

The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

AJMAA





SCHWARZ METHOD FOR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES RELATED TO ERGODIC CONTROL PROBLEMS

S. SAADI, H. MÉCHERI

Received 23 April, 2012; accepted 2 May, 2013; published 1 October, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BADJI MOKHTAR UNIVERSITY, ANNABA 23000, P.O.BOX. 12, ANNABA 23000, ALGERIA

signor_2000@yahoo.fr
Mcherihalima@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study variational inequalities related to ergodic control problems studied by M. Boulbrachène and H. Sissaoui [11, 1996], where the "discount factor" (i.e., the zero order term) is set to 0, we use an overlapping Schwarz method on nomatching grid which consists in decomposing the domain in two subdomains. For $\alpha \in]0.1[$ we provide the discretization on each subdomain converges in L^{∞} -norm.

Key words and phrases: Variational Inequalities, Ergodic control, Schwarz method, Finite element method.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18.

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

^{© 2013} Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The ergodic control problems my be solved by considering the solution u_{α} of the following variational inequalities:

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} a(u_{\alpha}, v - u_{\alpha}) + \alpha(u_{\alpha}, v - u_{\alpha}) \ge (f, v - u_{\alpha}), \\ u_{\alpha} \in H^{1}(\Omega), u_{\alpha} \le \psi; \ v \in H^{1}(\Omega), v \le \psi, \end{cases}$$

as α tends to 0; $\alpha > 0$.

Let Ω is a given bounded smooth open set in \mathbb{R}^N , f is a given positive function in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, ψ a positive obstacle of $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$,

(1.2)
$$a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u) \cdot \nabla(v) dx.$$

(.,.) denotes the $L^2\text{-scalar product, }\left\|.\right\|_{\infty}$ the $L^{\infty}\text{-norm.}$

It is proved under suitable assumptions that u_{α} converges to u_0 , the unique solution of:

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} a(u_0, v - u_0) \ge (f, v - u_0), \\ u_0 \in H^1(\Omega), u_0 \le \psi, v \in H^1(\Omega), v \le \psi. \end{cases}$$

The method characterizes the solution of the continuous problem (respectively, the discret problem) as the upper of the set continuous subsolutions (respectively, the discrete subsolutions). [3] Let $\alpha \in]0,1[$, by easy transformation, u_{α} is also a solution of the following problem:

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} b(u_{\alpha}, v - u_{\alpha}) \ge (f + \lambda u_{\alpha}, v - u_{\alpha}), \\ u_{\alpha} \in H^{1}(\Omega), u_{\alpha} \le \psi, v \in H^{1}(\Omega), v \le \psi, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda = 1 - \alpha$$

$$(1.5) b(u,v) = a(u,v) + (u,v).$$

Proposition 1.1. (see [2, 5]) Under assumptions (1.1)-(1.3), u_{α} is uniformly bounded in $W^{p,\infty}(\Omega)$, $p < +\infty$. Moreover u_{α} converges uniformly on Ω and strongly in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ to u_{0} the unique solution of (1.3).

Notation. We denote by $w = \sigma(g, \psi)$ the solution of the following (VI):

(1.6)
$$b(w, v - w) \ge (g, v - w)$$
$$v \le \psi, w \le \psi.$$

Then

$$(1.7) u_{\alpha} = \sigma \left(f + \lambda u_{\alpha}; \psi \right)$$

1.1. Lipschitz Continuous Dependence. Let $g, \tilde{g} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $u = \sigma(g, \psi)$; $\tilde{u} = \sigma(\tilde{g}, \psi)$ the associated solutions.

Proposition 1.2. *Under the above notations, we have*

(1.8)
$$\|\sigma(g,\psi) - \sigma(\tilde{g},\psi)\|_{\infty} \le \|g - \tilde{g}\|_{\infty}.$$

The following property is crucial in this paper.

1.2. The concept of subsolution. Let X be the set of subsolutions of problem (1.4), that is, $w \in X$ if and only if

(1.9)
$$b(w,v) \leq (f + \lambda w, v),$$
$$\forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega), \quad v \geq 0, w \leq \psi.$$

Lemma 1.3. The solution u_{α} of (1.4) is the upper bound of X.

The proof is standard, we adapt [3]. Clearly $u_{\alpha} \in X$ and if $w \in X$, an iterative schema starting from $w \leq u_{\alpha}$ leads to an increasing sequence $w_k, w_{\infty} = \lim w_k = u_{\alpha}$.

2. THE SCHWARZ METHOD FOR THE OBSTACLE PROBLEM 1

2.1. **The continuous Schwarz sequences.** we consider the following problem:

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} \text{ Find } u_{\alpha} \in H_0^1\left(\Omega\right) \text{ the solution of:} \\ b(u_{\alpha}, v - u_{\alpha}) \ge (f + \lambda u_{\alpha}, v - u_{\alpha}) \quad \forall v \le \psi, \forall u_{\alpha} \le \psi. \end{cases}$$

We decompose Ω into two overlapping polygonal subdomains Ω_1 and Ω_2 , such that

$$(2.2) \Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2,$$

and u_{α} satisfies the local regularity condition

$$u_{\alpha} \mid_{\Omega_i} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_i), 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

We denote by $\partial \Omega_i$ the boundary condition of Ω_i , and $\Gamma_i = \partial \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j$. The intersection of $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ and Γ_i is assumed to be empty.

Choosing $u_{\alpha}^{0} = u_{0}$, such that u_{0} the unique solution of:

$$a(u_0, v - u_0) \ge (f, v - u_0)$$

we respectively define the alternating Schwarz sequences $(u_{\alpha_1}^{n+1})$ on Ω_1 such that:

(2.3)
$$\begin{cases} b_{1}(u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1}, v - u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1}) \geq (f_{1} + \lambda u_{\alpha 1}, v - u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1}) & \forall v \leq \psi, v = u_{\alpha 2}^{n} \text{ on } \Gamma_{1} \\ u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1} = u_{\alpha 2}^{n} \text{ on } \Gamma_{1}, \end{cases}$$

and

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} b_2(u_{\alpha 2}^{n+1}, v - u_{\alpha 2}^{n+1}) \ge (f_2 + \lambda u_{\alpha 2}, v - u_{\alpha 2}^{n+1}) & \forall v \le \psi, v = u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1} & \text{on } \Gamma_2 \\ u_{\alpha 2}^{n+1} = u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1} & \text{on } \Gamma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.5) f_i = f \setminus_{\Omega_i},$$

and

(2.6)
$$b_i(u_{\alpha}, v) = a_i(u_{\alpha}, v) + \int_{\Omega_i} \lambda u_{\alpha} v dx \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The following geometrical convergence is du to Lions [10].

2.2. Geometrical convergence.

Theorem 2.1. [12] The sequences $(u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1})$, $(u_{\alpha 2}^{n+1})$, $n \ge 0$, produced by the Schwarz alternating method converge geometrically to the solution u_{α} of obstacle problem (2.1). More precisely, there exist two constants $k_1, k_2 \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $n \ge 0$,

(2.7)
$$\|u_{\alpha i} - u_{\alpha i}^{n+1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{i})} \le (k_{1}^{n} k_{2}^{n}) \|u_{\alpha} - u_{\alpha}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{i})}, i = 1, 2$$

Moreover, $\alpha \to 0$,

(2.8)
$$\|u_{0i} - u_{0i}^{n+1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_i)} \le (k_1^{n+1} k_2^n) \|u_0 - u_0^0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_i)}, i = 1, 2.$$

3. THE DISCRET PROBLEM 2

We suppose for simplicity that Ω is polyhedral. Let r_h be a regular, quasi uniform triangulation of Ω into n-simplexes of diameter less than h.

We denote by V_h the standard piecewise linear finite element espace, we consider the discrete variational inequality:

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} a(u_{\alpha h}, v_h - u_{\alpha h}) + \alpha(u_{\alpha h}, v_h - u_{\alpha h}) \ge (f, v_h - u_{\alpha h}), \\ u_{\alpha h} \in V_h, u_{\alpha h} \le r_h \psi, v_h \in V_h, v_h \le r_h \psi. \end{cases}$$

Or:

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} b(u_{\alpha h}, v_h - u_{\alpha h}) \ge (f + \lambda u_{\alpha h}, v_h - u_{\alpha h}), \\ u_{\alpha h} \in V_h, u_{\alpha h} \le r_h \psi, v_h \in V_h, v \le r_h \psi. \end{cases}$$

4. THE DISCRETE MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE 3[1]

We assume that the matrix A with generic coefficient

$$(4.1) a\left(\varphi_i, \varphi_i\right)$$

is a M-matrix.

As for the continuous problem, it is easy to prove that $u_{\alpha h}$ converges to u_{0h} , the solution of the following (VI):

(4.2)
$$\begin{cases} b(u_{0h}, v_h - u_{0h}) \ge (f, v_h - u_{0h}), \\ u_{0h} \in V_h, u_{0h} \le r_h \psi, v_h \in V_h, v_h \le r_h \psi. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.1. [5] Under the assumption (2.3), then $u_{\alpha h}$ converges uniformly on Ω and strongly in $H^1(\Omega)$ to u_{0h} , the unique solution of (2.4).

Notation. We note $w_h = \sigma_h(g, \psi)$ the solution of the following discrete (VI):

$$b(w_h, v_h - w_h) \ge (g, v_h - w_h), v_h \le r_h \psi, w_h \le r_h \psi.$$

Then

$$u_{\alpha h} = (\sigma_h f + \lambda u_{\alpha h}; \psi)$$
.

As for the continuous case, we establish a Lipschitz discrete property.

Proposition 4.2. *Under the assumption* (2.3). *Then*

$$\left\|\sigma_{h}\left(g,\psi\right) - \sigma_{h}\left(\tilde{g},\psi\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\|g - \tilde{g}\right\|_{\infty}.$$

The proof is similar to that of proposition 4.1.

We now define X_h to be the set of discret subsolutions of problem (2.2), that is $w_h \in X_h$ if and only if

$$(4.4) b(w_h, \varphi_i) \le (f + \lambda w_h, \varphi_i), \forall i \in \{1, ..., m(h)\}, w_h \le r_h \psi$$

Lemma 4.3. Under the assumption (4.2), then the solution $u_{\alpha h}$ of (2.2) is the upper bound of X_h .

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.3.

Results. Let \bar{u}_h be the solution of discrete VI:

(4.5)
$$\begin{cases} b(\bar{u}_h, v_h - \bar{u}_h) \ge (f + \lambda u_\alpha, v_h - \bar{u}_h), \\ v_h \le r_h \psi, \bar{u}_h \le r_h \psi, \end{cases}$$

 u_{α} being the solution of (1.4)

Let $u^{(h)}$ be the solution of the continuous VI:

(4.6)
$$\begin{cases} b\left(u^{(h)}, v - u^{(h)}\right) \ge \left(f + \lambda u_{\alpha h}, v - u^{(h)}\right), \\ v \le \psi, u^{(h)} \le \psi, \end{cases}$$

 $u_{\alpha h}$ being the solution of (2.2).

Lemma 4.4.

$$||u_{\alpha} - \bar{u}_{h}||_{\infty} \le Ch^{2} |\log h|^{2},$$

and

(4.8)
$$||u^{(h)} - u_{\alpha h}||_{\infty} \le Ch^2 |\log h|^2.$$

Proof. We adapt [4]. ■

Theorem 4.5. [11]

and

$$(4.10) ||u_0 - u_{0h}||_{\infty} \le Ch^2 |\log h|^2.$$

Remark 4.1. In the sequel, the constant C is always considered independent of both α and h.

4.1. The discrete Schwarz sequences. Let $V_{h_i} = V_{h_i}(\Omega_i)$ be the space of continuous piecewise linear function on τ_{hi} which vanish on $\partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega_i$.

For $w \in C(\Gamma_i)$, we define

$$V_{h_i}^{(w)} = \{v \in V_h/v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \cap \Omega_i, \ v = \pi_{hi}(w) \text{ on } \Gamma_i\}$$

Where π_{hi} denotes the interpolation operator on Γ_i .

For i=1,2. Let τ_{hi} be a standard regular finite element triangulation in Ω_i , h_i being the mesh size. We suppose that the two triangulation are mutually independent on $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ a triangle belonging to one triangulation does not necessarily belong to the other.

Choosing $u_{\alpha h}^0 = u_{0h}$, such that u_{0h} is a solution of the following inequation:

$$a(u_{0h}, v - u_{0h}) \ge (f, v - u_{0h}),$$

We define the discrete sequence of Schwarz $(u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1})$ on Ω_1 :

(4.11)
$$\begin{cases} b_1(u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}, v - u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}) \ge (f_1 + \lambda u_{\alpha 1h}^n, v - u_{1\alpha h}^{n+1}) & \forall v \le \psi, v = u_{\alpha 2h}^n \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} = u_{\alpha 2h}^n \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \end{cases}$$

and $(u_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1})$ on Ω_2 such that

$$b_2(u_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}, v - u_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}) \ge (f_2 + \lambda u_{\alpha 2h}^n, v - u_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}) \quad \forall v \le \psi, \ v = u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} \ \text{on} \ \Gamma_2$$

 $u_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1} = u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} \ \text{on} \ \Gamma_2.$

5. L^{∞} -ERROR ANALYSIS

This section is devoted to the proof of the present paper to that end we begin by introducing two discrete auxiliary sequences and prove a fundamental Lemma.

5.1. **Definition of two auxiliary sequences.** For $w_{\alpha ih}^0 = u_{\alpha ih}^0 = u_{0h}^0$; i = 1, 2, we define the sequence $w_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}$ on Ω_1 :

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} b_1(w_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}, v - w_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}) \ge (f_1 + \lambda w_{\alpha 1h}^n, v - w_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}) & \forall v \le \psi, v = u_{\alpha 2h}^n \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ w_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} = u_{\alpha 2h}^n \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \end{cases}$$

and $w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}$ on Ω_2 such that:

(5.2)
$$\begin{cases} b_2(w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}, v - w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}) \ge (f_2 + \lambda w_{\alpha 2h}^n, v - w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1}) & \forall v \le \psi, \ v = u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \\ w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1} = u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \end{cases}$$

Note that $(w_{\alpha ih}^{n+1})$ is the finite element approximation of $(u_{\alpha i}^{n+1})$ definite in (2.13), (1.14).

Notation. We will adapt the following notations:

(5.3)
$$|.|_{i} = ||.||_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{i})}, i = 1.2$$

$$||.||_{i} = ||.||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{i})}, i = 1.2$$

$$r_{hi} = r_{h}, i = 1.2$$

The following Lemma will play a key role in proving the main result of this paper.

Lemma 5.1. We have

Where

$$||u_{2\alpha}^0 - w_{\alpha 2h}^0||_2 = ||u_0 - u_{0h}||_{\infty} \le Ch^2 |\log h|^2$$

and

$$(5.6) \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{n+1} - u_{2\alpha h}^{n+1} \right\|_{2} \leq \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{p} \right\|_{1} + \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 1h}^{p} \right\|_{1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \|u_{2\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 2h}^p\|_1 + + \|u_{2\alpha}^0 - w_{\alpha 2h}^0\|_1 + \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \|u_{1\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 1h}^p\|_1$$

In the same way

$$\|u_{2\alpha}^0 - w_{\alpha 2h}^0\|_1 = \|u_0 - u_{0h}\|_{\infty} \le Ch^2 |\log h|^2$$

Proof. We will take

$$h_1 = h_2 = h$$

Indeed, for n = 0, using the discrete form of Proposition 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{1} - u_{1\alpha h}^{1} \right\|_{1} \leq \sum_{p=1}^{1} \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 1h}^{p} \right\|_{1} + \sum_{p=0}^{0} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{p} \right\|_{2} \\ & \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{1} - u_{2\alpha h}^{1} \right\|_{2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{p} \right\|_{1} + \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 1h}^{p} \right\|_{1} \end{aligned}$$

For subdomain Ω_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{1} - u_{1\alpha h}^{1} \right\|_{1} &\leq \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{1} \right\|_{1} + \left\| w_{\alpha 1 h}^{1} - u_{1\alpha h}^{1} \right\|_{1} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{1} \right\|_{1} + \left| \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 2}^{0} - \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 2 h}^{0} \right|_{1} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{1} \right\|_{1} + \left\| u_{\alpha 2}^{0} - w_{\alpha 2 h}^{0} \right\|_{2} \end{aligned}$$

and subdomain Ω_2 :

$$\begin{split} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{1} - u_{2\alpha h}^{1} \right\|_{2} &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{1} \right\|_{2} + \left\| w_{\alpha 2h}^{1} - u_{2\alpha h}^{1} \right\|_{2} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{1} \right\|_{2} + \left| \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 1}^{1} - \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 1h}^{1} \right|_{2} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{1} \right\|_{2} + \left\| u_{\alpha 1}^{1} - u_{\alpha 1h}^{1} \right\|_{1} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{1} \right\|_{2} + \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{1} - w_{\alpha 1h}^{1} \right\|_{1} + \left\| u_{\alpha 2}^{0} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{0} \right\|_{2} \end{split}$$

Let as now suppose that

$$\|u_{2\alpha}^n - w_{\alpha 2h}^n\|_2 \le \sum_{p=0}^n \|u_{2\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 2h}^p\|_2 + \sum_{p=1}^n \|u_{1\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 1h}^p\|_1$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|u_{1\alpha}^{n+1} - u_{1\alpha h}^{n+1}\right\|_{1} &\leq \left\|u_{1\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{n+1}\right\|_{1} + \left\|w_{\alpha 1 h}^{n+1} - u_{1\alpha h}^{n+1}\right\|_{1} \\ &\leq \left\|u_{1\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{n+1}\right\|_{1} + \left|\pi_{h} u_{\alpha 2}^{n} - \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 2 h}^{n}\right|_{1} \\ &\leq \left\|u_{1\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{n+1}\right\|_{1} + \left\|u_{\alpha 2}^{n} - u_{\alpha 2 h}^{n}\right\|_{2} \\ &\leq \left\|u_{1\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{n+1}\right\|_{1} + \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left\|u_{2\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 2 h}^{p}\right\|_{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{n} \left\|u_{1\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{p}\right\|_{1} \end{aligned}$$

And consequently,

$$\left\| u_{1\alpha}^{n+1} - u_{1\alpha h}^{n+1} \right\|_{1} \le \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 1 h}^{p} \right\|_{2} + \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 2 h}^{p} \right\|_{2}$$

Likewise, using the above estimate, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{n+1} - u_{2\alpha h}^{n+1} \right\|_{2} &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1} \right\|_{2} + \left\| w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1} - u_{2\alpha h}^{n+1} \right\|_{2} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1} \right\|_{2} + \left| \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1} - \pi_{h} u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} \right|_{2} \\ &\leq \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{n+1} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{n+1} \right\|_{2} + \left\| u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1} - u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1} \right\|_{1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n+1} \left\| u_{2\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 2h}^{p} \right\|_{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{n+1} \left\| u_{1\alpha}^{p} - w_{\alpha 1h}^{p} \right\|_{1} \end{aligned}$$

For n = 1:

$$\left\| u_{1\alpha}^2 - u_{1\alpha h}^{n+1} \right\|_1 \le \sum_{p=1}^2 \left\| u_{1\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 1 h}^p \right\|_2 + \sum_{p=0}^1 \left\| u_{2\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 2 h}^p \right\|_2$$

and

$$\left\|u_{2\alpha}^2 - u_{2\alpha h}^2\right\|_2 \le \sum_{p=1}^2 \left\|u_{1\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 1h}^p\right\|_1 + \sum_{p=0}^2 \left\|u_{2\alpha}^p - w_{\alpha 2h}^p\right\|_2$$

Theorem 5.2. Let $h = \max(h_1, h_2)$. Then, there exists two constants C and k, 0 < k < 1 independent of both h and n such that

$$(5.8) n+1 \le \frac{\log h}{\log k}.$$

We have

(5.9)
$$||u_{\alpha i} - u_{\alpha ih}^{n+1}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_i)} \le Ch^2 |\log h|^3, i = 1, 2$$

and

(5.10)
$$\|u_{0i} - u_{0ih}^{n+1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_i)} \le Ch^2 |\log h|^3, i = 1, 2$$

Proof. Let us give the proof for i = 1, The case i = 2 is similar.

$$||u_{\alpha 1} - u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}||_{1} \le ||u_{\alpha 1} - u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1}||_{1} + ||u_{\alpha 1}^{n+1} - u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}||_{1}$$

$$\le (k)^{n+1} ||u_{\alpha 1} - u_{\alpha 1}^{0}||_{1} + (n+1)C_{2}h^{2} |\log h|^{2} + nC_{3}h^{2} |\log h|^{2}$$

$$\le (k)^{n+1} ||u_{\alpha 1} - u_{01}||_{1} + (n+1)C_{2}h^{2} |\log h|^{2} + nC_{3}h^{2} |\log h|^{2}$$

$$\le (k)^{n+1} \lim_{\alpha \to 0} ||u_{\alpha 1} - u_{01}||_{1} + (n+1)C_{1}h^{2} |\log h|^{2} + nC_{2}h^{2} |\log h|^{2} .$$

We obtain

$$||u_{\alpha 1} - u_{\alpha 1h}^{n+1}||_{1} \le Ch^{2} |\log h|^{3}$$

Which is the desired error estimate.

For the case $\alpha = 0$, we adapt [14].

REFERENCES

- [1] P.G. CIARLET and P.A. RAVIART, Maximum principle and uniform convergence for the finite element method, *Comp. Math. in Appl. Mech. and Eng.*, (1973) **2**, pp. 1-20.
- [2] A. BENSOUSSAN and J.L. LIONS, On the asymptotic behaviour of the solution of variational inequalities, in *Theory of Linear Operators*, Akademic Verlag, Berlin, (1978).
- [3] PH. CORTEY-DUMONT, Sur les inéquations variationnelles à opérateurs non coercifs, *M2AN*, (1985) **19**, pp. 195-212.
- [4] PH. CORTEY-DUMONT, On finite element approximation in the Lee-norm of variational inequalities, *Num. Math.*, (1985) **5**.
- [5] M. BOULBRACHÈNE, Sur Quelques Questions d'Approximations de Problèmes à Frontières Libre, de Sous-domaines et d'Erreurs d'Arrondi, Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université de Franche, Comté Besançon, France, (1987).

- [6] M. BOULBRACHÈNE, PH. CORTEY-DUMONT, and J.C. MIELLOU, Approximation convergence for a subdomain decomposition method, *Premier Symposium International sur les Méthode de Sous-domaine*, Paris, (1987).
- [7] M. DRYJA, An additive Schwarz algorithm for two-and three-dimensional finite element elliptic problems, in *Domain Decomposition Methods*, Philadephia, pp. 168-172.
- [8] M. DRYJA and O. WIDLUND, Some domain decomposition algorithms for elliptic problems, *Iterative Methods for Large Systems*, L. Hayes and D. Kincaid, eds., Boston, (1990), Academic Press, pp. 273-291.
- [9] J. BRAMBLE, J. PASCIAL, J. WANG, and J. XU, Convergence estimates for product iterative methods with applications to domain decomposition, *Math. Comp*, (1991) **57**, pp. 1-21.
- [10] T. CHAN, T. HOU, and P. LIONS, Geometry related convergence results for domain decomposition algorithms, *SIAM. J. Numer. Anal.*, (1991) **28**, pp. 378-391.
- [11] M.BOULBRACHÈNE and H.SISSAOUI, The finite element approximation of variational inequalities related to Ergodic control problems, *Computers Math. Applic.*, (1996) **31**, No. 4/5, pp. 137-141.
- [12] J. ZENG and S. ZHOU, On monotone and geometric convergence of Schwarz methods for two-sided obstacle problems, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, (1998) 35, pp. 600-616.
- [13] C. XIAO-CHUAN, T.P. MATEW, and M. VSAKIS, Maximum nom analysis of ovelapping non-matching grid discretisations of elliptic equation, *Siam J. Numer. Anal.*, (2000) **5**, pp. 1709-1728.
- [14] M. BOULBRACHÈNE and S. SAADI, Maximum norm analysis on overlopping nomatching grids method for the obstacle problem, *Advances in Difference Equations*, Volume (**2006**), Article ID 85807, Pages pp. 1-10.