \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}$$

for every θ and γ satisfying

$$0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq +\infty, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} = \theta \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{N} \right) + \frac{1-\theta}{p}.$$

An immediate consequence of the previous result:

Corollary 2.2. Let $v \in L^q((0, T), L^q(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty((0, T), L^\rho(\Omega))$, with $q \geq 1$, $\rho \geq 1$. Then $v \in L^\sigma(\Omega)$ with $\sigma = q(\frac{N+\rho}{N})$ and

$$\int_{Q_T} |v|^\sigma dxdt \leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty((0, T), L^\rho(\Omega))}^{\frac{\rho q}{N}} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla v|^q dxdt.$$

Lemma 2.3. (see [12]) Assume that Ω is an open set of \mathbb{R}^N of finite measure and $1 < p < +\infty$. Let u be a measurable function satisfying $T_k(u) \in L^p((0, T), W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty((0, T), L^2(\Omega))$ for every k and such that:

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u)|^2 + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u)|^p \leq Mk, \quad \forall k > 0$$

where M is a positive constant. Then

$$\| |u|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{p(N+1)-N}{N(p-1)}, \infty}(Q_T)} \leq CM^{\frac{p}{N+1} \frac{N}{N+p}} |Q_T|^{\frac{1}{p'} \frac{N}{N+p}}$$

$$\| |\nabla u|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{p(N+1)-N}{(N+1)(p-1)}, \infty}(Q_T)} \leq CM^{\frac{(N+2)(p-1)}{p(N+1)-N}}$$

where C is a constant depend only on N and p .

2.2. Assumption(H). Throughout this paper, we assume that the following assumptions hold true:

$$(2.1) \quad b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is strictly increasing } C^1\text{-function, such that } b' > \beta > 0 \text{ and } b(0) = 0.$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad |a(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \nu[h(x, t) + |\xi|^{p-1}], \text{ with } \nu > 0 \text{ and } h(x, t) \in L^{p'}(Q_T),$$

$$(2.3) \quad a(x, t, s, \xi)\xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^p, \text{ with } \alpha > 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \text{ with } \xi \neq \eta,$$

$$(2.5) \quad |\phi(x, t, s)| \leq c(x, t)|s|^\gamma,$$

$$(2.6) \quad c(x, t) \in (L^\tau(Q_T))^N, \quad \tau = \frac{N+p}{p-1},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma = \frac{N+2}{N+p}(p-1)$$

for almost every $(x, t) \in Q_T$, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$(2.8) \quad f \in L^1(Q_T),$$

$$(2.9) \quad u_0 \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } b(u_0) \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Throughout the paper, T_k denotes the truncation function at height $k \geq 0$:

$$T_k(r) = \max(-k, \min(k, r))$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we study the existence of renormalized solutions to problem (1.1).

Definition 3.1. A measurable function u is a renormalized solution to problem (1.1), if

$$(3.1) \quad b(u) \in L^\infty((0, T), L^1(\Omega)).$$

$$(3.2) \quad T_k(u) \in L^p((0, T), W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)), \text{ for any } k > 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{n \leq |u| \leq n+1\}} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u \, dx \, dt = 0,$$

and if for every function S in $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which is piecewise C^1 and such that S' has a compact support

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial B_S(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(a(x, t, u, \nabla u) S'(u) \right) + S''(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u \\ + \operatorname{div} \left(\phi(x, t, u) S'(u) \right) - S''(u) \phi(x, t, u) \nabla u = f S'(u) \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad B_S(u)(t=0) = B_S(u_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $B_S(z) = \int_0^z b'(s) S'(s) ds$.

Equation (3.4) is formally obtained through multiplication of (1.1) by $S'(u)$. However while $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $\phi(x, t, u)$ does not in general make sense in (1.1), all the terms in (3.4) have a meaning in $D'(Q_T)$. Indeed, if M is such that $\operatorname{supp} S' \subset [-M, M]$, the following identifications are made in (3.4):

- $S'(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ identifies with $S'(u) a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))$ a.e in Q_T .
- $S''(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u$ identifies with $S''(u) a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u)) \nabla T_M(u)$ a.e. in Q_T .
- $S'(u) \phi(x, t, u)$ identifies with $S'(u) \phi(x, t, T_M(u))$ a.e. in Q_T .
- $S''(u) \phi(x, t, u) \nabla u$ identifies with $S''(u) \phi(x, t, T_M(u)) \nabla T_M(u)$ a.e. in Q_T .

The above consideration shows that equation (3.4) hold in $D'(\Omega)$, $\frac{\partial B_S(u)}{\partial t}$ belongs to $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T, W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))$ and $B_S(u) \in L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$. It follows that $B_S(u)$ belongs to $C^0([0, T], L^1(\Omega))$ so the initial condition (3.5) makes sense.

Theorem 3.1. Assume the assumption (H) hold, then problem (1.1) admits a renormalized solution u in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Proof. The proof is divided into six steps.

Step 1: Approximate problem and a priori estimates.

For each $\epsilon > 0$, we define the following approximations

$$(3.6) \quad b_\epsilon(r) = T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(b(r)) + \epsilon r. \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(3.7) \quad a_\epsilon(x, t, s, \xi) = a(x, t, T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(s), \xi). \text{ a.e in } Q \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \phi_\epsilon(x, t, r) = \phi(x, t, T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(r)) \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in Q_T, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(3.9) \quad f_\epsilon \in L^{p'}(Q_T) \text{ such that } f_\epsilon \rightarrow f \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q_T)$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad u_{0\epsilon} \in D(\Omega) \text{ such that } b_\epsilon(u_{0\epsilon}) \rightarrow b(u_0) \text{ strongly in } L^1(\Omega),$$

Let us consider the approximate problem :

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon)) + \operatorname{div}(\phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon)) = f_\epsilon & \text{in } Q_T \\ u_\epsilon(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon(x, 0)) = b_\epsilon(u_{0\epsilon}(x)) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, proving existence of a weak solution $u_\epsilon \in L^p((0, T), W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ is an easy task (See [16]).

Step 2: The estimates derived in this step rely on standard techniques for problems of type (3.11).

Let $\tau_1 \in (0, T)$ and t fixed in $(0, \tau_1)$. Using in (3.11), $T_k(u_\epsilon)\chi_{(0,t)}$ as test function, we integrate between $(0, \tau_1)$, and by the condition (3.8) we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} B_k^\epsilon(u_\epsilon(t)) dx + \int_{Q_t} a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) dx ds \\ & \leq \int_{Q_t} c(x, t) |u_\epsilon|^\gamma |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)| dx ds + \int_{Q_t} f_\epsilon T_k(u_\epsilon) dx ds + \int_{\Omega} B_k^\epsilon(u_{0\epsilon}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_k^\epsilon(r) = \int_0^r T_k(s) b_\epsilon'(s) ds$. Due to definition of B_k^ϵ we have:

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} B_k^\epsilon(u_{0\epsilon}) dx \leq k \int_{\Omega} |b_\epsilon(u_{0\epsilon})| dx \leq k \|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall k > 0$$

Using (3.12) and (2.3) we obtain:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} B_k^\epsilon(u_\epsilon(t)) dx + \alpha \int_{Q_t} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \\ & \leq \int_{Q_t} c(x, t) |u_\epsilon|^\gamma |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)| ds dx + k (\|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1(Q)}) \end{aligned}$$

If we take the supremum for $t \in (0, \tau_1)$ and we define $M = \sup(\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)})$, we deduce from that above inequality (3.12) and (3.13)

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{Q_t} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \leq Mk + \int_{Q_t} c(x, t) |u_\epsilon|^\gamma |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)| dx ds.$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young inequalities we have:

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} c(x, t) |u_\epsilon|^\gamma |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)| dx ds \leq C \frac{\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})} \sup_{t \in (0, \tau_1)} \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx \\ & + C \frac{N+2+\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})} \left(\int_{Q_{\tau_1}} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \right)^{\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{N\gamma}{(N+2)p}\right) \frac{N+2}{N+2-\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma = \frac{(N+2)}{N+p}(p-1)$ and by using (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{Q_t} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \\ & \leq Mk + C \frac{\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})} \sup_{t \in (0, \tau_1)} \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx \\ & + C \frac{N+2+\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})} \int_{Q_{\tau_1}} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\beta}{2} - C \frac{\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})}\right) \sup_{t \in (0, \tau_1)} \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{Q_{\tau_1}} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \\ - C \frac{N+2+\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})} \int_{Q_{\tau_1}} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx ds \leq Mk$$

If we choose τ_1 such that

$$(3.17) \quad \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - C \frac{\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})}\right) \geq 0,$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad \left(\alpha - C \frac{N+2+\gamma}{N+2} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_{\tau_1})}\right) \geq 0,$$

then, let us denote by C the minimum between (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, \tau_1)} \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx + \int_{Q_{\tau_1}} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^p dx dt \leq CMk$$

Then, by (3.19) and lemma 3.1, we conclude that $T_k(u_\epsilon)$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ independently of ϵ and for any $k \geq 0$, so there exists a subsequence still denoted by u_ϵ such that

$$(3.20) \quad T_k(u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup \sigma_k \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

We turn now to prove the almost every convergence of u_ϵ and $b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$. Let $g_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_k(s) = s$ for $|s| \leq \frac{k}{2}$ and $g_k(s) = k$ for $|s| \geq k$. Pointwise multiplication of the approximate equation (3.11) by $g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))$ leads to

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{\partial g_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) \right) \\ + a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon + \operatorname{div} \left(\phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) \right) \\ - g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon = f_\epsilon g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega)$$

Now each term in (3.21) is taking into account because of (2.2), (3.7) and $T_k(u_\epsilon)$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T, w_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, we deduce that:

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) \right) + a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon + f_\epsilon g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))$$

is bounded in $L^1(Q_T) + L^{p'}(0, T, W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))$ independently of ϵ . Due to definition of b and b_ϵ , we have $\{|b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)| \leq k\} \subset \{|u_\epsilon| \leq k^*\}$ where k^* is a constant independent of ϵ . As a first consequence we have:

$$Dg_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) = g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon)) DT_{k^*}(u_\epsilon) \quad \text{a.e in } Q$$

as soon as $k^* < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Secondly the following estimate hold true:

$$\|g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon))\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \|g'_k\|_{L^\infty(Q)} (\max_{|r| \leq k^*} (b'(r) + 1)).$$

As a consequence, we obtain:

$$(3.22) \quad g_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) \text{ is bounded in } L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)).$$

Since $\text{supp}(g'_k)$ and $\text{supp}(g''_k)$ are both included in $[-k, k]$ by (3.8) it follows that for all $\epsilon < \frac{1}{k}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Q_T} \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon)^{p'} g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))^{p'} dx dt \right| &\leq \int_{Q_T} c(x, t)^{p'} |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^{p'\gamma} |g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))|^{p'} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\{|u_\epsilon| \leq k^*\}} c(x, t)^{p'} |T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon)|^{p'\gamma} |g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))|^{p'} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Hölder and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, it results

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\{|u_\epsilon| \leq k^*\}} c(x, t)^{p'} |T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon)|^{p'\gamma} |g'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))|^{p'} dx dt \\ &\leq \|g'_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_T)}^{p'} [\sup_{t \in (0, T)} (\int_{\Omega} |T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon)|^2 dx)^{\frac{p'}{N}} + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon)|^p dx dt] \leq c_{k^*} \end{aligned}$$

where c_{k^*} is a constant independently of ϵ which will vary from line to line.

In the same by (3.8) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.23) \quad &\left| \int_{Q_T} \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon)^{p'} (g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon)^{p'} dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{Q_T} \int_{Q_T} (g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))^{p'} b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)^{p'} |c(x, t)|^{p'} |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^{p'} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p'} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Hölder and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we obtain for $\epsilon < \frac{1}{k^*}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_T} (g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))^{p'} b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)^{p'} |c(x, t)|^{p'} |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^{p'} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p'} dx dt \\ &= \int_{Q_T} (g''_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))^{p'} b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)^{p'} |c(x, t)|^{p'} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^{p'} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^{p'} dx dt \\ &\leq \|g''_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \times \sup_{|r| \leq k^*} |b'(r)| \int_{Q_T} |c(x, t)|^{p'} |T_{k^*}(u_\epsilon)|^{p'} |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^{p'} dx dt \leq c_{k^*} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by (3.21) that

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{\partial g_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))}{\partial t} \text{ is bounded in } L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T, W^{-1, p'}(\Omega)).$$

Arguing again as in [8], estimates (3.22) and (3.24) imply that, for a subsequence, still indexed by ϵ ,

$$(3.25) \quad u_\epsilon \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. } Q_T,$$

where u is a measurable function defined on Q_T .

Let us prove that $b(u)$ belongs to $L^\infty((0, T), L^1(\Omega))$. We take $T_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))$ as test function in (3.11), by (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.26) \quad &\int_{\Omega} B_k^\epsilon(u_\epsilon) dx + \int_{Q_T} a_\epsilon(x, t, u, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla T_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) dx dt \\ &\leq \int_{Q_T} |c(x, t)| |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^\gamma |\nabla T_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))| dx dt + k (\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1(Q_T)} + \|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

with $B_k(r) = \int_0^{b(r)} T_k(s) ds$. On the other hand, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \int_{Q_T} a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla T_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) dx ds$$

$$= \int_{\{|b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)| \leq k\}} a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla T'_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)) b'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon \, dx \, ds \geq 0.$$

Since $b'(s) \geq \beta$, then for $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{k}$ and for almost $t \in (0, T)$, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \int_{Q_T} |c(x, t)| |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^\gamma |\nabla T_k(b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon))| \, dx \, dt \\ \leq \max_{|s| \leq \frac{k}{\beta}} (b'(s)) \|c(x, t)\|_{L^\tau(Q_T)} \left(\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left(\int_\Omega |T_{\frac{k}{\beta}}(u_\epsilon)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{N+p}} \times \|\nabla T_{\frac{k}{\beta}}(u_\epsilon)\|_{L^p(Q_T)}^{\frac{p(N+1)}{N+p}} \right) \leq c_k.$$

Using (3.13), (3.28) and (3.26) in (3.27), we have

$$\int_\Omega B_k^\epsilon(u_\epsilon(t)) \leq c_k + k \left(\|f\|_{L^1(Q_T)} + \|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right)$$

Passing to limit-inf as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that:

$$\int_\Omega B_k(u(t)) \, dx \leq c_k + k \left(\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1(Q_T)} + \|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) \text{ for almost } t \in (0, T).$$

Due to definition of B_k , we have

$$k \int_\Omega |b(u(x, t))| \, dx \leq \int_\Omega B_k(u)(t) \, dx + \frac{3}{2} k^2 \text{mes}(\Omega) \\ \leq k (\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|b(u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) + c_k + \frac{3}{2} k^2 \text{mes}(\Omega).$$

then we conclude $b(u) \in L^\infty((0, T), L^1(\Omega))$.

Lemma 3.2. *The subsequence of u_ϵ defined in Step 1 satisfies*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{n \leq |u_\epsilon| \leq n+1\}} a(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon \, dx \, dt = 0.$$

Proof. Using $\psi_n(u_\epsilon) \equiv T_{n+1}(u_\epsilon) - T_n(u_\epsilon)$ as a test function in (3.11), and by (3.8) we get

$$(3.29) \quad \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t}, \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \right\rangle dt + \int_{Q_t} a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \, dx \, dt \\ \leq \int_\Omega c(x, t) |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^\gamma |\nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon)| \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_T} f_\epsilon \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \, dx \, dt$$

hence

$$\int_\Omega B_n(u_\epsilon)(T) \, dx + \int_{Q_t} a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \\ \leq \int_{Q_T} c(x, t) |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^\gamma |\nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon)| \, dx \, dt + \int_\Omega B_n(u_0)_\epsilon \, dx + \int_{Q_T} f_\epsilon \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \, dx \, dt,$$

where $B_n(r) = \int_0^r b'_\epsilon(s) \psi_n(s) \, ds$. Since $\psi_n \geq 0$ and $B_n(u_\epsilon)(T) \geq 0$, then for every $\epsilon < \frac{1}{n+1}$, we have

$$a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon) = a(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \text{ a.e. in } Q$$

As a consequence

$$(3.30) \quad \int_{Q_T} a(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \, dx \, dt \leq \int_{Q_T} c(x, t) |T_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(u_\epsilon)|^\gamma |\nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon)| \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_\Omega B_n(u_0)_\epsilon \, dx + \int_{Q_T} f_\epsilon \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \, dx \, dt.$$

Proceeding as in ([6], [8]) it can be deduced from (3.30) that

$$(3.31) \quad \psi_n(u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup \psi_n(u) \text{ weakly in } L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)).$$

We have $\nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon) = \chi_{\{n \leq |u_\epsilon| \leq n+1\}} \nabla u_\epsilon$ a.e in Q_T , by Young inequality and (2.3), we obtain

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{p'} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla \psi_n(u_\epsilon)|^p dx dt &\leq \int_{Q_T} f^\epsilon \psi_n(u_\epsilon) dx dt + \int_{\Omega} B_n(u_{0\epsilon}) dx \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^{-\frac{p'}{p}}}{p'} \int_{\{n \leq |u_\epsilon| \leq n+1\}} c(x, t) |T_{n+1}(u_\epsilon)|^\gamma dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the weakly convergence of $\psi_n(u_\epsilon)$, the pointwise convergence of u_ϵ and the strongly convergence in L^1 of f^ϵ and $B_n(u_{0\epsilon})$, it follows that

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{p'} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla \psi_n(u)|^p dx dt &\leq \int_{Q_T} f \psi_n(u) dx dt + \int_{\Omega} B_n(u_0) dx \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^{-\frac{p'}{p}}}{p'} \int_{\{n \leq |u| \leq n+1\}} c(x, t) |T_{n+1}(u)|^\gamma dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality, together with the assumptions (2.8), (2.9), shows that $\psi_n(u)$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ independently of n . Thanks to the pointwise convergence of $\psi_n(u)$ to 0 and weakly in $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} f \psi_n dx dt = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{n \leq |u| \leq n+1} (c(x, t))^{p'} |u|^{\gamma p'} dx dt = 0.$$

Moreover

$$|B_n(u_0)| \leq c \int_0^{u_0} \psi_n(s) ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and $|B_n(u_0)| \leq |b(u_0)|$ a.e. in Ω , since $b(u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$, by Lebesgue's convergence theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} B_n(u_0) dx = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla \psi_n(u)|^p dx dt = 0,$$

then

$$(3.34) \quad \psi_n(u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)).$$

Finally, passing to the limit in (3.30) as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$(3.35)$$

■

Step 4: In this step we introduce a time regularization of the $T_k(u)$ for $k > 0$ in order to perform the monotonicity method. This kind regularization has been introduced at the first time by R. Landes in [15]. Let v_0^μ be a sequence of function in $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\|v_0^\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq k$ for all $\mu > 0$ and v_0^μ converges to $T_k(u_0)$ a.e. in Ω and $\frac{1}{\mu}\|v_0^\mu\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ converges to 0. For $k \geq 0$ and $\mu > 0$, let us consider the unique solution $(T_k(u))_\mu \in L^\infty(Q) \cap L^p(0, T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ of the monotone problem:

$$\frac{\partial(T_k(u))_\mu}{\partial t} + \mu((T_k(u))_\mu - T_k(u)) = 0 \text{ in } D'(\Omega),$$

$$(T_k(u))_\mu(t=0) = v_0^\mu \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Remark that $(T_k(u))_\mu \rightarrow T_k(u)$ a.e. in Q_T , weakly-* in $L^\infty(Q)$ and strongly in $L^p((0, T), W_0^p(\Omega))$ as $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\|(T_k(u))_\mu\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \max(\|(T_k(u))\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|v_0^\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \leq k, \quad \forall \mu > 0, \forall k > 0$$

Lemma 3.3. (see H. Redwane [18]) Let $k \geq 0$ be fixed. Let S be an increasing $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -function such that $S(r) = r$ for $|r| \leq k$, and $\text{supp} S'$ is compact. Then

$$\liminf_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \langle \frac{\partial b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t}, S'(u_\epsilon)(T_k(u_\epsilon) - (T_k(u))_\mu) \rangle \geq 0.$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $L^1(\Omega) + W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Step 5: We prove the following lemma which is the critical point in the development of the monotonicity method.

Lemma 3.4. The subsequence of u_ϵ satisfies for any $k \geq 0$

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega a(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) \leq \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \sigma_k \nabla T_k(u).$$

Proof. Let S_n be a sequence of increasing C^∞ -function such that

$$S_n(r) = r \text{ for } |r| \leq n, \text{ supp}(S'_n) \subset [-(n+1), (n+1)] \text{ and } \|S''_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1 \text{ for any } n \geq 1.$$

We use the sequence $(T_k(u))_\mu$ of approximation of $T_k(u)$, and plug the test function $S'_n(u_\epsilon)(T_k(u_\epsilon) - (T_k(u))_\mu)$ for $n > 0$ and $\mu > 0$. For fixed $k \geq 0$, let $W_\mu^\epsilon = T_k(u_\epsilon) - (T_k(u))_\mu$ we obtain upon integration over $(0, t)$ and then over $(0, T)$:

$$(3.36) \quad \int_0^T \int_0^t \langle \frac{\partial b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t}, S'_n(u_\epsilon) W_\mu^\epsilon \rangle ds dt + \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) S'_n(u_\epsilon) \nabla W_\mu^\epsilon dx ds dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) S''_n(u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon \nabla W_\mu^\epsilon dx ds dt$$

$$- \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) S'_n(u_\epsilon) \nabla W_\mu^\epsilon dx ds dt$$

$$- \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega S''_n(u_\epsilon) \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon \nabla W_\mu^\epsilon dx ds dt = \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega f_\epsilon S'_n(u_\epsilon) W_\mu^\epsilon dx ds dt.$$

Now we pass to the limit in (3.36) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$ and then $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for k real number fixed. In order to perform this task we prove below the following results for any fixed $k \geq 0$

$$(3.37) \quad \liminf_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \langle \frac{\partial b_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t}, W_\mu^\epsilon \rangle ds dt \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } n \geq k,$$

$$(3.38) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, u_{\epsilon}) S'_n(u_{\epsilon}) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} dx ds dt = 0 \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1,$$

$$(3.39) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, u_{\epsilon}) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} dx ds dt = 0 \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1,$$

$$(3.40) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} a_{\epsilon}(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}) S''_n(u_{\epsilon}) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} dx ds dt = 0,$$

$$(3.41) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f_{\epsilon} S'_n(u_{\epsilon}) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} dx ds dt = 0.$$

Proof of (3.37): The function S_n belongs $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and is increasing. we have $n \geq k$, $S_n(r) = r$ for $|r| \leq k$ while $\text{supp} S'_n$ is compact. In view of the definition of W_{μ}^{ϵ} and lemma 3.3 applies with $S = S_n$ for fixed $n \geq k$. As a consequence (3.37) holds true.

Proof of (3.38): Let us recall the main properties of W_{μ}^{ϵ} . For fixed $\mu > 0$: W_{μ}^{ϵ} converges to $T_k(u) - (T_k(u))_{\mu}$ weakly in $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Remark that

$$(3.42) \quad \|W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(Q_T)} \leq 2k \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0, \mu > 0,$$

then we deduce that

$$(3.43) \quad W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup T_k(u) - (T_k(u))_{\mu} \quad \text{a.e in } Q_T \text{ and } L^{\infty}(Q_T)$$

weakly-* when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. one had $\text{supp} S'_n \subset [-(n+1), -n] \cup [n, n+1]$ for any fixed $n \geq 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{n+1}$.

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, u_{\epsilon}) S'_n(u_{\epsilon}) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_{\epsilon})) S'_n(u_{\epsilon}) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T$$

since $\text{supp} S' \subset [-(n+1), n+1]$, on the other hand

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_{\epsilon})) S'_n(u_{\epsilon}) \rightarrow \phi(x, t, T_{n+1}(u)) S'_n(u) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T$$

and

$$|\phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_{\epsilon})) S'_n(u_{\epsilon})| \leq c(x, t)(n+1)^{\gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

by (3.43) and strongly convergence of $T_k(u_{\epsilon})_{\mu}$ in $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ we obtain (3.38).

Proof of (3.39): For any fixed $n \geq 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{n+1}$.

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, u_{\epsilon}) S''_n(u_{\epsilon}) \nabla u_{\epsilon} W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} = \phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_{\epsilon})) S''_n(u_{\epsilon}) \nabla T_{n+1}(u_{\epsilon}) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T$$

as in the previous step it is possible to pass to the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ since by (3.42) and (3.43)

$$\phi_{\epsilon}(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_{\epsilon})) S''_n(u_{\epsilon}) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \phi(x, t, T_{n+1}(u)) S''_n(u) W_{\mu} \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Since $|\phi(x, t, T_{n+1}(u)) S''_n(u) W_{\mu}| \leq 2k|c(x, t)|(n+1)^{\gamma}$ a.e. in Q_T and $(T_k(u))_{\mu}$ converges to 0 in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, we obtain (3.39).

Proof of (3.40): In view of the definition of S_n we have $\text{supp} S' \subset [-(n+1), -n] \cup [n, n+1]$ for any $n \geq 1$, as a consequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} a_{\epsilon}(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}) S''_n(u_{\epsilon}) W_{\mu}^{\epsilon} dx ds dt \right| \\ & \leq T \|S''_n(u_{\epsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|W_{\mu}^{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(Q_T)} \int_{n \leq |u_{\epsilon}| \leq n+1} a(x, t, u_{\epsilon}, \nabla u_{\epsilon}) \nabla u_{\epsilon} dx ds dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \geq 1$, any $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{n+1}$ any $\mu > 0$. By (3.35) it is possible to establish (3.40).

Proof of (3.41): By (3.9), the pointwise convergence of u_ϵ and W_μ^ϵ and its boundness it is possible to pass the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $\mu > 0$ and any $n \geq 1$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega f_\epsilon S'_n(u)(T_k(u) - (T_k(u))_\mu) dx ds dt = \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega f S'_n(u)(T_k(u) - (T_k(u))_\mu).$$

Now for fixed $n \geq 1$, using that $\|(T_k(u))_\mu\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \max(\|T_k(u)\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|v_0^\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \leq k, \forall \mu > 0, \forall k > 0$ (see[15]), it possible to pass to the limit as μ tends to $+\infty$ in the above inequality.

Now we turn back to the proof of lemma 3.4. Due to (3.37)-(3.41) we can to pass to the limit-sup when μ tends to $+\infty$ and to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$ in (3.36). using the definition of W_μ^ϵ we deduce that for any $k \geq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega S'_n(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) (\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu) dx ds dt \leq 0.$$

Since $S'_n(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) = a(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)$ for $k \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $k \leq n$, using the properties of S'_n the above inequality implies that for $k \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.44) \quad & \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) (\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) dx ds dt \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega S'_n(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu dx ds dt \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $\epsilon < \frac{1}{n+1}$

$$S'_n(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) = S'_n(u_\epsilon) a(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_{n+1}(u_\epsilon)) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Furthermore we have

$$(3.45) \quad a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup \sigma_k \quad \text{weakly in } (L^{p'}(Q_T))^N$$

it follows that for a fixed $n \geq 1$

$$S'_n(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \rightarrow S'_n(u_\epsilon) \sigma_{n+1} \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'}(Q_T)$$

when ϵ tends to 0. Finally, using the strong convergence of $(T_k(u))_\mu$ to $T_k(u)$ in $L^p(0, T, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ as μ tends to $+\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.46) \quad & \lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega S'_n(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu dx ds dt \\ & = \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega S'_n(u_\epsilon) \sigma_{n+1} \nabla T_k(u) dx ds dt \end{aligned}$$

as soon as $k \leq n$. Now for $k \leq n$ we have

$$a(x, t, T_{n+1}(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_{n+1}(u_\epsilon)) \chi_{\{|u_\epsilon| \leq k\}} = a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) \chi_{\{|u_\epsilon| \leq k\}} \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T$$

which implies that, by (3.25), (3.45), and by passing to the limit when ϵ tends to 0,

$$(3.47) \quad \sigma_{n+1} \chi_{|u| \leq k} = \sigma_k \chi_{\{|u| \leq k\}} \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T - \{|u| = k\} \quad \text{for } k \leq n$$

Finally, by (3.47) and (3.45) we have for $k \leq n$: $\sigma_{n+1} \nabla T_k(u) = \sigma_k \nabla T_k(u)$ a.e. in Q_T . Recalling (3.44), (3.46) the proof of the lemma is complete. ■

Step 6: In this step we prove that the weak limit σ_k of $a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon))$ can be identified with $a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))$. In order to prove this result we recall the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *the subsequence of u_ϵ defined in Step 1 satisfies for any $k \geq 0$*

$$(3.48) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left(a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) - a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \left(\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) - \nabla T_k(u) \right) = 0$$

Proof. Using (2.3) we have

$$(3.49) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left(a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) - a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \left(\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) - \nabla T_k(u) \right) \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, by (2.2), (3.25) we have

$$a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \rightarrow a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T,$$

and

$$|a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon))| \leq \nu [h(x, t) + |\nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)|^{p-1}] \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T,$$

uniformly with respect to ϵ . As a consequence

$$(3.50) \quad a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \rightarrow a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \text{ strongly in } (L^p(Q_T))^N.$$

Finally, using (3.25), (3.45) and (3.50) make it possible to pass to the *limit – sup* as ϵ tends to 0 in (3.49) and we have (3.48). ■

Lemma 3.6. *For fixed $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$(3.51) \quad \sigma_k = a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T,$$

and as ϵ tends to 0

$$(3.52) \quad a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \nabla T_k(u)$$

weakly in $L^1(Q_T)$.

Proof. We observe that for any $k > 0$, any $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{k}$ and any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$a_\epsilon(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \xi) = a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \xi) = a_{\frac{1}{k}}(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \xi) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Since

$$(3.53) \quad T_k(u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) \quad \text{weakly in } L^p((0, T), W_0^p(\Omega)),$$

and by (3.48) we obtain

$$(3.54) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_{\frac{1}{k}}(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) dx ds dt = \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \sigma_k \nabla T_k(u) dx ds dt.$$

Since, for fixed $k > 0$, the function $a_{\frac{1}{k}}(x, t, s, \xi)$ is continuous and bounded with respect to s , the usual Minty's argument applies in view of (3.53), (3.45) and (3.54). It follows that (3.51) holds true. In order to prove (3.54), by (2.3), (3.48) and proceeding as in [5, 8] it's easy to show (3.52). ■

Taking the limit as ϵ tends to 0 in (3.35) and using (3.52) show that u satisfies (3.3). Our aim is to prove that u satisfies (3.4) and (3.5). Now we want to prove that u satisfies the equation (3.4).

Let S be a function in $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp} S' \subset [-k, k]$ where k is a real positive number. Pointwise multiplication of the approximate equation (3.11) by $S'(u_\epsilon)$ leads to

$$(3.55) \quad \frac{\partial B_S^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t} - \text{div} \left(a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) S'(u_\epsilon) \right) + S''(u_\epsilon) a(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon$$

$$+ \operatorname{div} \left(\phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) S'(u_\epsilon) \right) - S''(u_\epsilon) \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon = f^\epsilon S'(u_\epsilon) \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega).$$

In what follows we pass to the limit as ϵ tends to 0 in each term of (3.55).

Since u_ϵ converges to u a.e. in Q_T implies that $B_S^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$ converge to $B_S(u)$ a.e. in Q_T and $L^\infty(Q_T)$ weak-*, Then $\frac{\partial B_S^\epsilon}{\partial t}$ converges to $\frac{\partial B_S}{\partial t}$ in $D'(\Omega)$. We observe that the term $a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) S'(u_\epsilon)$ can be identified with $a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon)) S'(u_\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{k}$, so using the pointwise convergence of $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ in Q_T , the weakly convergence of $T_k(u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ in $L^p((0, T), W_0^p(\Omega))$, we get

$$a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) S'(u_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) S'(u) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(Q_T),$$

and

$$S''(u_\epsilon) a_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon, \nabla u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup S''(u) a(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \nabla T_k(u) \quad \text{in } L^1(Q_T).$$

Furthermore, since $\phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) S'(u_\epsilon) = \phi_\epsilon(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon)) S'(u_\epsilon)$ a.e. in Q_T . By (3.8) we obtain $|\phi_\epsilon(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon)) S'(u_\epsilon)| \leq |c(x, t)| k^\gamma$, it follows that

$$\phi_\epsilon(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon)) S'(u_\epsilon) \rightarrow \phi_\epsilon(x, t, T_k(u)) S'(u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p'}(Q_T).$$

In a similar way, it results

$$S''(u_\epsilon) \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon = S''(T_k(u_\epsilon)) \phi_\epsilon(x, t, T_k(u_\epsilon)) \nabla T_k(u_\epsilon) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Using the weakly convergence of $T_k(u_\epsilon)$ in $L^p((0, T); W_0^p(\Omega))$ it is possible to prove that

$$S''(u_\epsilon) \phi_\epsilon(x, t, u_\epsilon) \nabla u_\epsilon \rightarrow S''(u) \phi(x, t, u) \nabla u \quad \text{in } L^1(Q_T).$$

Finally by (3.9) we deduce that $f_\epsilon S'(u_\epsilon)$ converges to $f S'(u)$ in $L^1(Q_T)$.

It remains to prove that $B_S(u)$ satisfies the initial condition $B_S(t = 0) = B_S(u_0)$ in Ω . To this end, firstly remark that S being bounded, $B_S^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(Q)$. Secondly the above considerations of the behavior of the terms of this equation show that $\frac{\partial B_S^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q_T) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1, p'}(\Omega))$. As a consequence, an Aubin's type lemma (See e.g [20]) implies that $B_S^\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$ lies in a compact set of $C^0([0, T], L^1(\Omega))$. On the other hand, the smoothness of S implies that $B_S(t = 0) = B_S(u_0)$ in Ω . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] L. AHAROUCH, E. AZROUL and M. RHOUDAF, Strongly nonlinear variational degenerated parabolic problems in weighted sobolev spaces. *The Australian journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **Vol. 5**, Article 13, pp. 1-25, 2008.
- [2] Y. AKDIM, J. BENNOUNA, M. MEKKOUR, Renormalized solutions of nonlinear degenerated parabolic equations with natural growth terms and L^1 data. *International journal of evolution equations*, **Vol. 5**, Number 4, pp. 421-446, 2011.
- [3] Y. AKDIM, J. BENNOUNA, M. MEKKOUR and M. RHOUDAF, Renormalized solution of nonlinear degenerated parabolic problems with L^1 -data: existence and uniqueness, *Recent Developments in Nonlinear Analysis*, Proceedings of the conference in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics World, Scientific Publishing C.O Ltd.
- [4] Y. AKDIM, J. BENNOUNA, M. MEKKOUR, Solvability of degenerate parabolic equations without sign condition and three unbounded nonlinearities, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, No. 03, pp. 1-25, 2011.
- [5] D. BLANCHARD, F. MURAT, H. REDWANE, Existence and uniqueness of renormalized solution for fairly general class of non linear parabolic problems, *J. Differential Equations*, No. 177, pp. 331-374, 2001.

- [6] D. BLANCHARD, F. MURAT, Renormalized solutions of nonlinear parabolic with L^1 data: existence and uniqueness, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.*, A 127, pp. 1137-1152, 1997.
- [7] D. BLANCHARD, Truncations and monotonicity methods for parabolic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 21, pp. 725-43, 1993.
- [8] D. BLANCHARD, H. REDWANE, Renormalized solutions for class of nonlinear evolution problems, *J. Math. Pure.*, 77, pp. 117-151, 1998.
- [9] L. BOCCARDO, T. GOLLOUËT, Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data, *J. Funct. Anal.*, pp. 87, 149-169, 1989.
- [10] L. BOCCARDO, D. GIACHETTI, J.-I. DIAZ, F. MURAT, Existence and regularity of renormalized solutions of some elliptic problems involving derivatives of nonlinear terms, *Journal of differential equations*, 106, pp. 215-237, 1993.
- [11] A. DALL'AGLIO, Approximated solutions of equations with L^1 data. Application to the H-convergence of quasi-linear parabolic equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 170, pp. 207-240, 1996
- [12] R.-DI NARDO, Nonlinear parabolic equations with a lower order term, Preprint N. 60-2008, Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R.Caccippoli", Universita degli Studi di Napoli "FedericoII".
- [13] G. DAL MASO, F. MURAT, L. ORSINA and A. PRIGNET, Renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, (4) 28, 1999.
- [14] R.-J. DIPERNA, P.-L. LIONS, On the Cauchy Problem for the Boltzmann Equations: Global existence and weak stability, *Ann. of Math.*, 130, pp. 285-366, 1989.
- [15] R. LANDES, On the existence of weak solutions for quasilinear parabolic initial-boundary problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.*, A89, pp. 321-366, 1981.
- [16] J.-L. LIONS, *Quelques Méthodes de Resolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires*, Dunod et Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [17] H. REDWANE, Existence of solution for a class of a parabolic equation with three unbounded nonlinearities, *Adv. Dyn. Syst. A2PL*. 2, pp. 241-264, 2007.
- [18] H. REDWANE, **Solution Renormalisé de Problèmes Paraboliques et Elliptiques non Linéaires**, Ph.D., Rouen 1997.
- [19] J.-M. RAKOTOSON, Resolution of the critical cases for the problems with L^1 data, Asymptotic Analysis when right hand side is in $L^1(\Omega)$. 6, pp. 285-293, 1993.
- [20] J. SIMON, Compact set in the space $L^p(0, T, B)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura, Appl.*, 146, pp. 65-96, 1987.