

The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

http://ajmaa.org



Volume 10, Issue 1, Article 13, pp. 1-3, 2013

NEW SOLUTIONS TO NON-SMOOTH PDES

M. ALGHALITH

Received 13 May, 2013; accepted 29 July, 2013; published 4 November, 2013.

UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST INDIES, ST AUGUSTINE, TRINIDAD moawia.alghalith@sta.uwi.edu

ABSTRACT. We provide strong solutions to partial differential equations when the function is non-differentiable.

Key words and phrases: HJB PDEs, Strong solution, Viscosity solutions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35-xx.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

^{© 2013} Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

This note overcomes a major obstacle in the area of (stochastic) partial differntial equations and their applications. In so doing, it provides strong solutions to partial differential equations when the function is non-differentiable. It is established that if the function is non-differentiable, the existing methods adopt viscosity and minimax weak solutions (see, for example, Crandall and Lions (1983), among many others). Below is a description of the method.

We express the function H(x) as $H(x + \epsilon)$, where ϵ is a shift parameter with an initial value equal to zero (see Alghalith (2008), among others). If H(x) is differentiable with respect to x,

we have

$$H_x = H_{\epsilon}; H_{xx} = H_{\epsilon\epsilon},$$

where the subscript denotes a partial derivative. Therefore we can substitute H_{δ} for H_x even if H is not differentiable with respect to x.

Consider this function H(x, y); it can be expressed as $H(x + \epsilon, \varphi y)$, where φ is a shift parameter with an initial value equal to one (see Alghalith (2008), among others). We define $f \equiv x + \epsilon, g \equiv \varphi y$; differentiating H(f, g) with respect to x and ϵ , respectively, yields

(1.1)
$$H_x = H_f = H_\epsilon; H_{xx} = H_{ff} = H_{\epsilon\epsilon}.$$

Similarly, differentiating H(f,g) with respect to φ and y, respectively, yields

$$H_{\varphi} = H_g y; H_y = H_g \varphi.$$

Thus

(1.2)
$$\frac{H_y}{H_{\varphi}} = \frac{\varphi}{y} \Rightarrow H_y = \frac{\varphi H_{\varphi}}{y}$$

It is also clear that the second derivatives of H(g, y) with respect to φ and y, respectively, are

(1.3)
$$H_{\varphi\varphi} = H_{gg}y^2; H_{yy} = \varphi^2 H_{gg}$$

Therefore

(1.4)
$$\frac{H_{yy}}{H_{\varphi\varphi}} = \frac{y^2}{\varphi^2} \Rightarrow H_{yy} = \frac{\varphi^2 H_{\varphi\varphi}}{y^2}$$

Using (1.2), we obtain

(1.5)
$$H_{yx} = \frac{\varphi H_{\varphi f}}{y} = \frac{\varphi H_{\varphi x}}{y} = \frac{\varphi H_{\varphi \epsilon}}{y}$$

For example, we consider the following known Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman PDE (however our approach is virtually applicable to any form of PDEs)

(1.6)
$$H_x + a(.) H_y + b(.) H_{yy} + e(.) H_{xy} = 0.$$

Substituting (1.1) - (1.5) into (1.6) yields

$$H_{\epsilon} + a\left(.\right)\frac{\varphi H_{\varphi}}{y} + b\left(.\right)\varphi^{2}H_{gg} + e\left(.\right)\frac{\varphi H_{\varphi\epsilon}}{y} = 0.$$

References

- [1] M. ALGHALITH, Recent applications of theory of the firm under uncertainty, *European Journal of Operational Research*, **186**, (2008), pp. 443-450.
- [2] MICHAEL G. CRANDALL, PIERRE-LOUIS LIONS, Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 277 (1), (1983), pp. 1–42.